# FINAL Corridor Study

# Dow Road Carolina Beach, North Carolina





Prepared For: Wilmington Metropolitan Planning Organization 305 Chestnut Street, Floor 4 Wilmington, NC 28401



Submitted: October 5, 2009 WSA # 102488





For

### Dow Road

Carolina Beach, North Carolina

Prepared For:



Wilmington Metropolitan Planning Organization 305 Chestnut Street, Floor 4 Wilmington, NC 28401

Prepared By:



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601 (919) 755-0583

> October 5, 2009 (WSA Project No. 102488)

> > WilburSmith



## **Table of Contents**

### Page No.

I.	Introduction1			
II.	Stud	ly Area and Existing Conditions	2	
	A.	Study Area	2	
	B.	Existing Conditions	3	
	C.	Projected Roadway Improvements	6	
III.	Pub	lic Involvement	8	
IV.	Environmental Analysis			
v.	Projected Traffic Volumes			
	A.	Existing Traffic	.13	
	B.	Future Background Traffic Volumes	.13	
	C.	Future Traffic Volumes with Dow Road Diversion	.16	
VI.	Traffic Analysis1			
VII.	. Recommendations			
VIII.	II. Conclusions			
IX.	References			

### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A	Agency and Town Council Comment Letters, EDR Report Executive
	Summary

Appendix B Traffic Data

Appendix C Capacity Software Output

# **Table of Contents**

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

<u>Title</u> <u>I</u>	Page No.
Study Area Map	4
Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control	7
Environmental Features	10
Seasonally Adjusted Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	14
2030 No Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	15
2030 Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes	17
Recommended 2030 Future Lane Configurations and Traffic Control	
Dow Road / K Avenue Conceptual Design	
Dow Road / Harper Avenue Conceptual Design	
Dow Road / Cape Fear Boulevard Avenue Conceptual Design	
Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard Conceptual Design	
Dow Road Typical Section	
	TitleIStudy Area MapExisting Lane Configurations and Traffic ControlEnvironmental FeaturesSeasonally Adjusted Existing AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes2030 No Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes2030 Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes2030 Build AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic VolumesDow Road / K Avenue Conceptual DesignDow Road / Harper Avenue Conceptual DesignDow Road / Cape Fear Boulevard Avenue Conceptual DesignDow Road / Ocean Boulevard Conceptual DesignDow Road Typical Section

### **LIST OF TABLES**

<u>Table</u>		
<u>No.</u>	Title	Page No.
1	Level of Service Criteria	
2	Level of Service Summary	19

### LIST OF EXHIBITS

<u>Table</u>		
<u>No.</u>	Title	Page No.
1	Bike Lane Treatments at Intersections	



### I. Introduction

North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) project R-4708 calls for the widening of Dow Road from US 421 (Lake Park Boulevard) in Carolina Beach to US 421 (Fort Fisher Boulevard) in Kure Beach. The purpose of this project is to provide improved north-south access in both Carolina Beach and Kure Beach by widening Dow Road from US 421 to K Avenue and extend Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 in Kure Beach, a total of 5.3 miles. The widening and extension are expected to reduce traffic congestion on US 421 and to provide improved pedestrian and bicycle facilities in and around Carolina and Kure Beaches

In 2002 NCDOT completed a feasibility study that analyzed several different cross-sections for the existing Dow Road and proposed extension. This study recommended a fourlane divided facility for the section between US 421 and K Avenue and for a two-lane shoulder section for the proposed extension between K Avenue and US 421.



In April 2008, Wilbur Smith Associates (WSA) was tasked by the Wilmington Metropolitan Planning Organization (WMPO) to perform a corridor study for Dow Road from its northern terminus at US 421 (Lake Park Boulevard) to K Avenue in Kure Beach and to determine the feasibility and impacts of extending Dow Road from K Avenue south to Fort Fisher. The study, as originally planned, involved close coordination with local municipalities and stakeholders, extensive public involvement, a preliminary environmental screening of the study area, traffic forecasts to determine future traffic volumes, and capacity analysis to determine future roadway design characteristics.

WSA began the process by performing a preliminary environmental screening, and developing several concepts for the extension of Dow Road. WSA met with representatives from Marine Ocean Terminal Sunny Point (MOTSU), the United States Air Force, and the North Carolina State Parks, the Towns of Carolina Beach and Kure Beach, and the North Carolina Department of Transportation, and members of the public.

Through this analysis and meetings it became readily apparent that the proposed extension of Dow Road faced many issues with gaining access to right-of-way from Sunny Point and the US Air Force, and would be unlikely to receive the necessary permits due to significant environmental impacts to both wetlands and endangered species and some impacts to archeological sites. In addition, the Kure Beach's Town Council felt that the Dow Road Extension would divert traffic around their community and could potentially lead to a decline in visitor traffic. Comment letters from the US Air Force, Kure Beach Town Council, NC Division of Water Quality, NC Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, NC Department of Cultural Resources, and the NC Wildlife Resources Commission, as well as comments from the public at June 2008 public meeting can be found in Appendix A.



Due to the Dow Road extension falling within an environmentally sensitive area and the lack of support from multiple stakeholders, the WMPO passed a resolution on August 27, 2008 eliminating the extension of Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 from further study. Based on this resolution, the scope of this project was amended to focus solely on the forecasts of future volumes along Dow Road from US 421 to K Avenue, and along K Avenue from Dow Road to US 421 and the multi-modal transportation facilities needed to support these projected future volumes and to improve safety along the corridor.

The purpose of this report is to present the environmental analysis performed for the extension of Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421, to briefly discuss the public involvement portions of this project, to examine 2008 existing conditions, 2030 "No Build" conditions without the Dow Road traffic diversion, and 2030 "Build" conditions with the Dow Road traffic diversion, and to recommend multi-modal transportation facilities needed to support these projected future volumes and to improve safety along the corridor.

### II. Study Area and Existing Conditions

### A. Study Area

The study area for this report focused on Dow Road and Lake Park Boulevard from Dow Road to K Avenue in Carolina Beach, North Carolina as shown in Figure 1. The specific intersections included in the analysis are as follows:

- > Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Dow Road (signalized)
- > Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Federal Point Shopping Center (signalized)
- > Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Carl Winner Avenue (signalized)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Harper Avenue (signalized)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Cape Fear Boulevard (signalized)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Atlanta Avenue (unsignalized)
- > Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Ocean Boulevard (unsignalized)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / K Avenue (signalized)
- Dow Road / Harper Avenue (unsignalized)
- Dow Road / Atlanta Avenue (unsignalized)
- Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard (unsignalized)

In addition, the study area for the proposed Dow Road Extension and the study area for this corridor study are shown on Figure 1.



### B. Existing Conditions

The following section briefly describes the roadways in the study area.

**Dow Road (SR 1573)** is a two-lane, 24 foot wide shoulder section roadway. Dow Road serves as an alternative route around downtown Carolina Beach with a connection from Snow's Cut Bridge south to K Avenue and has a speed limit of 55 and 45 mph. The 2007 Annual Average

Daily Traffic (AADT) as counted by NCDOT was 8,400 Vehicles per Day (vpd) just west of the Lake Park Boulevard intersection and 2,700 vpd south of Ocean Boulevard. The 2008 Average Daily Traffic (ADT) as counted by the WMPO was 8,473 vpd just west of the Lake Park Boulevard intersection and 2,733 vpd south of Ocean Boulevard. Dow Road is currently primarily used as a connector street for residential subdivisions to the east as well as an alternative route to get to Kure Beach from north Carolina Beach. In addition, a 10 foot multi-use path currently exists along Old Dow Road from Harper Avenue to the northernmost end of Bridge Barrier Road and crosses Dow Road just south of Harper Avenue.



Dow Road looking south

**Lake Park Boulevard (US 421)** is a four-lane roadway from Snow's Cut bridge to Carl Winner Avenue in Carolina Beach where it changes to a two-lane roadway and continues south to Fort Fisher. Lake Park Boulevard is a curb and gutter section roadway within Carolina Beach and Kure Beach and provides a direct north – south connection between the Town of Fort Fisher and

Wilmington. US 421 travels from Bristol, Tennessee and terminates at the Atlantic Ocean / Cape Fear River and has a varying speed limit of 25, 35, and 45 mph. The 2007 AADT as counted by NCDOT was 18,000 vpd and 12,000 vpd north and south of the US 421 / Carl Winner Avenue intersection, respectively. By comparison, the 2008 AADT as counted by the WMPO was 17,403 vpd and 15,281 vpd north and south of the US 421 / Carl Winner Avenue intersection, respectively. Lake Park Boulevard attracts on-street parking, pedestrians and bicycles and currently serves as a multimodal corridor through the Town of Carolina Beach's Central Business District and Kure Beach.



Lake Park Boulevard looking north







Dow Road FINAL Corridor Study Page 5

Carl Winner Avenue is a three-lane, 30 foot wide curb and gutter section roadway located west of the proposed development. Carl Winner Avenue runs east to west from Canal Drive to Lake Park Boulevard. Carl Winner Drive was recently realigned by the Town of Carolina Beach to provide a larger pedestrian area on the north side to accommodate the large numbers of persons that may be waiting to board cruise boats. In addition, Carl Winner Drive serves several surface parking lots and is the first access that serves the northern peninsula. The posted speed limit is 25 mph.



Carl Winner Ave looking west

**Harper Avenue** is a two-lane, 25 foot wide roadway east of Lake Park Boulevard and a two-lane median divided roadway west of US 421. Harper Avenue provides an east to west connection between Lake Park Boulevard and Canal Drive and Carolina Beach Avenue to the east and Dow Road to the west. The posted speed limit is 25 mph.

### Harper Avenue looking east





**Cape Fear Boulevard** is a two-lane, 77 foot wide roadway with on-street angled parking. Cape Fear Boulevard provides an east to west connection between Dow Road and Canal Drive. Cape Fear Boulevard has posted speed limit of 25 mph.

Cape Fear Boulevard looking west



<u>Atlanta Avenue</u> is a two-lane, 20 foot wide shoulder section roadway that serves primarily residential uses. Atlanta Avenue runs east to west from Carolina Beach Avenue South to Dow Road. The posted speed limit is 25 mph.

**Ocean Boulevard (SR 1539)** is a two-lane, 20 foot wide shoulder section roadway that serves primarily residential uses. Ocean Boulevard runs east to west from Dow Road to Lake Park Boulevard. The 2008 AADT as counted by the WMPO was 1,900 vpd east of Dow Road and 1,120 vpd west of US 421. The posted speed limit is 25 mph through the residential areas and 35 mph through the buffer zone.

<u>K Avenue</u> is a two-lane, 24 foot wide shoulder section roadway that serves primarily commercial and residential uses. K Avenue runs east to west from Dow Road to Lake Park Boulevard in Kure Beach. The 2008 AADT as counted by the WMPO on K Avenue was 3,160 vpd west of US 421. The posted speed limit is 35 mph.



K Avenue looking west

Existing Lane Configurations and Traffic Control are illustrated on Figure 2.

### C. Projected Roadway Improvements

Currently, there are no known significant NCDOT transportation improvement projects in the vicinity of the proposed development which are programmed to be constructed before the 2030 design year.

The Town of Carolina Beach is proposing roadway improvements along Lake Park Boulevard as a part of their Streetscape Plan. Lake Park Boulevard is proposed to be reduced to a two-lane roadway (commonly referred to as a "Road Diet") from Carl Winner Avenue to Atlanta Avenue. This project will also include provisions for on-street vehicle parking with a center median or possibly a center two-way, left turn lane. The streetscape project has no schedule for completion at this time, but for the purposes of this study, this project is planned to be completed by the 2030 design year.

In addition, the Town of Carolina Beach will be improving the east leg of the intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and Carl Winner Avenue to include a shared left/right lane and an exclusive right turn lane. These improvements are assumed to be completed by the 2030 design year.





### III. Public Involvement

On June 30, 2008, WSA held a public meeting at the Carolina Beach Elementary School to discuss the scope of the corridor study for Dow Road and gather public input. At the time, the study was scoped to include the analysis of widening and extending Dow Road from K Avenue to Fort Fisher. For display purposes, display boards of environmental features (wetlands, etc), property owners (Sunny Point, US Air Force, etc.), and a draft representation of several possible alignments for the extension of Dow Road were used when discussing the corridor study.



In general, the Town of Kure Beach and their residents were against the extension of Dow

Public Meeting #1

Road due to the impacts to the environment and changing the corridor from a lightly used minor thoroughfare to a heavily traveled, major thoroughfare highway. In contrast, some residents of Carolina Beach were in support of the proposed extension of Dow Road. There was however support from most attendees for improvements along Dow Road such as pedestrian and bicycle provisions and straightening out the Dow Road / K Avenue curve. A comment summary report from the public meeting is contained in Appendix A.

On September 28, 2009, WSA held a final public meeting at the Carolina Beach Town Hall to discuss the findings of the revised Dow Road Corridor Study. In general, the public showed



much greater support for the revised study and its recommendations. Residents showed interest and gave positive feedback on the pedestrian and bicycle improvements along Dow Road as well as the proposed improvements to the Dow Road / K Avenue curve. A comment summary report from the public meeting is contained in Appendix A.

Public Meeting #2



### IV. Environmental Analysis

When this study began, WSA started work on the environmental analysis for the Dow Road Extension but due to the number of impacts, both environmental and community, the WMPO directed WSA to stop work on the corridor study for the extension of Dow Road and look at only what improvements can be accomplished within the existing alignment. The following environmental analysis details only the areas which WSA studied for the Dow Road extension.

In an effort to identify potential environmental constraints to the proposed action of extending and widening Dow Road from K Avenue south to Fort Fisher, WSA performed a preliminary environmental screening. The purpose of the screening is to identify known and documented environmental concerns within the Dow Road corridor that could be "project stopping." Existing Geographic Information System (GIS) data from various local, state and federal sources, augmented with limited field reconnaissance, was used to perform this preliminary analysis. The pertinent environmental features, discussed below, are shown on Figure 3.

### Wetlands & Surface Waters

The presence of wetlands in the Dow Road corridor was determined through a review of the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) mapping of the area coupled with direct field observation by staff trained and experienced with the methods outlined in the United States Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual. These wetlands areas, relatively permanent waters (RPW) and the Coastal Area Management Act (CAMA) buffers associated with them should be considered when evaluating alternatives and the selection of the preferred alternative. A key factor in the selection of a preferred alternative for the extension would have been the avoidance and minimization of potential impacts to wetlands.

The NWI mapping indicates the presence of wetlands in the Dow Road corridor which is to be expected in coastal area. Any temporary or permanent impacts, whether they are clear cutting, filling, or draining, to either of the aforementioned wetland features would need to go through the Section 404 and 401 permitting process. In the case of this project impacts would most likely be permitted under a Nationwide 14 permit with the USACE in addition to requiring a 401 Water Quality Certification from the DWQ. Mitigation for these impacts would likely be required.

The inventory of surface water features indicates that a creek traverses the potential alternatives corridor. Any temporary or permanent impacts or crossing of the creek would have require a Section 404/401 permit(s).

### **Contaminated Properties**

A database report was obtained from Environmental Data Resources, Inc. which details all past and present reported hazardous substance storage, release, generation, or transport in that has occurred or is occurring in the Dow Road corridor. Based on the EDR report, field reconnaissance, and Hazard Substance Disposal Site mapping developed by The North Carolina Department of Environment, Health, and Natural Resources, Division of Waste Management, Superfund Section and obtained from NC OneMap (http://www.nconemap.com) "areas of concern" were identified as having reported past contamination or of having hazardous substance disposed on the site in the past.





The widening and extending of Dow Road has a strong to encounter hazardous materials, potential considering the past land uses that have taken place, mainly in the southern portion of the project area, and some of the present land use occurring along existing Dow Road. Special consideration of the widening of Dow Road in the areas of the water treatment plant and landfill, as well as the Dow Bromine Chemical plant, which is on the Environmental Protection Agency's Superfund list, will need to be taken. Additionally, the extension and the majority of the widening of Dow Road will go through the Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point (MOTSU) buffer. The location of Underground Storage Tanks (UST) containing diesel or gasoline products would have to be further investigated if this extension was pursued further, see Appendix A for the executive summary to the EDR



A 1949 aerial photograph depicting the Dow Chemical Plant. Some buildings and other structures are still standing today.

report which details the known UST locations. UST removal will add to project costs and the risk of remediation for a UST that has leaked exists. Further searches of all pertinent local, state, and federal databases in order to identify any potential hazardous materials in the study area will be needed. In addition, WSA staff performed limited field reconnaissance in an attempt to verify database information and to identify any hazardous materials not uncovered during the database search. The areas of concern indentified do pose a constraint to this project and should be taken into consideration during alternative development.

### Threatened or Endangered Species

According to information obtained from the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, which organizes the information by county, New Hanover County is currently home to at least one federally protected species, the loggerhead sea turtle. Federally Listed Threatened and Endangered Species and their habitat are protected under federal law.

### Natural Heritage Sites

The North Carolina Natural Heritage Program indicates that there are records of known rare species, high quality natural communities, and significant natural areas occurring in the project area. These include the phaeon crescent butterfly, coastal fringe evergreen forest, interdune pond, coastal fringe sandhill, pond pine woodland, wet pine flats, and pine savanna. When further evaluating project alternatives, coordination with the North Carolina Natural Heritage program, the project steering committee, and the public are required to ensure that these resources are considered and practical steps are taken to preserve these areas.

### Soils

Digital soils mapping from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) was obtained and reviewed for the presence of hydric soils which are a good indicator for the presence of likelihood of wetlands. Wetland features were identified in the corridor as hydric soils.

### Cultural and Historic Resources

Potential impacts to Fort Fisher, which is a national historic landmark have to be taken into consideration in any evaluation of alternatives for the extension of Dow Road portion of the



project. Additionally, due to the rich military history of the area potential significant archaeological remains may be present in the areas considered for right-of-way acquisition.

### Land Use

Land use considerations included the effects that the widening and extending of Dow Road will have on the existing land use in the project area as well as how it may influence future land use and development patterns. Since the majority of the project actions will occur in the MOTSU buffer which is considered federal conservation lands and where future development is not likely, the indirect effect of the project on land use should be closely evaluated.

### <u>Other</u>

Other concerns that will need to be considered in the evaluation of alternatives are Department of Defense owned properties and the Carolina Beach and Fort Fisher State Parks. DOD properties in the project area include MOTSU buffer zone and the Air Force's Fort Fisher Recreation Area. There are foreseeable difficulties in eventually obtaining the needed right-of-way from the DOD which will be considered. State park and recreation lands carry special federal protection and converting portions of these lands to roadway will require special consideration and evaluations. Additionally, obtaining right-of-way from the DOD may be difficult. The Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point will have safety and security concerns that need to be addressed as well as environmental concerns. The DOD is required to consider all natural and human environment issues when evaluating land transfers and agreements. The Fort Fisher Air Force Recreation Area is a resort vacation spot which is only open to DOD personnel, both military and civilian. The evaluation of alternatives for the extension to Dow Road paid special attention to this area and unique design considerations that are sensitive to the



Depiction of some of the important land owners in the project area.

context of this site were looked at. Any extension to Dow Road will bisect this property with anywhere from a 125'-150' right-of-way, which is not compatible with its existing land use. The extension or widening of Dow Road requires close coordination with the Air Force, MOTSU, and the North Carolina Division of Parks and Recreation.

### **Conclusion**

Because of the wide range of environmental issues, along with the public objections from Kure Beach residents and public officials to the project, involved with the extension of Dow Road, WSA recommends that the corridor remain on its existing alignment and that the Dow Road Extension should not be constructed. The rest of the report will detail the findings of the traffic analysis for the existing alignment and the possible need for the widening of Dow Road from Lake Park Boulevard to K Avenue. Impacts from any of the proposed improvements to the existing alignment of Dow Road may require further study to reduce disturbance to the local ecosystem, however any impacts should be minimal as any improvements are extremely likely to be contained within the existing right-of-way.



### V. Projected Traffic Volumes

### A. Existing Traffic

Morning (7-9 am) and afternoon (4-6 pm) peak hour traffic counts were taken by WSA at the following locations:

Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Federal Point Shopping Center (7/22/2008)

Morning (7-9 am) and afternoon (4-6 pm) peak hour traffic counts were taken by the WMPO at the following locations:

- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Dow Road (4/29/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Carl Winner Avenue (4/29/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Harper Avenue (4/30/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Cape Fear Boulevard (4/30/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Atlanta Avenue (4/30/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / Ocean Boulevard (5/6/2008)
- Lake Park Boulevard (US 421) / K Avenue (5/6/2008)
- Dow Road / Harper Avenue (5/1/2008)
- Dow Road / Atlanta Avenue (5/1/2008)
- Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard (5/6/2008)

These traffic volumes are shown on Figure 1A in Appendix B.

Recognizing that traffic volumes collected during April and May 2008 will be lower than the volumes typically seen during peak summer conditions; WSA multiplied the counts taken in 2008 by an adjustment factor. This factor was determined using 2004 and 2005 traffic data supplied by NCDOT from an automatic traffic recorder (ATR) site located near Carolina Beach just south of Wilmington.

Based on the ATR data, the WMPO traffic counts collected in April and May were multiplied by 1.25 to more accurately predict typical peak hour traffic experienced between June and August. These seasonally adjusted traffic volumes are shown on Figure 4 with the 2008 WMPO ADT traffic counts. Raw count data for these locations are included in Appendix B.

### B. Future Background Traffic Volumes

Based on historical AADT provided by NCDOT, ADT provided by WMPO, and projected residential and retail development in Carolina Beach and Kure Beach, a 1.5% growth rate percentage was applied to determine the projected 2030 "No Build" traffic volumes without the Dow Road traffic diversion. Because of the nature of the surrounding land uses and the Sunny Point Blast Zone along Dow Road, a 1.5% growth rate was determined to be a conservative growth factor based on the lack of potential for any large future developments. Any future growth in traffic will likely be due to pockets of redevelopment expected to occur within downtown Carolina Beach or the gradual increase in visitor traffic going to the beach or Fort Fisher. Projected 2030 No Build peak hour and ADT traffic volumes along Lake Park Boulevard and Dow Road are illustrated on Figure 5.







### C. Future Traffic Volumes with Dow Road Diversion

In order to develop the 2030 Build alternative traffic volumes, a percentage of vehicles assumed to divert from Lake Park Boulevard onto Dow Road was chosen. First, the amount of traffic that uses Dow Road as an alternative route around Lake Park Boulevard had to be determined. This was accomplished by examining the through traffic along Dow Road at the intersection of Dow Road and Ocean Boulevard. This through traffic represents the individuals that are traveling along Dow Road purely to bypass Carolina Beach and northern Kure Beach. In 2008 there were 257 and 340 vehicles during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively, that were assumed to be using Dow Road to get from K Avenue to Lake Park Boulevard. At the intersection of Dow Road and Lake Park Boulevard, there were 1,009 and 881 vehicles during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively, on Dow Road. This shows that of the traffic that turns onto Dow Road from Lake Park Boulevard, only approximately 30% of the traffic will continue south to Kure Beach.

An increase of 30% in the amount of diversion traffic was chosen to develop the 2030 Build alternative peak hour traffic volumes. This percentage increase was selected based on the current traffic patterns, the fact that only signage will be used to direct travelers along Dow Road, the continued designation of Lake Park Boulevard as US 421, and the significant attractions along Lake Park Boulevard between the intersection of Dow Road and K Avenue. Therefore, the traffic that was estimated to use Dow Road as a "bypass" was increased by 30% to assume the future "Build" traffic. The 30% was then subtracted from Lake Park Boulevard to account for the shift of traffic onto Dow Road. Thus based on the 2008 WMPO ADT of 2,733 vpd on Dow Road just south of Ocean Boulevard; approximately 820 additional vehicles are expected to be diverted to Dow Road on a given weekday with installation of wayfinding signage for bypassing traffic around Carolina Beach. This amounts to roughly 108 additional vehicles on Dow Road in the AM peak hour and 144 additional vehicles in the PM peak hour.

The 2030 Build alternative peak hour and ADT traffic volumes with the Dow Road traffic diversion are shown on Figure 6.

### VI. Traffic Analysis

The study area intersections were analyzed using the methods outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>1</sup>, and Synchro Version 7.0. The *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>1</sup> defines capacity as "the maximum rate of flow at which persons or vehicles can be reasonably expected to traverse a point or uniform section of a lane or roadway during a specified time period under prevailing roadway, traffic, and control conditions, usually expressed as vehicles per hour or persons per hour." Level of Service (LOS) is a term used to represent different traffic conditions, and is defined as a "qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, and their perception by motorist / or passengers." Level of Service varies from Level A, representing free flow, to Level F where traffic breakdown conditions are evident. Level B represents good progression with minimal congestion. At Level C, the number of vehicles stopping is significant, although many still pass through the intersection without stopping. Level D represents more congestion, but the overall operations are acceptable. At Level E, freedom to maneuver within the traffic stream is extremely difficult with driver frustration being generally high.





Generally, Level of Service D is considered acceptable for signalized intersections in suburban areas during peak periods. With the current method of reporting levels of service for unsignalized intersections, it is not uncommon for some of the minor street movements to operate at LOS F during the peak hours.

Table 1 presents criteria of each level of service as indicated in the *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>1</sup>.

### TABLE 1: LEVEL OF SERVICE CRITERIA

### SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

### UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Level of <u>Service</u>	Stopped Delay <u>Per Vehicle (sec)</u>	
Α	<u>&lt;</u> 10.0	
В	>10.0 and <u>&lt;</u> 20.0	
С	>20.0 and <35.0	
D	>35.0 and <u>&lt;</u> 55.0	
E	>55.0 and <u>&lt;</u> 80.0	
F	>80.0	]   [

Level of <u>Service</u>	Average Total Delay (sec/veh)		
Α	<u>&lt;</u> 10		
В	>10 and <u>&lt;</u> 15		
С	>15 and <u>&lt;</u> 25		
D	>25 and <u>&lt;</u> 35		
Ε	>35 and <u>&lt;</u> 50		
F	>50		

Source: *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>1</sup> Special Report 209, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 1998

Synchro Version 7.0 calculates the level of service and delay for each intersection using methods outlined in the *Highway Capacity Manual*<sup>1</sup>.

Table 2 summarizes the capacity analyses for the study area intersections, which is discussed below. All capacity analyses are included in Appendix C.



Table 2						
Intersection	2008 Existing		2030 No Build Alternative without Diversion		2030 Build Alternative with Diversion and Improvements to Dow Road	
	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak
Dow Road / Lake Park Blvd.	D (36.8)	C (28.0)	D (45.5)	C (34.7)	D (45.4)	D (36.6)
Lake Park Blvd. / Federal Point Shopping Center	A (4.7)	A (9.0)	A (2.2)	B (11.8)	A (2.3)	B (10.3)
Lake Park Blvd. / Carl Winner Ave.	B (18.7)	B (19.6)	C (23.9)	C (27.4)	C (23.9)	C (28.1)
Lake Park Blvd. / Harper Ave.	B (11.0)	B (12.8)	B (19.8)	B (17.5)	B (17.8)	B (17.5)
Lake Park Blvd. / Cape Fear Blvd.	A (9.6)	A (9.2)	B (17.0)	B (15.8)	B (16.5)	B (15.2)
Lake Park Blvd. / Atlanta Ave.	# (2.4) C (15.3) EB B (10.1) WB	# (0.9) C (15.5) EB B (10.2) WB	# (3.4) D (25.8) EB B (11.3) WB	# (1.2) C (24.6) EB B (11.5) WB	# (3.3) C (21.0) EB B (10.8) WB	# (1.2) C (20.1) EB B (10.8) WB
Lake Park Blvd. / Ocean Blvd.	# (1.6) B (11.5) EB B (10.1) WB	# (1.6) B (13.7) EB B (12.2) WB	# (1.9) B (13.7) EB B (10.7) WB	# (2.2) C (19.2) EB B (14.2) WB	# (2.0) B (12.4) EB B (10.1) WB	# (2.1) C (15.9) EB B (12.7) WB
Lake Park Blvd. / K Ave.	B (11.7)	B (11.3)	B (12.5)	B (11.8)	B (12.6)	B (12.3)
Dow Road / Harper Ave.	# (1.2) B (14.1) WB	# (1.4) B (12.1) WB	# (1.6) C (19.9) WB	# (2.0) C (15.2) WB	# (1.5) C (21.9) WB	# (1.4) D (25.4) WB
Dow Road / Atlanta Ave.	# (0.7) B (14.2) WB	# (0.2) B (12.6) WB	# (1.0) C (18.8) WB	# (0.3) C (16.4) WB	# (1.1) C (20.4) WB	# (0.3) C (18.5) WB
Dow Road / Ocean Blvd.	# (3.8) B (10.1) WB	# (3.1) A (9.6) WB	# (4.2) B (11.3) WB	# (3.4) B (10.4) WB	# (3.8) B (12.1) WB	# (2.7) B (11.1) WB

### Dow Road / Lake Park Boulevard

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Dow Road and Lake Park Boulevard currently operates at LOS D during the AM peak hour and LOS C during the PM peak hour. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to remain a LOS D during the AM peak hour and LOS C during the PM peak hour with an increase of 8.7 and 6.7 seconds / vehicle during the 2030 morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to continue to operate at LOS D during the AM peak hour and LOS C during the PM peak hour with a reduction in delay of 0.1 seconds / vehicle during the AM peak hour and LOS C during the PM peak hour with a reduction in delay of 0.1 seconds / vehicle during the AM peak hour and an increase of 1.9 seconds / vehicle during the PM peak hour.



### Lake Park Boulevard / Federal Point Shopping Center

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized "T" intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and the Federal Point Shopping Center access currently operates at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to remain LOS A during the AM peak hour and increase to LOS B during the PM peak hour. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS A during the AM peak hour and LOS B during the PM peak hour with an increase of 0.1 seconds / vehicle during the AM peak hour and a reduction of 1.5 seconds / vehicle during the PM peak hour.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Carl Winner Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized "T" intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and Carl Winner Avenue currently operates at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to increase to LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours with an increase of 5.2 and 7.8 seconds / vehicle during the 2030 morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS C during the AM and PM peak hours an with no change for the overall intersection delay during the AM peak hour and a increase of 0.7 seconds / vehicle during the PM peak hour.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Harper Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and Carl Harper Avenue currently operates at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to remain a LOS B with an increase of 8.8 and 4.7 seconds / vehicle during the 2030 morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with a reduction of 2.0 seconds / vehicle during the AM peak hour and no change in the overall intersection delay during the PM peak hour.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Cape Fear Boulevard

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and Cape Fear Boulevard currently operates at LOS A during the AM and PM peak hours. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to operate at LOS B with an increase of 7.4 and 6.6 seconds / vehicle during the 2030 morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with a reduction of 0.5 and 0.6 seconds / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Atlanta Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the unsignalized Lake Park Boulevard / Atlanta Avenue intersection currently operates with overall intersection delays of 2.4 and 0.9 seconds / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours. The minor eastbound movement currently experiences 15.3 and 15.5 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. While the minor westbound movement currently experiences 10.1 and 10.2 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In 2030 No Build, the minor movement delays are expected to have minimal increases of delay over the existing conditions during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during



2030, the intersection delay is expected to change very little over the 2030 No Build alternative with reduced delays expected for the minor eastbound and westbound movements.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Ocean Boulevard

Capacity analysis shows that the unsignalized Lake Park Boulevard / Ocean Boulevard intersection currently operates with overall intersection delays of 1.6 / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours. The minor eastbound movement currently experiences 11.5 and 13.7 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. While the minor westbound movement currently experiences 10.1 and 12.2 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In 2030 No Build, the minor movement delays are expected to have minimal increases of delay over the existing conditions during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection delay is expected to change very little over the 2030 No Build alternative with reduced delays expected for the minor eastbound and westbound movements.

### Lake Park Boulevard / K Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the signalized intersection of Lake Park Boulevard and K Avenue currently operates at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours. In 2030 No Build, the overall delay is expected to remain a LOS B with an increase of 0.8 and 0.5 seconds / vehicle during the 2030 morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection is expected to operate at LOS B during the AM and PM peak hours with an increase of 0.1 seconds / vehicle during the AM peak hour and 0.5 seconds / vehicle during the PM peak hour.

### Dow Road / Harper Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the unsignalized Dow Road / Harper Avenue intersection currently operates with overall intersection delays of 1.2 and 1.4 seconds / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours. The minor westbound movement currently experiences 14.1 and 12.1 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In 2030 No Build, the minor movement delays are expected to have minimal increases of delay over the existing conditions during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection delay is expected to change very little over the 2030 No Build alternative with reduced delays expected for the minor westbound movements. Based on the volume of left turning vehicles from Dow Road onto Harper Avenue a southbound left turn lane on Dow Road with 100 feet of full width storage is recommended at Harper Avenue to provide safer vehicular travel along Dow Road.

### Dow Road/Atlanta Avenue

Capacity analysis shows that the unsignalized Dow Road / Atlanta Avenue intersection currently operates with overall intersection delays of 0.7 and 0.2 seconds / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours. The minor westbound movement currently experiences 14.2 and 12.6 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In 2030 No Build, the minor movement delays are expected to have minimal increases of delay over the existing conditions during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection delay is expected to change very little over the 2030 No Build alternative with reduced delays expected for the minor westbound movements.



### Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard

Capacity analysis shows that the unsignalized Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard intersection currently operates with overall intersection delays of 3.8 and 3.1 seconds / vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours. The minor westbound movement currently experiences 10.1 and 9.6 seconds of delay per vehicle during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively. In 2030 No Build, the minor movement delays are expected to have minimal increases of delay over the existing conditions during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. With the Build alternative during 2030, the intersection delay is expected to change very little over the 2030 No Build alternative with reduced delays expected for the minor westbound movements. Based on the volume of left turning vehicles from Dow Road onto Ocean Boulevard a southbound left turn lane on Dow Road with 100 feet of full width storage is recommended at Ocean Boulevard to provide safer vehicular travel along Dow Road.

Overall, the proposed Dow Road traffic diversion plan can accommodate the projected future traffic growth subject to the recommendations for turn lanes and other considerations described in Section VI.

### VII. Recommendations

The results of this study indicate that there are no major existing traffic capacity concerns to date at the analyzed intersections. This study shows that minor improvements should be constructed to provide safe and efficient ingress and egress as well as to enhance vehicular, bicycle, pedestrian and parking operations and public safety in the vicinity of the proposed development. Because the projected 2030 ADT along Dow Road is expected to reach only 4,800 vpd just south of Ocean Boulevard and 12,600 vpd just south of Lake Park Boulevard, Dow Road is recommended to remain a two-lane facility, the following improvements are recommended to be implemented with the traffic diversion plan for Dow Road:

### Dow Road / Harper Avenue

Construct a southbound left turn lane on Dow Road with 100 feet of full width storage.

### Dow Road/Cape Fear Boulevard

Construct a southbound left turn lane on Dow Road with 100 feet of full width storage.

### Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard

Construct a southbound left turn lane on Dow Road with 100 feet of full width storage.

### Lake Park Boulevard / Dow Road

Provide wayfinding signs to direct southbound Kure Beach and Fort Fisher traffic from Lake Park Boulevard to Dow Road.

### Lake Park Boulevard / K Avenue

Provide wayfinding signs to direct northbound Wilmington traffic from Lake Park Boulevard to Dow Road.



### **Other Recommendations**

### Dow Road / K Avenue Curve

As currently designed, the Dow Road onto K Avenue curve is approximately a 90 foot radius curve with very little superelevation. While the crash data from the past three (3) years shows that there have been no reported vehicular crashes in this vicinity, a closer site inspection suggests that there may be a significant number of unreported crashes due to the damaged roadway signs and visible tire skid marks along Dow Road and K Avenue.

As a part of the Dow Road traffic diversion plan, WSA recommends that the Dow Road / K Avenue curve be lengthened and super-elevated to allow



for safer vehicular and bicycle travel. At a minimum, WSA recommends that the curve radii be increased from 90 feet to 250 feet. Based on *AASHTO A Policy on Geometric Design of Highway and Streets*<sup>2</sup>, this would allow the signed 15 mph turning speed be increased to 25 mph. In addition, the design should incorporate the addition of bike lanes as well as multi-use path. The relocation of one (1) utility pole will likely be required with this improvement. Coordination with Sunny Point Marine Ocean Terminal and NCDOT will be required to plan for and construct this realignment. This proposed improvement is shown on Figure 8.

### **Bicycle Facilities**

Bicycle lanes are proposed along both Dow Road and K Avenue as a part of this plan. Bicycles are an important element of multimodal, livable streets. The proposed bike lanes provide important linkages within Carolina Beach and Kure Beach destinations, improved bicycling environment and also acts as a buffer to pedestrians. In addition, bike lanes allow space for vehicles to temporarily store while emergency vehicles pass, they add to turning radii, and they improve sight distances.



Based on the AASHTO Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities<sup>3</sup> and the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD<sup>4</sup>), WSA recommends the following be constructed and incorporated into Carolina Beach's future pedestrian and bicycle plan:

- Add an additional 5 feet of pavement for wide outside shoulders on both sides of Dow Road;
- Bike lane pavement markings should be provided.



Dow Road FINAL Corridor Study Page 24

Bike lanes should be striped, signed, and marked in accordance with the MUTCD. The MUTCD and the Institute of Transportation Engineer's *Traffic Control Handbook* recommend intersections with bike lanes located the striped bike lanes to the left side of right-turn only lanes. **Exhibit 1** shows this detail for bike lane approaches to intersections.

Bicyclist traveling along Dow Road



### Pedestrian Facilities

In an effort to reflect the recommendations from Carolina Beach's Master Development Plan, WSA recommends that a 10 foot wide multi-use path be constructed along the west side of Dow Road from the Carolina Beach State Park to approximately 1.0 mile south of Ocean Boulevard then transition to the east side of Dow Road to K Avenue. The proposed bicycle lanes and 5 foot grass buffer provide sufficient buffer distance for pedestrians utilizing the proposed path. Bike lanes and multi-use paths will strengthen linkages and connect destinations within Carolina Beach and Kure Beach.

Based on the *AASHTO Guide for the Development of Pedestrian Facilities*<sup>5</sup> and the *MUTCD*, WSA recommends the following considerations for bicycle facilities:

- Construct a 10 foot wide multi-use path along the east side of Dow Road and K Avenue and connect with the existing multi-use path near the Carolina Beach State Park;
- Provide crosswalks for the multi-use path at all the side street intersections along Dow Road;
- Provide adequate pedestrian crosswalk signs to warn approaching vehicles;
- Provide adequate pedestrian facilities to meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA);
- Provide audible pedestrian crosswalk signals to accommodate visually impaired pedestrians;
- Design and maintenance of landscaping to provide good visibility between pedestrians and approaching vehicles;

Recommended Lane Configurations and Traffic Control for the Dow Road and Lake Park Boulevard corridors are illustrated on Figure 7. The conceptual design for the Dow Road / K Avenue curve is shown on Figure 8 and the conceptual designs for the Dow Road / Harper Avenue, Dow Road / Cape Fear Boulevard, and Dow Road / Ocean Boulevard left turn bays are shown on Figures 9, 10, and 11. The recommended typical section for Dow Road is shown on Figure 12.

















# DOW ROAD

# - TWO-LANE ROADWAY WITH BIKE LANES AND MULTI-USE PATH NOT TO SCALE TYPICAL SECTION





# FIGURE 12

PLAN - TWO-LANE ROADWAY

NOT TO SCALE



### VIII. Conclusions

Based on the potential for significant environmental impacts and the lack of public support for a new facility, WSA recommends that Dow Road not be extended from K Avenue to US 421. Due to the lack of significant growth projected on the island, the traffic analysis indicates that the Dow Road will function with a reasonable level of service as a two lane facility in 2030. Improvements should be made to better accommodate cyclists and a multi-use path should be added to better facilitate cyclists and pedestrians along this corridor. Finally the Dow Road / K Avenue curve should be constructed to allow for safer travel through this intersection.

### IX. References

<sup>1</sup> *Highway Capacity Manual*, Transportation Research Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., 2000.

<sup>2</sup>A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, AASHTO, Washington, DC, 2004

<sup>3</sup>Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities, AASHTO, Washington, DC, 1999

<sup>4</sup>*Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices,* Federal Highway Administration, Washington, D.C. 2003

<sup>5</sup>*Guide for the Planning, Design and Operation of Pedestrian Facilities,* AASHTO, Washington, DC, 2004
# **Agency and Town Council**

# **Comment Letters, EDR Report**

# **Executive Summary**







# **DOW ROAD CORRIDOR STUDY**

Summary of Public Comments June 30, 2008

Page 1 of 7

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
Summary of Contents	4
Dow Road Route	4
Agree	4
Opposed	4
Idea Generation or Suggestion	5
Neighborhood/Community Impacts	6
Agree	6
Opposed	6
Idea Generation or Suggestion	6
Environmental or Other Issues	6
Agree	6
Opposed	6
Idea Generation or Suggestion	7





## Introduction

The Wilmington Metropolitan Planning Organization (WMPO) held a Public Workshop for the Dow Road Corridor Study on the following date:

## Monday, June 30, 2008 – Carolina Beach Elementary School, Carolina Beach

The local public was notified of the workshop in advance in the following ways:

- Mailing of Newsletter via USPS standard mail (mailed to property owners and stakeholders) on June 12, 2008
- Newspaper advertisement in Island Gazette and Wilmington Morning Star on June 25 and 29, 2008.
- Media press release submitted on June 26, 2008
- Email Notification to public officials and agencies.

The corridor study will analyze the widening of Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, as well as extending Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 (Fort Fisher Boulevard) on new location. Currently, Dow Road is a two-lane, undivided road that extends through Carolina and Kure beaches to K Avenue and includes a multi-use path along part of the road. The study will also consider extending this adjacent multi-use path. The improved Dow Road will improve north-south access in both Carolina and Kure Beaches and reduce congestion along US 421 (US 421 is designated a Hurricane Evacuation Route). The area being studied is approximately 5.3 miles in length, bordered within the Military Ocean Terminal, Sunny Point (MOTSU) Blast Buffer.

The purpose of the workshop was to review and discuss the widening and extension of Dow Road, and to provide participants an opportunity to speak to WMPO and Consultant team staff and make verbal and written comments.

As participants arrived, they were asked to sign in and provided a handout packet. Citizens were provided a newsletter, project fact sheet and comment sheet regarding the Dow Road Corridor Study. The Comment Sheet included three special topics of interest to write comments, which included:

- Dow Road Route
- Neighborhood/Community Impacts
- Environmental or Other Issues

The format of the workshop provided citizens' an opportunity to learn about the project, understand the social, environmental and economic character of the area, ask questions and offer input to MPO staff and consultant team members. Maps, displays and other project information were available for viewing to provide participants a good understanding of the project.

Mr. Mike Kozlosky, WMPO Project Manager, Mr. Sun Temple Helgren, Consultant Team Project Manager, other WMPO staff and consultant team members were available at the workshop to answer questions.

A total of 97 people signed in at the workshop. Additionally, a total of 69 comment sheets were completed at the meeting and/or received by mail or via email. A summary of all written comments is presented below.

## **Summary of Comments**

# JUNE 30, 2008 - CAROLINA BEACH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, CAROLINA BEACH

### Dow Road Route

### FAVOR

- Support expansion include shoulders for bicycles and dedicated turn lanes.
- Support widening of Dow Road in the least invasive way; keep it two lanes but add bike lane and wider shoulders.
- Extension should utilize as much of Kure Beach town-owned property as possible; does not need to extend to Ft. Fisher.
- Growth brings tax revenue and community project money (such as beach replenishment); new routes for access are needed. ADVANCED planning is necessary. (3 comments received)
  - Homeowners along S. Ft. Fisher Blvd. would appreciate a bypass via Dow extender. Extender would decrease 'thru traffic' in front yards.
  - Downtown, if cleaned up/spruced up, will be visited even with bypass in place.
- Strongly support the extension of Dow Road so that we can reclaim the peaceful pace of a pedestrian, bike-friendly town and community. Seize the moment and secure our tranquil future values. (7 comments received)
  - Lower speed limit along Fort Fisher to 25MPH while lowering traffic volume.
  - If Davis Road folks are against it, consider extending to President Davis Road, as there are no houses directly on the road.
- Support improvement through K Avenue beyond that is a waste of money (few people live beyond this point). (5 comments received)
  - Three-lane road, bike path, and walking trail YES. EXTENSION NO.
    - Support improvements (shoulders, etc.) to existing Dow Road to K Avenue.
      - Support bike path (multi-use) along existing footprint of Dow Road/right of way.
- Widen Dow Road to at least three lanes; straighten sharp curve just before Kure Beach; add bike route along Dow Road; extend Dow Road to Ft. Fisher Blvd. near the Fort.

## OPPOSED

- Road is dangerous and locals feel they will lose business with the change. (3 comments received)
- Currently an accident waiting to happen due to deer and fox population and speeding; making it four lanes, any longer would be a death trap! (3 comments received)
- Heavy traffic is a negative impact for the economic health of this community. (4 comments received)
  - Currently, a fairly pristine wooded area with no construction on Kure Beach section and not much in the Carolina Beach section. A four-lane road will end the Pleasure Island as we know it!
- Proposed four lanes will take up our parking in front of our business.
- Traffic flow to Snows Cut Bridge will back up on weekends, holidays and emergency evacuations. There is only one bridge off the island to handle traffic.
- Not needed would go through wetlands.

- Currently no congestion leave it as is! (21 comments received)
  - Waste of money in these poor economic times.
  - Preserve our buffer zones.
- No more asphalt on this island!
- Oppose extending Dow Road beyond K Avenue through the Sunny Point Buffer Zone. (2 comments received)
  - Improve Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, especially the shoulders and road condition.
  - Creating new right of way through Sunny Point Military Operations Terminal 'buffer zone' poses additional security risks – outside of Town's jurisdiction creating patrolling and monitoring traffic issues.
  - Proposed route interferes with and infringes on the US Air Force Recreation Center at Fort Fisher. This facility contributes immensely to town's local economy and vital morale support issue for the Forces and families.
  - Proposed route diverts tourists away from Kure Beach business district and causes a negative economic impact.
  - Proposed route creates profound impacts on the environmental makeup of the surrounding Kure Beach area – it would degrade the environment and increase pollution and storm water issues.
- Opposed to bike path (multi-use) in existing MOTSU Buffer fire lane area.
- Opposed to dirt road or fire break in government-owned land between Dow Road and the residential community as an alternative.
  - Fire hazard concerns; concealment which draws possibility of robbery, rape and worse; reduction to habitat for wildlife; create foothold for future development of natural sanctuary; hidden from casual observation by drivers and police; accidents involving personal injury to solitary riders would go unnoticed until next biker happened along; cost is higher.
- Have yet to hear a good argument on why this study is needed waste of money.

## IDEA GENERATION or SUGGESTION

- Add a bike path/pedestrian path the continuation of the one there now (all the way to K Street in Kure Beach). (34 comments received)
- Currently sight distance is a problem making a right turn from Ocean Blvd. to Dow Road.
- Add a light at Ocean Blvd.
- Maintain two primary lanes turn lanes might help.
- Make improvements to Dow Road, K Avenue and through existing stop light, then north and south on US 421. Discourage through traffic on 7<sup>th</sup>, 6<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> streets in Kure Beach.
- Make Dow Road a three-lane road using two lanes for exit in an emergency and keep the middle lane as a turning lane during normal use. (2 comments received)
- Bike path should not be next to road or shoulder 20 feet away is better. (4 comments received)
- Unnecessary expense improve it by widening but not extending it.
  - Create a wide shoulder as an alternative.
- Maintain Dow Road as a 'through road' and widen so slow moving traffic is less of an inhibitor.
- Improve the "blind corner" at Ocean Blvd/Dow Road.
- Modify sharp turn at K Avenue.
- Rebuild Dow road only to the capacity of Snow's Cut Bridge.
- Design to accommodate residents, south end should be smaller two lanes and grow as traffic load requires (south to north).

- Pleasure Island needs expansion and access to the south for both cars and bicycles.
- Any taking of land from Carolina Beach State Park would require an act of the state legislature.
  - Land owned by Carolina Beach State Park has routine prescribed burns on both sides of Dow Road (may cause occasional inconveniences for drivers using Dow as bypass).

## **Neighborhood/Community Impacts**

## FAVOR

- Big plus, makes the street (Ft Fisher Blvd) much safer.
- Would improve traffic flow off the island.
- Bypassing K Blvd should help the local neighborhood. (4 comments received)
  - Improve quality of life; easy traffic congestion; will provide avenues for future economic resources.

## OPPOSED

- It would bypass the town's 'business districts' (bad for businesses) Carolina Beach and Kure Beach. (17 comments received)
  - Could change dynamics of business district to local dining destination and a pure destination for lodging.
- It would mean more police patrol who would this be? Who pays for it?
- It would destroy wetlands for a road to be used three months out of the year. (3 comments received)
  - $\circ$  Where would this road end in Ft. Fisher, in the Ferry Terminal, near people's homes?
- Business loss for locals. Will not decrease overcrowding of island traffic. (2 comments received)
- This is a quiet beach community would increase traffic speed and noise. (4 comments received)
- Negative for residential (particularly children at play). (2 comments received)
- Honor MOTSU commitment to keep buffer zone intact.

## **IDEA GENERATION or SUGGESTION**

- Try selling it by adding a bike trail. (5 comments received)
- Not feasible since it is a hurricane evacuation route and two-lane bridge (Snow's Cut) would create bottleneck.

## **Environmental or Other Issues**

## FAVOR

• None

## OPPOSED

- Do not ruin the little wetland and natural area on this island; do not abuse historic sites. (4 comments received)
- Creates negative impact on storm water runoff with more asphalt, loss of trees and impacts wetlands. (3 comments received)
- Just negative, negative, negative.
- Storm water runoff and natural drainage will cause significant issues. (3 comments received)

- Will impact wildlife deer, birds, etc. (7 comments received)
  - Deer overpopulated on Federal property causing accidents with cars (and you propose more cars?). Get the government to rid the deer and folks may think differently about it.
- According to geologists, all efforts must be made to reduce the erosion of our island by less development and less destruction of natural habitat.
  - Valuable wetlands that protect island from flooding, major east coast refuge for many migratory birds, carnivorous plants.
- Dow Road extension beyond K Avenue would negatively affect recreational area would require more safety (Fire & Police).

## **IDEA GENERATION or SUGGESTION**

- Bike lanes should be in the form of a very wide shoulder, not the narrow ones as on River Road. (2 comments received)
- Need more representatives from Carolina and Kure Beach on your committee. (2 comments received)
- Spend our tax dollars elsewhere!
- Visibility issues cut back trees or vegetation for all turning lanes within five mile study area. (2 comments received)
  - Reduce speed limit.
- Wildlife impact needs serious review (deer, etc.) (2 comments received)
- Do a fly-over through the Air Force Recreation Center.
- A large crosswalk from K Avenue to the pier area at the stoplight should be painted on the Big Daddy's side so that pedestrians can cross at the light without getting in the way of cars and trucks that turn right to go toward Fort Fisher.
- If you want to use our taxpayer's money wisely, widen River Road and put a light at River and Carolina Beach Roads.
- Concerned about getting off the island for a Category 3 or 4 Hurricane US 421 is totally submerged following one of these storms. Create high routes to avoid flooding and possibly make a toll road like Hilton Head. This would defray the cost of construction.
- Project should consider landscaping, choice of pavement, etc.; keeping it two lanes rather than four lanes would be far preferable.





# **DOW ROAD CORRIDOR STUDY**

Summary of Public Comments September 28, 2009

## **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
Summary of Contents	4
Dow Road Route	4
Agree	4
Opposed	4
Idea Generation or Suggestion	4
Neighborhood/Community Impacts	4
Agree	4
Opposed	4
Environmental or Other Issues	4
Agree	4
Opposed	4





## Introduction

The Wilmington Metropolitan Planning Organization (WMPO) held a Public Workshop for the Dow Road Corridor Study on the following date:

## Monday, September 28, 2009 – Carolina Beach Town Hall, Carolina Beach

The local public was notified of the workshop in advance in the following ways:

- Newspaper advertisement in Island Gazette and Wilmington Morning Star
- Flyer posted on Carolina Beach / Kure Beach area businesses
- Email Notification to public officials and agencies.

The corridor study was to initially analyze the widening of Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, as well as extending Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 (Fort Fisher Boulevard) on new location. Due to lack of support from some residents, the Town of Kure Beach, and some public agencies, the study was re-scoped to study only the existing alignment of Dow Road and what improvements may be needed to handle future projected traffic volumes and to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists. Currently, Dow Road is a two-lane, undivided road that includes bike lanes and extends through Carolina and Kure Beaches. The study recommends adding a multi-use recreational path for bicycles, pedestrians, skaters and other users and additional turn lanes and signage to provide for safe and efficient vehicular movements. The area being studied is approximately 5.3 miles in length, bordered within the Military Ocean Terminal, Sunny Point (MOTSU) Blast Buffer.

The purpose of the workshop was to review and discuss the findings of the Dow Road Corridor Study, and to provide participants an opportunity to speak to WMPO and Consultant team staff and make verbal and written comments.

As participants arrived, they were asked to sign in and provided a newsletter and comment sheet regarding the Dow Road Corridor Study. The Comment Sheet included three special topics of interest to write comments, which included:

- Dow Road Improvements
- Neighborhood/Community Impacts
- Environmental or Other Issues

The format of the workshop provided citizens' an opportunity to learn about the project, understand the social, environmental and economic character of the area, ask questions and offer input to MPO staff and consultant team members. Maps, displays and other project information were available for viewing to provide participants a good understanding of the project.

Mr. Mike Kozlosky, WMPO Project Manager, Mr. Matt Pickens, Consultant Team Project Manager, Mr. Tim Owens of Carolina Beach, and consultant team members were available at the workshop to answer questions.

A total of 10 people signed in at the workshop. Additionally, a total of 4 comment sheets were completed at the meeting and/or received by mail or via email. A summary of all written comments is presented below.

## **Summary of Comments**

## September 28, 2009 – CAROLINA BEACH TOWN HALL, CAROLINA BEACH

## **Dow Road Improvements**

### FAVOR

- Support Dow Road / K Avenue curve improvements
- Pleased with bikes paths and Dow Road ending at K Avenue
- Supports extending Dow Road south to bypass Kure Beach
- Supports the idea of connecting bicycle / foot paths whenever possible, possibly eliminating this kind of traffic in congested areas and creating safe zones

## OPPOSED

• NONE

## **IDEA GENERATION or SUGGESTION**

- Keep the possibility of extending Dow Road, traffic is so much worse now on US 421 (2 comments)
- Bring the bike path closer into residential and commercial areas (1 comment)

## **Neighborhood/Community Impacts**

## FAVOR

• NONE

## OPPOSED

NONE

## **Environmental or Other Issues**

## FAVOR

• NONE

## OPPOSED

NONE



## TOWN COUNCIL TOWN OF KURE BEACH, NC

# ESOLUTION BYTHE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF KURE BEACH

## OPPOSING EXTENSION OF DOW ROAD BEYOND K AVENUE THROUGH THE SUNNY POINT BUFFER ZONE

**WHEREAS:** The Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Advisory Committee is conducting the Dow Road Corridor Study to analyze the widening of Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, as well as extending Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 (Fort Fisher Boulevard), and

**WHEREAS:** The Kure Beach Town Council is in favor of a bike path from the Town to the Fort Fisher Ferry, and

**WHEREAS:** the Kure Beach Town Council is in favor of improving Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, especially the shoulders and road condition; and

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED,** that the Kure Beach Town Council does hereby publicly state opposition to the extension of Dow Road beyond K Avenue through the Sunny Point buffer zone for the following reasons:

1. Creating a new right of way through the Sunny Point Military Operations Terminal 'buffer zone' poses additional security risks in that it is outside the Town's jurisdiction and thus patrolling and monitoring traffic brings additional problems.

2. The proposed route interferes with and infringes on the US Air Force Recreation Center at Fort Fisher. This facility contributes immensely to the Town's local economy and is a vital morale support issue for US Armed Forces and their families.

3. The Town of Kure Beach is one of Pleasure Island's top tourist attractions with visitors from all over the United States. The proposed route will divert traffic away from the Kure Beach business district which will have a significant negative economic impact. This comes at a time when the Town is exploring new economic development opportunities for the Town, not creating bypasses around the businesses.

4. This project will have significant and profound impacts on the environmental makeup of the area surrounding the Kure Beach community by degrading the environment and increasing pollution and storm water issues that neither the Town nor Sunny Point are prepared to deal with.

Approved this 17th day of June, 2008. 19**%7**TT By: Nancy Av Town Mag Montgome y, Mayor

Opposition to Dow Road extension



Coleen Sullins, Director Division of Water Quality

June 16, 2008

NorthCarolin

Naturally

### MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. SunTemple Helgren Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

From: David Wainwright, NC Division of Water Quality

Reference your correspondence dated May 26, 2008 in which you requested comments for the referenced project. Preliminary analysis of the project reveals the potential for multiple impacts to perennial streams and jurisdictional wetlands in the project area. More specifically, impacts to:

Stream Name	River Basin	Stream Classification(s)	Stream Index Number
UT to Cape Fear River	Cape Fear	SC	18-(71)
UT to Cape Fear River	Cape Fear	SC	18-(71)
UT to Cape Fear River	Cape Fear	SC	18-(71)

Due to the nature of the area in and around the project, this project has the potential to have significant impacts to wetlands. Wilbur Smith Associates and the Wilmington Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (WMPO) are strongly urged to consider measures which will keep impacts to natural resources to a minimum. Wilbur Smith Associates and the WMPO are respectfully reminded that in order to obtain a 401 Water Quality Certification applicants must show avoidance and minimization to the greatest extent practicable.

Further investigations at a higher resolution should be undertaken to verify the presence of other streams and/or jurisdictional wetlands in the area. In the event that any jurisdictional areas are identified, the Division of Water Quality requests that Wilbur Smith Associates and the WMPO consider the following environmental issues for the proposed project:

 Environmental documentations should provide a detailed and itemized presentation of the proposed impacts to wetlands and streams with corresponding mapping. If mitigation is necessary as required by 15A NCAC 2H.0506(h), it is preferable to present a conceptual (if not finalized) mitigation plan with the environmental documentation. Appropriate mitigation plans will be required prior to issuance of a 401 Water Quality Certification.

Transportation Permitting Unit

1650 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1650

2321 Crabtree Boulevard, Suite 250, Raleigh, North Carolina 27604

Phone: 919-733-1786 / FAX 919-733-6893 / Internet: http://h2o.enr.state.nc.us/ncwetlands

An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Employer - 50% Recycled/10% Post Consumer Paper

Subject: Scoping comments on proposed widening and extension of Dow Road (SR 1573) in New Hanover County.

- Environmental assessment alternatives should consider design criteria that reduce the impacts to streams and wetlands from storm water runoff. These alternatives should include road designs that allow for treatment of the storm water runoff through best management practices as detailed in the most recent version of NC DWQ Stormwater Best Management Practices, such as grassed swales, buffer areas, preformed scour holes, retention basins, etc.
- 3. After the selection of the preferred alternative and prior to an issuance of the 401 Water Quality Certification, the NCDOT is respectfully reminded that they will need to demonstrate the avoidance and minimization of impacts to wetlands (and streams) to the maximum extent practical. In accordance with the Environmental Management Commission's Rules (15A NCAC 2H.0506[h]), mitigation will be required for impacts of greater than 1 acre to wetlands. In the event that mitigation is required, the mitigation plan should be designed to replace appropriate lost functions and values. The NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program may be available for use as wetland mitigation.
- 4. In accordance with the Environmental Management Commission's Rules (15A NCAC 2H.0506[h]), mitigation will be required for impacts of greater than 150 linear feet to any single perennial stream. In the event that mitigation is required, the mitigation plan should be designed to replace appropriate lost functions and values. The NC Ecosystem Enhancement Program may be available for use as stream mitigation.
- DWQ is very concerned with sediment and erosion impacts that could result from this project. NC DOT should address these concerns by describing the potential impacts that may occur to the aquatic environments and any mitigating factors that would reduce the impacts.
- If a bridge is being replaced with a hydraulic conveyance other than another bridge, DWQ believes the use of a Nationwide Permit may be required. Please contact the US Army Corp of Engineers to determine the required permit(s).
- If the old bridge is removed, no discharge of bridge material into surface waters is allowed unless otherwise authorized by the US ACOE. Strict adherence to the Corps of Engineers guidelines for bridge demolition will be a condition of the 401 Water Quality Certification.
- 8. Bridge supports (bents) should not be placed in the stream when possible.
- 9. Whenever possible, the DWQ prefers spanning structures. Spanning structures usually do not require work within the stream or grubbing of the streambanks and do not require stream channel realignment. The horizontal and vertical clearances provided by bridges allow for human and wildlife passage beneath the structure, do not block fish passage and do not block navigation by canoeists and boaters.
- 10. Bridge deck drains should not discharge directly into the stream. Stormwater should be directed across the bridge and pre-treated through site-appropriate means (grassed swales, pre-formed scour holes, vegetated buffers, etc.) before entering the stream. Please refer to the most current version of the DWQ's Stormwater Best Management Practices.

- If concrete is used during construction, a dry work area should be maintained to prevent direct contact between curing concrete and stream water. Water that inadvertently contacts uncured concrete should not be discharged to surface waters due to the potential for elevated pH and possible aquatic life and fish kills.
- 12. If temporary access roads or detours are constructed, the site should be graded to its preconstruction contours and elevations. Disturbed areas should be seeded or mulched to stabilize the soil and appropriate native woody species should be planted. When using temporary structures the area should be cleared but not grubbed. Clearing the area with chain saws, mowers, bush-hogs, or other mechanized equipment and leaving the stumps and root mat intact allows the area to re-vegetate naturally and minimizes soil disturbance.
- 13. Placement of culverts and other structures in waters, streams, and wetlands should be below the elevation of the streambed by one foot for all culverts with a diameter greater than 48 inches, and 20 percent of the culvert diameter for culverts having a diameter less than 48 inches, to allow low flow passage of water and aquatic life. Design and placement of culverts and other structures including temporary erosion control measures should not be conducted in a manner that may result in dis-equilibrium of wetlands or streambeds or banks, adjacent to or upstream and down stream of the above structures. The applicant is required to provide evidence that the equilibrium is being maintained if requested in writing by the DWQ. If this condition is unable to be met due to bedrock or other limiting features encountered during construction, please contact the NC DWQ for guidance on how to proceed and to determine whether or not a permit modification will be required.
- 14. If multiple pipes or barrels are required, they should be designed to mimic natural stream cross section as closely as possible including pipes or barrels at flood plain elevation and/or sills where appropriate. Widening the stream channel should be avoided. Stream channel widening at the inlet or outlet end of structures typically decreases water velocity causing sediment deposition that requires increased maintenance and disrupts aquatic life passage.
- If foundation test borings are necessary; it should be noted in the document. Geotechnical work is approved under General 401 Certification Number 3494/Nationwide Permit No. 6 for Survey Activities.
- 16. Sediment and erosion control measures sufficient to protect water resources must be implemented and maintained in accordance with the most recent version of North Carolina Sediment and Erosion Control Planning and Design Manual and the most recent version of NCS000250.
- 17. All work in or adjacent to stream waters should be conducted in a dry work area unless otherwise approved by NC DWQ. Approved BMP measures from the most current version of NCDOT Construction and Maintenance Activities manual such as sandbags, rock berms, cofferdams and other diversion structures should be used to prevent excavation in flowing water.
- 18. Sediment and erosion control measures should not be placed in wetlands and streams.
- 19. Borrow/waste areas should avoid wetlands to the maximum extent practical. Impacts to wetlands in borrow/waste areas could precipitate compensatory mitigation.

- While the use of National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps, NC Coastal Region Evaluation of Wetland Significance (NC-CREWS) maps and soil survey maps are useful tools, their inherent inaccuracies require that qualified personnel perform onsite wetland delineations prior to permit approval.
- 21. Heavy equipment should be operated from the bank rather than in stream channels in order to minimize sedimentation and reduce the likelihood of introducing other pollutants into streams. This equipment should be inspected daily and maintained to prevent contamination of surface waters from leaking fuels, lubricants, hydraulic fluids, or other toxic materials.
- 22. In most cases, the DWQ prefers the replacement of the existing structure at the same location with road closure. If road closure is not feasible, a temporary detour should be designed and located to avoid wetland impacts, minimize the need for clearing and to avoid destabilizing stream banks. If the structure will be on a new alignment, the old structure should be removed and the approach fills removed from the 100-year floodplain. Approach fills should be removed and restored to the natural ground elevation. The area should be stabilized with grass and planted with native tree species. Tall fescue should not be used in riparian areas.
- 23. Riprap should not be placed in the active thalweg channel or placed in the streambed in a manner that precludes aquatic life passage. Bioengineering boulders or structures should be properly designed, sized and installed.

Thank you for requesting our input at this time. The DOT is reminded that issuance of a 401 Water Quality Certification requires that appropriate measures be instituted to ensure that water quality standards are met and designated uses are not degraded or lost. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact David Wainwright at (919) 773-3415.

cc: Brad Shaver, US Army Corps of Engineers, Wilmington Field Office Travis Wilson, NC Wildlife Resources Commission Steve Sollod, Division of Coastal Management Chris Militscher, Environmental Protection Agency Ken Averitte, DWQ Fayetteville Regional Office File Copy



Ms. SunTemple Helgren Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

Subject: Planning Study --Widening of Dow Road from US 421 in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, and then Extending Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 in Kure Beach; New Hanover County

Dear Ms. Helgren:

The Natural Heritage Program has a number of records of rare species, significant natural heritage areas (SNHA), and managed/conservation areas in the vicinity of the project area. These rare species and sites are associated with the Carolina Beach State Park SNHA and the MOTSU Buffer Zone SNHA, both of which are State significant. I am enclosing descriptions of the two SNHAs, from the <u>Natural Area</u> <u>Inventory of New Hanover County, North Carolina</u> (2003), by Richard LeBlond (Natural Heritage Program). The reports name the many rare species and natural communities present in these two sites.

Some of the most urgent protection of natural resources in the state involves maritime forests and other maritime habitats, such as coastal sandhills Most of such habitat types have already been lost to development, especially in Brunswick and New Hanover counties. Some of the best remaining habitats along the southern coast are those at the MOTSU Buffer Zone and at Carolina Beach State Park. The northern 2/3rds of the proposed project would impact several acres along one or both sides of Dow Road by the presumed clearing of some maritime forest. The southern third of the project, extending Dow Road south from K Road as a completely new road, would not only remove additional acres of maritime habitat but would fragment forest on both sides.

The Natural Heritage Program does not support this project. In particular, the extension of Dow Road south of K Road would do irreparable damage to maritime forest communities, and to the plants and animals that inhabit them. Because the project involves the taking of land from the State (Carolina Beach State Park, administered by the N.C. Division of Parks and Recreation) and the Federal government (Sunny Point Military Ocean Terminal, owned by the U.S. Department of Defense), these agencies will need to be contacted for their comments on the project.

You may wish to check the Natural Heritage Program database website at www.ncnhp.org for a listing of rare plants and animals and significant natural communities in the county and on the quad map. Our Program also has a new website that allows users to obtain information on element occurrences and significant natural heritage areas within two miles of a given location:

<a href="http://nhpweb.enr.state.nc.us/nhis/public/gmap75\_main.phtml">http://nhpweb.enr.state.nc.us/nhis/public/gmap75\_main.phtml</a>. The user name is "public" and the password is "heritage". You may want to click "Help" for more information.

1601 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-1601 Phone: 919-733-4984 \ FAX: 919-715-3060 \ Internet: www.enr.state.nc.us/ENR/



NC OneMap now provides digital Natural Heritage data online for free. This service provides site specific information on GIS layers with Natural Heritage Program rare species occurrences and Significant Natural Heritage Areas. The NC OneMap website provides Element Occurrence (EO) ID numbers (instead of species name), and the data user is then encouraged to contact the Natural Heritage Program for detailed information. This service allows the user to quickly and efficiently get site specific NHP data without visiting the NHP workroom or waiting for the Information Request to be answered by NHP staff. For more information about data formats and access, visit <<u>www.nconemap.com></u>, then click on "FTP Data Download", and then "nheo.zip" [to the right of "Natural Heritage Element Occurrences"]. You may also e-mail NC OneMap at <<u>dataq@ncmail.net></u> for more information.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at 919-715-8697 if you have questions or need further information.

Sincerely,

Hany E. Wohand . fr

Harry E. LeGrand, Jr., Zoologist Natural Heritage Program

Enclosures

cc: Brian Strong, N.C. Division of Parks and Recreation



### New Hanover County Natural Area Inventory

## CAROLINA BEACH STATE PARK Significant Natural Heritage Area

Site significance: state Size: 600 acres Quadrangle: Carolina Beach Ownership: N.C. Division of Parks and Recreation, U.S. Department of Defense, private

SIGNIFICANT FEATURES: Carolina Beach State Park natural area possesses a high diversity of plants, animals, and natural communities. It has more rare species than any other natural area in New Hanover County, with 17 rare plants and nine rare animals documented from the site. Among the rare animals, Federally and State Threatened American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis) can be found in the marshes here bordering Cape Fear River. Three Federal Species of Concern also occur here: eastern painted bunting (Passerina ciris ciris), gopher frog (Rana capito), and Croatan crayfish (Procambarus plumimanus). The site contains the only known current population in North Carolina for the State Endangered eastern coral snake (Micrurus fulvius). Among the rare plants are Venus flytrap (Dionaea muscipula) and coastal beaksedge (Rhynchospora pleiantha), both Federal Species of Concern. Another indication of biological diversity at this site is the occurrence of 11 natural community types, the most of any natural area in the county. Among these are the uncommon to rare Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant, Coastal Fringe Sandhill, and Xeric Sandhill Scrub Coastal Fringe Variant. Two wetland communities, Small Depression Pond and Vernal Pool, contain the great majority of rare plants in the park, and are excellent examples of their community types. The site also contains a newly identified community association currently known only along the saltwater tidal shores of Cape Fear River, and called Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest.

LANDSCAPE RELATIONSHIPS: This natural area is located in southern New Hanover County along the east shore of Cape Fear River, just northwest of the town of Carolina Beach. It includes a large portion of Carolina Beach State Park, plus a smaller area of adjacent undeveloped private land. MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area lies one-half mile southward, but the two natural areas are separated by a county waste treatment facility. Doctor Point Hammocks natural area lies one mile to the north, beyond Snows Cut. Land to the east is mostly residentially and commercially developed. The site forms the northern end of the Carolina Beach Macrosite within the Cape Fear Megasite.

SITE DESCRIPTION: Carolina Beach State Park natural area occurs on a diverse landscape of sand ridges, dunes, swales, and embayments. The geology and biology reflect its unusual topographic position between the ocean and a large river. In this area, New Hanover County in essence is a mainland peninsula with features similar to those of a barrier island. In the natural area, the sand ridges and interior dunes support longleaf pine communities wherever fire has been a natural component of the landscape. There are two longleaf pine communities associated with drier ridges at this site: Coastal Fringe Sandhill and Xeric Sandhill Scrub Coastal Fringe Variant. Two other longleaf pine communities are found on low flats adjacent to the ridges and dunes: Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant and Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant. In areas where the

sand ridges and dunes have naturally been protected from fire, the Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest can be found. The Small Depression Pocosin, Small Depression Pond, and Vernal Pool communities occur in wet depressions between the sand ridges and dunes, and Streamhead Pocosin is found along low slopes associated with small stream headwaters. Two other communities are associated with embayments along the Cape Fear River: Brackish Marsh in the embayments, and the newly identified Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest on natural levees between marsh habitat and the river.

Coastal Fringe Sandhill is found primarily on dry sands of relict beach dunes and slopes of sand ridges. The canopy of longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) is sparse, while the oak understory is open to dense. The dominant oak is sand live oak (*Quercus geminata*), sometimes co-dominating with turkey oak (*Q. laevis*). Shrubs and herbs are patchy to moderate where the understory is open, and sparse where little light reaches the ground. Prominent shrubs include southern blueberry (*Vaccinium tenellum*) and deerberry (*V. stamineum*). Reindeer lichen (*Cladonia* sp.) and oaktoes lichen (*Cladina evansii*) are the most frequent ground layer dominants, with Carolina wiregrass (*Aristida stricta*) and sandhill beaksedge (*Rhynchospora megalocarpa*) prominent. This community is virtually restricted to within five miles of the coast, and is only known from Brunswick County north to Carteret County.

Xeric Sandhill Scrub Coastal Fringe Variant occurs on the driest sands of ridges and dunes. It has an open canopy of longleaf pine, which is stunted in some areas, apparently due to extreme dryness. The open to dense oak understory is dominated by turkey oak, with dwarf huckleberry (*Gaylussacia dumosa*) and southern blueberry dominating the patchy shrub layer. Wiregrass and reindeer and oaktoes lichens are patch dominants in the ground layer. This community is distinguished from other xeric sandhill communities by the presence of species primarily found along the coast, such as sandhill beaksedge and oaktoes lichen. It also occurs in association with the Coastal Fringe Sandhill community.

Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant is found on flats and gentle slopes where the sand is wet yearround. The sparse canopy of longleaf pine and pond pine (*Pinus serotina*) allows plenty of light to reach the forest floor, enhancing herb diversity. Carolina wiregrass is the dominant ground layer plant, although in the past such shrubs as dwarf huckleberry had become prominent due to lack of fire. More recently, prescribed burns have reduced shrub dominance and are allowing the grasses and wildflowers to resume natural prominence.

Sands that are wet in spring but dry out later in the growing season support the Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant community. It has an open, mature canopy of uneven-aged longleaf pine over a fairly dense ground layer of Carolina wiregrass and creeping blueberry (*Vaccinium crassifolium*). Staggerbush (*Lyonia mariana*) forms patches. In some areas, shrub dominance and litter buildup have resulted from an extended period without fire.

The Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest community occurs on relict sand dunes and low upland terraces near the river. It is more exposed to wind and salt spray than the interior areas, and naturally burns less frequently than the longleaf pine communities. As a result, its vegetation more closely resembles that of the maritime forests found on barrier islands. Sand laurel oak (*Quercus hemisphaerica*), live oak (*Q. virginicus*), and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) form a moderately dense canopy over an understory of American holly (*Ilex opaca*) and wild olive (*Osmanthus americanus*). The shrub layer is dominated by yaupon (*I. vomitoria*), swamp red bay (*Persea palustris*), and dwarf palmetto (*Sabal minor*). Herbs are sparse.

The Small Depression Pocosin, Small Depression Pond, and Vernal Pool communities occur in small isolated depressions called limesinks. These depressions intersect the water table, and are formed by the dissolution of underground limestone, resulting in the collapse of the overlying sand. The Small Depression Pocosin is characterized by a canopy of pond pine and loblolly pine over a dense shrub layer of swamp red bay, inkberry (*Ilex glabra*), blue huckleberry (*Gaylussacia frondosa*), and blaspheme-vine (*Smilax laurifolia*).

Small Depression Ponds are permanently or semipermanently flooded limesink depressions. They may be without standing water for brief periods during droughts, but at least the centers remain mucky and support aquatic vegetation. Most years, these ponds experience high water levels during winter and spring. As the water table drops during the growing season, the pond shores become exposed and support a high diversity of quick-growing grasses, sedges, and wilfdlowers, including several rare species. Taller grasses and sedges emerge though the shallow pond waters. Dominance patterns are variable, not only within the various pond zones, but from year to year. Pond-cypress (*Taxodium ascendens*) forms a canopy at one pond, and water lily (*Nymphaea odorata*) is dominant at another. Other dominant plants include maidencane (*Panicum hemitomon*) and Tracy's beaksedge (*Rhynchospora tracyi*), a rare species. Dahoon (*Ilex cassine*) is a prominent shrub and small tree around several ponds; in North Carolina, it is known only from New Hanover and Brunswick counties.

The Vernal Pool community is similar to the Small Depression Pond, but typically dries out during most growing seasons and lacks a central aquatic zone. These conditions-flooded in spring, exposed in summer-are ideal breeding habitat for amphibians, as the Vernal Pool cannot support egg- and tadpole-eating fish populations. Because these depressions dry out in the summer, the vegetation includes some species more commonly associated with longleaf pine flatwoods and savannas. Dominant plants include little bluestem (*Schizachyrium scoparium*), switchcane (*Panicum virgatum*), and common broomsedge (*Andropogon virginicus*). The Vernal Pool and Small Depression Pond communities contain the majority of rare plant species found in the site.

Streamhead Pocosin is found along small drains known as streamheads. The drains form the headwaters of small streams, and the soils are saturated to shallowly inundated. These conditions are favorable for pocosin vegetation, which is characterized by dense wetland shrubs on acidic organic soils. The canopy is composed of pond pine, loblolly pine, loblolly bay (*Gordonia lasianthus*), and swamp red bay. The dense shrub layer is dominated by fetterbush (*Lyonia lucida*), inkberry, wax-myrtle (*Morella cerifera*), titi (*Cyrilla racemiflora*), and blaspheme-vine.

Brackish Marsh is found in small embayments along the Cape Fear River. Portions of these marshes are flooded daily, while areas farther from tidal creeks are flooded only during lunar and storm tides.

Due to the upstream location, river salinity is reduced. Black needlerush (Juncus roemerianus) is the most frequent dominant, with giant cordgrass (Spartina cynosuroides) prominent along tidal creeks, and sawgrass (Cladium jamaicense) forming patches away from the creeks.

The newly identified Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest is found on narrow levees between the Brackish Marsh embayments and Cape Fear River. The narrow sandy levee is a patchwork of dense oak forest intermixed with open areas sparsely vegetated by grasses. This community brings together species found on coastal sand dunes with species normally found in sandhill habitat well inland from the ocean. The canopy is dominated by live oak, sand live oak, and sand laurel oak. The shrub layer is dominated by yaupon, with October-flower (*Polygonella polygama* var. *polygama*) and Adam's needle (*Yucca filamentosa*) prominent. Open patches are sparsely dominated by the unusual combination of big three-awn (*Aristida condensata*), an inland sandhills grass, and bitter seabeach grass (*Panicum amarum* var. *amarum*), a plant of coastal dunes. This community likely has been impacted by river dredging, and spoil may have been placed on portions of it historically at this site. First identified in 2002, this community association is currently known only from New Hanover County.

The majority of habitat at Carolina Beach State Park is dry sandhill with an open canopy, moderate understory, and sparse to patchy shrub and ground layers. There are also forested areas with denser hardwood canopies. Several isolated depressions contain ponded to vegetated wetlands. These variable conditions provide home and foraging habitat for many animal species, including rare birds, reptiles, and amphibians. The park contains a remarkable number of rare snake species, though populations are likely small because of surrounding development and habitat fragmentation. The sandhill understory oaks provide mast for game animals, and the isolated depressions provide critical amphibian breeding sites.

MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION: The southwestern portion of the natural area (and state park) is located within the Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point Buffer Zone, owned by the U.S. Department of Defense. This portion of the Buffer Zone is leased to the N.C. Division of Parks and Recreation, which manages this land together with state-owned land as Carolina Beach State Park. The state park is managed to protect the natural ecosystem while allowing for public recreational use. Public use areas are monitored to insure that the type and volume of use does not exceed an area's carrying capacity. Wherever and whenever possible, fire is used to maintain and enhance the communities of the longleaf pine ecosystem. However, increased adjacent residential and commercial development could limit the use of fire as a management tool in the future. There is also concern that increased ground water withdrawal in the area could impact the limesink communities.

NATURAL COMMUNITIES: Brackish Marsh, Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest, Coastal Fringe Sandhill, Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant, Small Depression Pocosin, Small Depression Pond, Streamhead Pocosin, Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest (newly identified), Vernal Pool, Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant, Xeric Sandhill Scrub Coastal Fringe Variant.

RARE PLANTS: branched gerardia (Agalinis virgata), savanna milkwort (Asclepias pedicellata), Venus flytrap (Dionaea muscipula), coralbean (Erythrina herbacea), limesink dog-fennel (Eupatorium leptophyllum), dissected sneezeweed (Helenium pinnatifidum), flaxleaf seedbox (Ludwigia linifolia), shrubby seedbox (Ludwigia suffruticosa), southeastern panic grass (Panicum tenerum), West Indies meadow-beauty (Rhexia cubensis), coastal beaksedge (Rhynchospora pleiantha), long-beak baldsedge (Rhynchospora scirpoides), Tracy's beaksedge (Rhynchospora tracyi), quillwort arrowhead (Sagittaria isoetiformis), Georgia nutrush (Scleria georgiana), netted nutrush (Scleria reticularis), dwarf bladderwort (Utricularia olivacea).

**RARE ANIMALS:** Vertebrates - American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*), timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*), chicken turtle (*Deirochelys reticularia*), coachwhip (*Masticophis flagellum*), eastern coral snake (*Micrurus fulvius*), eastern painted bunting (*Passerina ciris ciris*), gopher frog (*Rana capito*), pigmy rattlesnake (*Sistrurus miliarius*). Invertebrates - Croatan crayfish (*Procambarus plumimanus*).

#### **REFERENCES:**

- LeBlond, R.J. 2002. Carolina Beach State Park plant species list small depression ponds. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- North Carolina Vegetation Survey. 1992. Vegetation sample data: Carolina Beach State Park. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C.
- Schafale, M.P. 1990. Supplemental notes for January 17, 1988 visit. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- \_\_\_\_\_. 1990. Site survey report: Carolina Beach State Park. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- Schafale, M.P., C. Tingley, R. Marty, and L. Dillard. 1989. Site survey report: Carolina Beach State Park. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- Sieren, D.J. 1991. Flora of Carolina Beach State Park interim report no. 2. University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Sieren, D.J., and K.R. Warr. 1990. Flora of Carolina Beach State Park interim report no. 1. University of North Carolina at Wilmington, Wilmington, N.C.
- Taggart, J.B., and T.J. Dickerson. 1980. Carolina Beach State Park Natural Area. Report to Division of Parks and Recreation, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.



#### New Hanover County Natural Area Inventory

### MOTSU BUFFER ZONE NATURAL AREA Significant Natural Heritage Area (MOTSU = Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point)

Site significance: state Quadrangles: Carolina Beach, Kure Beach Size: 1190 acres Ownership: U.S. Department of Defense

SIGNIFICANT FEATURES: MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area contains extensive, good quality habitat for longleaf pine and coastal fringe ecosystems, supporting eight natural community types, including the uncommon to rare Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest, Coastal Fringe Sandhill, Small Depression Pond, and Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant. The site also contains a newly identified community association currently known only along the saltwater tidal shores of Cape Fear River, and called Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest. The natural area supports populations for four rare animal species, including Federal Species of Concern eastern painted bunting (*Passerina ciris ciris*). Populations for three rare plant species are also present, including the only population in North Carolina for Confederate huckleberry (*Gaylussacia nana*), and one of only two populations in the state for Florida scrub frostweed (*Helianthemum nashii*).

LANDSCAPE RELATIONSHIPS: This site is located in southern New Hanover County along the Cape Fear River, between Carolina Beach State Park and Fort Fisher ferry landing. Carolina Beach State Park lies one-half mile to the north, but the two natural areas are separated by a county waste treatment facility. Near the southern end of the site, Fort Fisher Coquina Outcrop natural area lies about 1000 feet to the east, and Fort Fisher State Recreation Area lies only 500 feet to the southeast, across highway US 421 near the ferry landing. The site forms the southern end of the Carolina Beach Macrosite within the Cape Fear Megasite.

SITE DESCRIPTION: MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area is a diverse landscape of open sandhills, flatwoods, and savannas, dense coastal fringe forests, and tidal marshes. The geology and biology reflect its unusual topographic position between the ocean and a large river. In this area, New Hanover County in essence is a mainland peninsula with features similar to those of a barrier island. In the natural area, the sand ridges and interior dunes support longleaf pine communities wherever fire has been a natural component of the landscape. Drier sands support the Coastal Fringe Sandhill community while wetter sands produce Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant and Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant. Pond Pine Woodland is found on saturated soils in a mosaic with the savanna and flatwoods communities. The Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest can be found in areas where the sand ridges and dunes have naturally been protected from fire. A Small Depression Pond occurs in a swale between forested low sand ridges. Two other communities are associated with embayments along the Cape Fear River: Brackish Marsh in the embayments, and the newly identified Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest on natural levees between marsh habitat and the river.

Coastal Fringe Sandhill occurs on dry sands of gently rolling, low sandhills. Scattered longleaf pine (*Pinus palustris*) form the canopy over an open to moderate subcanopy of sand live oak (*Quercus* 

geminata), turkey oak (Q. laevis), and sand laurel oak (Q. hemisphaerica). Dwarf wax-myrtle (Morella pumila), common October-flower (Polygonella polygama var. polygama), and southern blueberry (Vaccinium tenellum) are characteristic of the open shrub layer. Carolina wiregrass (Aristida stricta) and sandhill beaksedge (Rhynchospora megalocarpa) are frequent in the sparse ground layer, with reindeer lichen (Cladonia sp.) and oaktoes lichen (Cladina evansii) forming patches. Although in need of fire, the occurrence here is one of the best examples of this rare community type in North Carolina.

Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant is found in a small area where the sand is wet year-round. The sparse canopy of longleaf pine and pond pine (*Pinus serotina*) allows plenty of light to reach the forest floor, enhancing herb diversity. Carolina wiregrass is the dominant ground layer plant. Sands that are wet in spring but dry out later in the growing season support the Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant community. It has an open, mature canopy of longleaf pine over an open shrub layer where staggerbush (*Lyonia mariana*) is prominent, and a moderately dense ground layer of Carolina wiregrass and creeping blueberry (*Vaccinium crassifolium*). In some areas, shrub dominance and litter buildup have resulted from an extended period without fire. Pond Pine Woodland occupies saturated soils within and adjacent to the longleaf pine communities where a mucky organic layer has developed. It is characterized by an open canopy of pond pine over a dense shrub layer of inkberry (*Ilex glabra*), fetterbush (*Lyonia lucida*), and swamp red bay (*Persea palustris*).

The Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest community occurs on dry sand ridges and low upland terraces where fire is naturally uncommon or rare. It is found near the river and towards the southern tip of the site (and county), where it is more exposed to wind and salt spray than the interior areas. As a result, its vegetation more closely resembles that of the maritime forests found on barrier islands. Sand laurel oak (*Quercus hemisphaerica*), live oak (*Q. virginicus*), coastal pignut hickory (*Carya glabra* var. *megacarpa*), and loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) form a dense canopy over an understory of yaupon (*Ilex vomitoria*) and wild olive (*Osmanthus americanus*). The canopy is mature over much of the area, with many trunks reaching 2 feet in diameter. Herbs are sparse. Near the southern end of the site, this community begins to grade into Maritime Evergreen Forest.

The Small Depression Pond community occurs in a shallow but semi-permanently flooded depression on a flat sandy upland terrace near the river. The pond is bordered by woody vegetation such as Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*) and buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*). The open pond areas are dominated by taller herbs that emerge through the shallow water, including waterpepper (*Persicaria hydropiperoides* var. *hydropiperoides*), American cupscale (*Sacciolepis striata*), and Atlantic bishopweed (*Ptilimnium capillaceum*).

Brackish Marsh is found in small embayments along the Cape Fear River, and in a larger tidal flat near the ferry landing. Portions of these marshes are flooded daily, while areas farther from tidal creeks are flooded only during lunar and storm tides. Black needlerush (*Juncus roemerianus*) is the most frequent dominant, with giant cordgrass (*Spartina cynosuroides*) prominent along tidal creeks and near the upland margin. The newly identified Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest is found on narrow levees between the small Brackish Marsh embayments and Cape Fear River. The narrow sandy levee is a patchwork of dense oak forest intermixed with open areas sparsely vegetated by grasses. This community brings together species found on coastal sand dunes with species normally found in sandhill habitat well inland from the ocean. The canopy is dominated by mature live oak, sand live oak, and sand laurel oak, with larger trunks reaching 2.5 feet in diameter. The shrub layer is dominated by yaupon, with wax-myrtle (*Morella cerifera*) prominent. Spanish moss (*Tillandsia usneoides*) is an abundant epiphyte on canopy trees. Open patches are sparsely dominated by the unusual combination of big three-awn (*Aristida condensata*), an inland sandhills grass, and bitter seabeach grass (*Panicum amarum* var. *amarum*), a plant of coastal dunes. This community likely has been impacted by river dredging, and spoil may have been placed on portions of it historically at this site. First identified in 2002, this community association is currently known only from New Hanover County.

The majority of MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area is moderately open dry pine sandhill habitat and denser mesic to dry hardwood forests. Wetland depressions are few and scattered. These variable conditions provide home and foraging habitat for several animal species, including rare mammals, birds, reptiles, and butterflies. The abundant oaks provide mast for game animals, and the isolated depressions provide critcal amphibian breeding sites.

MANAGEMENT AND PROTECTION: This site is managed by the U.S. Department of Defense as a buffer zone for its munitions storage facility at Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point (MOTSU) near Southport. Residential development is prohibited and other uses are restricted. Longleaf pine communities are in need of prescribed fire to prevent additional litter buildup and increased shrub layer and oak subcanopy growth, which shades out the ground layer. The site has no protection status.

NATURAL COMMUNITIES: Brackish Marsh, Coastal Fringe Evergreen Forest, Coastal Fringe Sandhill, Small Depression Pond, Pine Savanna Wet Spodosol Variant, Pond Pine Woodland, Tidal Saltwater Levee Forest (newly identified), Wet Pine Flatwoods Wet Spodosol Variant.

RARE PLANTS: coralbean (*Erythrina herbacea*), Confederate huckleberry (*Gaylussacia nana*), Florida scrub frostweed (*Helianthemum nashii*).

**RARE ANIMALS:** Vertebrates - coachwhip (*Masticophis flagellum*), eastern woodrat - Coastal Plain population (*Neotoma floridana pop 1*), eastern painted bunting (*Passerina ciris ciris*). Invertebrates - northern oak hairstreak (*Fixsenia favonius ontario*).

### **REFERENCES:**

- LeBlond, R.J. 1998. Natural Area Inventory: Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point, Brunswick and New Hanover Counties, North Carolina. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- North Carolina Vegetation Survey. 1992. Vegetation sample data: MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area. University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N.C.
- Schafale, M.P. 1989. Note to files: Recommendations for MOTSU Buffer Zone lands near Carolina Beach. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- Schafale, M.P., and C. Tingley. 1989. Site survey report: MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- Schafale, M.P., A.S. Weakley, H.E. LeGrand, and S. Hall. 1993. Site survey report: MOTSU Buffer Zone Natural Area. N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.
- TNC and NC NHP. 1994. Report: Fort Fisher Air Force Recreation Area. The Nature Conservancy North Carolina Chapter, Durham, N.C., and N.C. Natural Heritage Program, OCCA, DENR, Raleigh, N.C.



## STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

MICHAEL F. EASLEY GOVERNOR

LYNDO TIPPETT Secretary

MEMO TO:	SunTemple Helgren Wilbur Smith Associates
FROM:	John A. Vine-Hodge
DATE:	July 9, 2008
SUBJECT:	Project Comments – Carolina Beach and Kure Beach – Dow Road

Corridor Study

In response to your request for information on the planning study for widening Dow Road (SR 1573) from US 421 (Lake Park Blvd.) in Carolina Beach to K Avenue in Kure Beach, and extension of Dow Road from K Avenue to US 421 (Fort Fisher Blvd.), the Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation has the following comments.

US 421 and Dow Road serve as the main north-south transportation corridors in Carolina Beach and Kure Beach. The section of US 421 in Carolina Beach and Kure Beach is located on two state bike routes, Cape Fear Run and Ports of Call. Both US 421 and Dow Road are frequented by bicyclists. Also indicating the level of bike use and interest was a 2007 survey conducted by the Town of Kure Beach that revealed that biking as the number one interest.

An existing path of approximately one mile in length is located at the northern terminus of Old Dow Road to the intersection of Harper Avenue and Dow Road. The Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation supports the extension of the multi-use path and/or other bike accommodations. The provision of a multi-use path and/or bike lanes or paved shoulders for the length of Dow Road is recommended in order to provide an alternative for bicyclists who may not be comfortable biking on the more highly congested US 421 and to provide connections from the existing path at Old Dow Road and areas north to Fort Fisher. The design of a multi-use path should adhere to the AASHTO *Guide for the Development of Bicycle Facilities*, particularly the guideline stating the need to limit the number of intersection and driveway crossings (which will be the case if located on the western side of Dow Road).

Also, accommodation of a bicycle facility along this corridor is consistent with FHWA guidelines (FHWA Guidance – Bicycle an Pedestrian Provision of Federal Transportation Legislation) for bicycle provisions that call for "all highways and transportation facilities be planned, designed, and constructed" with the realization that "bicyclists and pedestrians will be present on all highways and transportation facilities where they are

MAILING ADDRESS: NC DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF BICYCLE & PEDESTRIAN TRANSPORTATION 1552 Mail SERVICE CENTER RALEIGH NC 27699-1552

TELEPHONE: 919-807-0772 FAX: 919-807-0768

WEBSITE: WWW.NCDOT.ORG/TRANSIT/BICYCLE/ EMAIL: JAVINEHODGE@DOT.STATE.NC.US LOCATION: 401 OBERLIN ROAD SUITE 250 RALEIGH NC 27601 permitted." The FHWA guidelines further state that (if this project utilizes federal funds) project design must follow FHWA policy, including the FHWA Policy for mainstreaming nonmotorized transportation, which states that "in the planning, design, and operation of transportation facilities, bicyclists and pedestrians should be included as a matter of routine, and the decision to not accommodate them should be the exception rather than the rule."

The Division of Bicycle and Pedestrian Transportation appreciates the opportunity to comment. Please contact us if there is a need for additional information or if the names and contact information for local officials is needed.



SMIT

North Carolina Department of Cultural Resources

State Historic Preservation Office Peter B. Sandbeck, Administrator

Michael F. Easley, Governor Lisbeth C. Evans, Secretary Jeffrey J. Crow, Deputy Secretary

June 24, 2008

SunTemple Helgren Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville St., Suite 1303 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 Office of Archives and History Division of Historical Resources David Brook, Director

RE: Dow Road Corridor Study, Carolina Beach and Kure Beach, New Hanover County, ER 08-1295

Dear Mr. Helgren:

We have received the letter requesting comment concerning the Wilmington Area Metropolitan Planning Organization's (WMPO) planning study for improvements to Dow Road.

From an archaeological resource standpoint there are possibly 60 archaeological sites within the area of potential impact from both the widening of the existing road and the proposed extension through Kure Beach. These include prehistoric and historic habitation sites as well as several associated with military activity.

Within the existing Dow Road corridor there are at least two archaeological sites potentially eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) that could be impacted. These are fairly extensive and cover most of the area between the Newton/Federal Point cemetery and the old Dow Chemical Plant intake canal.

On the Department of Defense property between K Avenue and Cape Fear Boulevard there are eight archaeological sites potentially eligible for inclusion on the NRHP that could be impacted, depending on the corridor placement of the Dow Road extension.

As the proposed project becomes better defined we recommend that an experienced archaeologist assess the potential impact of the project on these significant archaeological resources. If these resources cannot be avoided they should be further assessed according to NRHP criterion to determine their eligibility. Archaeological sites eligible for NRHP listing that cannot be avoided will need additional data recovery to mitigate for their loss.

We have conducted a search of our maps and files and have located the following structures of historical or architectural importance within the general area of the project:

- NH 628, The Joy Lee Apartments is listed on the National Register of Historic Places.
- NH 629, Carolina Beach Town Hall has been determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.
- NH 631, the former Ocean Plaza Café is on the State Study List.

This area was surveyed in 1985 and there are several properties that were noted in the survey that need to be evaluated for eligibility for the National Register of Historic Places. We are therefore requesting an architectural survey of the project area.

The above comments are made pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's Regulations for Compliance with Section 106 codified at 36 CFR Part 800.

Thank you for your cooperation and consideration. If you have questions concerning the above comment, please contact Renee Gledhill-Earley, environmental review coordinator, at 919-807-6579. In all future communication concerning this project, please cite the above-referenced tracking number.

Sincerely,

Venee Bledhill-Early FReter Sandbeck



# ☑ North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission ☺

MEMORANDUM

TO: SunTemple Helgren Wilbur Smith Associates

FROM: Travis Wilson, Highway Project Coordinator

DATE: August 1, 2008

SUBJECT: Response to the start of study notification regarding fish and wildlife concerns for the proposed widening and extension of Dow Road (SR 1573) from US 421 in Carolina Beach to US 421 in Kure Beach, New Hanover County, North Carolina.

This memorandum responds to a request for our concerns regarding impacts on fish and wildlife resources resulting from the subject project. Biologists on the staff of the N. C. Wildlife Resources Commission (NCWRC) have reviewed the proposed improvements. Our comments are provided in accordance with certain provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)) and the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661-667d).

The NCWRC has review the proposed project; there are a multitude of natural resources within the project study area. More specifically the majority of the project would traverse two identified Significant Natural Heritage Areas: Carolina Beach State Park and Military Ocean Terminal Sunny Point Buffer Zone Natural Area. Although there are varying degrees of natural resource management and protection between the two sites they are both currently in public ownership. These two areas represent an important component of the natural systems remaining in New Hanover County, supporting numerous rare plants and animals. It will be imperative during the planning and construction of this project to avoid and minimize impacts to these resources. Our standard recommendations are below:

 Description of fishery and wildlife resources within the project area, including a listing of federally or state designated threatened, endangered, or special concern species. Potential borrow areas to be used for project construction should be included in the inventories. A listing of designated plant species can be developed through consultation with: Memo

NC Natural Heritage Program Dept. of Environment & Natural Resources 1601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-1601. WWW.ncnhp.org

and,

NCDA Plant Conservation Program P. O. Box 27647 Raleigh, N. C. 27611 (919) 733-3610

- Description of any streams or wetlands affected by the project. The need for channelizing or relocating portions of streams crossed and the extent of such activities.
- 3. Cover type maps showing wetland acreages impacted by the project. Wetland acreages should include all project-related areas that may undergo hydrologic change as a result of ditching, other drainage, or filling for project construction. Wetland identification may be accomplished through coordination with the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE). If the COE is not consulted, the person delineating wetlands should be identified and criteria listed.
- Cover type maps showing acreages of upland wildlife habitat impacted by the proposed project. Potential borrow sites should be included.
- The extent to which the project will result in loss, degradation, or fragmentation of wildlife habitat (wetlands or uplands).
- Mitigation for avoiding, minimizing or compensating for direct and indirect degradation in habitat quality as well as quantitative losses.
- A cumulative impact assessment section which analyzes the environmental effects of highway construction and quantifies the contribution of this individual project to environmental degradation.
- A discussion of the probable impacts on natural resources which will result from secondary development facilitated by the improved road access.
- If construction of this facility is to be coordinated with other state, municipal, or private development projects, a description of these projects should be included in the environmental document, and all project sponsors should be identified.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input in the early planning stages for this project. If we can further assist your office, please contact me at (919) 528-9886.

2


## WILBUR SMITH ASSOCIATES 421 FAYETTEVILLE STREET SUITE 1303 RALEIGH, NC 27601 Phone (919) 755-0583 Fax (919) 832-8798 E-Mail – wsa raleigh@wilbursmith.com

DATE <u>05/30/08</u>

BY: <u>SunTemple Helgren</u> SUBJECT: <u>response to scoping letter information request</u>

TALKED WITH: <u>Stever Everhart – District M</u>	lanager
OF: DENR-DCM	
PHONE NO. <u>910-796-7266</u>	
X INCOMING	OUTGOING

# TELEPHONE LOG

## NOTES:

Steve Everhart called in response to the scoping letter information request he received via mail. I explained to Steve that at this point the project is mainly a feasibility study. There is no federal funding in the project at present and the effort is being led by the Wilmington MPO. Eventually there may be federal dollars and/or NCDOT may have more involvement but we are not to that point yet. First we need to figure out if the project is even feasible and that is the purpose of this study.

Mr. Everhart explained that the only information/comment his agency has at this point in the project is that section of project on existing US 421 may be within an area of environmental concern for ocean hazards and if so, a CAMA permit may be needed.

Mr. Everhart informed me that he will forward the scoping letter to Stephen Lane (NCDOT Representative for DCM) because he may be able to offer more information or comments. His address is 400 Commerce Lane, Morehead City, NC 28557.

## ACTION REQUIRED:

None

COPIES TO: Project File



## WILBUR SMITH ASSOCIATES 421 FAYETTEVILLE STREET SUITE 1303 RALEIGH, NC 27601 Phone (919) 755-0583 Fax (919) 832-8798 E-Mail – wsa raleigh@wilbursmith.com

DATE <u>06/02/08</u>

BY: <u>SunTemple Helgren</u>

SUBJECT: response to scoping letter information request

TALKED WITH: <u>Gary Jordan</u>	
OF: U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service	
PHONE NO. <u>919-856-4520 ext. 32</u>	
X INCOMING	OUTGOING
TELEPHONE	LOG

## NOTES:

Gary Jordan called in response to the scoping/request for information letter he received for this project. He asked where the funding for the project was coming from and if NCDOT was overseeing the project. I explained where we were at this point in the project and that is was unclear where the funding would come from eventually or if the project would even get constructed. There is not TIP# for the project at present.

After hearing this Gary explained that the USFWS may not comment on the project mainly due to their present workload and back log. If this were a NCDOT administered project or had a TIP number than USFWS would be more involved.

## ACTION REQUIRED:

None

## COPIES TO:

Project File



31 July 2008

Lieutenant Colonel William P. Mazzeno Commander, 4th Civil Engineer Squadron 1095 Peterson Avenue Seymour Johnson AFB NC 27531

Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

Dear Ms. Helgren,

Reference your May 28, 2008 letter requesting comments and any known environmental impact information associated with the Dow Road Extension through Fort Fisher Recreation Site (FFRS). My planning staff completed a preliminary evaluation of this proposal and offered the following comments:

1. The proposed road extension requires a 150-foot corridor that would sever the main portion of the installation from the existing recreational cottage area adjacent to Fort Fisher Blvd. In addition, it will disrupt half of the existing recreational vehicle (RV) park area. Access by cottage occupants to the main installation would have to be reestablished by an unimpeded overhead walkway or underpass and visual buffers and physical barriers from the road to the cottages would have to be established. Finally, provisions to reconstruct the RV and trailer park and provide visual buffers and physical barriers for the main installation would be required. FFRS provides a major source of non-appropriated income to the Seymour Johnson AFB morale, welfare, and recreation fund, which supports recreational activities for military, DoD civilian, and retired personnel. In addition, FFRA supports a NC National Guard training site. The proposed road extension is not desirable for maintaining a cohesive Air Force recreation and Guard training site and detracts from providing an overall quality experience. Appropriate actions will be required to mitigate these concerns.

2. The Air Force is currently in the process of conducting an enhanced use lease study for privatizing the cottage area. Any resultant lease Request for Proposal/Agreement will have to be coordinated with the corridor proposal. Affects on the viability and equitability of the resultant lease would have to be evaluated.

3. There are water wells in the proposed 150 foot corridor that will have to be properly closed in accordance with Federal and State policies and procedures.

4. There are no known endangered species on FFRS proper.

5. There are known and potential cultural resources in areas on the south and west side of FFRS, outside the proposed corridor. There is the potential for discovery of additional cultural resources within the corridor and within the vicinity of FFRS.

Thank you for contacting us with this matter and requesting our comments. If additional information or clarification is required, please contact Mr. Matthew Makdad, P.E., 4 CES/CECP, (919) 722-5531.

//SIGNED - wpm/31 Jul 08// WILLIAM P. MAZZENO, Lt Col, USAF Commander, 4th Civil Engineer Squadron Base Civil Engineer



31 July 2008

Debra A. Lovette, Major, USAF Commander, Force Support Squadron 1540 Goodson Street Seymour Johnson AFB NC 27531

Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

Dear Ms. Helgren,

Reference the May 28, 2008 letter requesting comments associated with the Dow Road Extension through Fort Fisher Recreation Site (FFRS). My staff has completed a preliminary evaluation of this proposal. There are a number of issues of operational concern as follows:

- A. FFRS is used as a resort for the military to relax with their families. A four lane road bisecting the site will effectively destroy that ambience, impacting their leisure. Even with audio/visual buffers the atmosphere of a calm, quiet place to recreate will cease to exist.
- B. The road will create safety hazards for pedestrians, vehicles and bicyclists from the cottages attempting to cross to reach the river, pool, restaurant, shop and equipment rental, or for the personnel residing in the mobile homes, campers and tents to access the beach.
- C. Extending Dow Road through FFRS will adversely impact the ability of the facility to host community/base recreational events. The road will bisect the field used for parking for events such as the Seafood/Jazz festival, the Car Shows, etc, rendering it unusable. Inability to host these events directly impacts the financial base of Seymour Johnson AFB.

If additional information or clarification is required, please contact Ms. Linda Edsall-Huard., 4 FSS/DD, (919) 722-5331.

//signed, DAL, 31 Jul 08// DEBRA A. LOVETTE, MAJOR, USAF

A search of available environmental records was conducted by Environmental Data Resources, Inc (EDR). The report was designed to assist parties seeking to meet the search requirements of EPA's Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries (40 CFR Part 312), the ASTM Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments (E 1527-05) or custom requirements developed for the evaluation of environmental risk associated with a parcel of real estate.

#### TARGET PROPERTY INFORMATION

#### ADDRESS

DOW RD S/OCEAN BLVD CAROLINA BEACH, NC 28428

#### COORDINATES

Latitude (North):	34.000200 - 34° 0' 0.7"
Longitude (West):	77.915600 - 77° 54' 56.2"
Universal Tranverse Mercator:	Zone 18
UTM X (Meters):	230701.3
UTM Y (Meters):	3765817.2
Elevation:	19 ft. above sea level

#### USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP ASSOCIATED WITH TARGET PROPERTY

Target Property Map:	34077-A8 CAROLINA BEACH, NC
Most Recent Revision:	1999
South Map:	33077-H8 KURE BEACH, NC
Most Recent Revision:	1999

TARGET PROPERTY SEARCH RESULTS

The target property was not listed in any of the databases searched by EDR.

#### DATABASES WITH NO MAPPED SITES

No mapped sites were found in EDR's search of available ("reasonably ascertainable ") government records either on the target property or within the search radius around the target property for the following databases:

#### FEDERAL RECORDS

NPL	National Priority List
Proposed NPL	Proposed National Priority List Sites
Delisted NPL	National Priority List Deletions
NPL LIENS	Federal Superfund Liens
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System
CERC-NFRAP	CERCLIS No Further Remedial Action Planned
CORRACTS	Corrective Action Report

RCRA-TSDF	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information
RCRA-LQG	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Information
ERNS	Emergency Response Notification System
HMIRS	Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System
US ENG CONTROLS	Engineering Controls Sites List
US INST CONTROL	Sites with Institutional Controls
US BROWNFIELDS	A Listing of Brownfields Sites
CONSENT	Superfund (CERCLA) Consent Decrees
ROD	Records Of Decision
UMTRA	Uranium Mill Tailings Sites
ODI	Open Dump Inventory
TRIS	Toxic Chemical Release Inventory System
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
FTTS	FIFRA/ TSCA Tracking System - FIFRA (Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide
	Act)/TSCA (Toxic Substances Control Act)
SSTS	Section 7 Tracking Systems
LUCIS	Land Use Control Information System
DOT OPS	Incident and Accident Data
ICIS	Integrated Compliance Information System
HIST FTTS	FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System Administrative Case Listing
US CDL	Clandestine Drug Labs
RADINFO	Radiation Information Database
LIENS 2	CERCLA Lien Information
PADS	PCB Activity Database System
MLTS	Material Licensing Tracking System
MINES	Mines Master Index File
RAATS	RCRA Administrative Action Tracking System

#### STATE AND LOCAL RECORDS

SHWS	Inactive Hazardous Sites Inventory
SWF/LF	List of Solid Waste Facilities
OLI	Old Landfill Inventory
HIST LF	Solid Waste Facility Listing
AST	AST Database
INST CONTROL	No Further Action Sites With Land Use Restrictions Monitoring
VCP	Responsible Party Voluntary Action Sites
DRYCLEANERS	Drycleaning Sites
BROWNFIELDS	Brownfields Projects Inventory
NPDES	NPDES Facility Location Listing

#### TRIBAL RECORDS

INDIAN RESERV	Indian Reservations
INDIAN LUST	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land
INDIAN UST	Underground Storage Tanks on Indian Land

#### EDR PROPRIETARY RECORDS

Manufactured Gas Plants\_\_\_\_ EDR Proprietary Manufactured Gas Plants

## SURROUNDING SITES: SEARCH RESULTS

Surrounding sites were identified in the following databases.

Elevations have been determined from the USGS Digital Elevation Model and should be evaluated on a relative (not an absolute) basis. Relative elevation information between sites of close proximity should be field verified. Sites with an elevation equal to or higher than the target property have been differentiated below from sites with an elevation lower than the target property. Page numbers and map identification numbers refer to the EDR Radius Map report where detailed data on individual sites can be reviewed.

Sites listed in **bold italics** are in multiple databases.

Unmappable (orphan) sites are not considered in the foregoing analysis.

#### FEDERAL RECORDS

**RCRAInfo:** RCRAInfo is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. RCRAInfo replaces the data recording and reporting abilities of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System(RCRIS). The database includes selective information on sites which generate, transport, store , treat and/or dispose of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Conditionally exempt small quantity generators (CESQGs) generate less than 100 kg of hazardous waste, or less than 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month. Small quantity generators (SQGs) generate between 100 kg and 1,000 kg of hazardous waste per month Large quantity generators generate over 1,000 kilograms (kg) of hazardous waste, or over 1 kg of acutely hazardous waste per month. Transporters are individuals or entities that move hazardous waste from the generator offsite to a facility that can recycle, treat, store, or dispose of the waste. TSDFs treat, store, or dispose of the waste.

A review of the RCRA-SQG list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/13/2006 has revealed that there is 1 RCRA-SQG site within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / D	ir	Map ID	Page
CLENE-N-TIDEY	702 S LAKE PARK BLVD	1 - 2	NNE	14	35

**DOD:** Consists of federally owned or administered lands, administered by the Department of Defense, that have any area equal to or greater than 640 acres of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

A review of the DOD list, as provided by EDR, and dated 12/31/2005 has revealed that there is 1 DOD site within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL SUNNY		0 - 1/8	0	6

**FUDS:** The Listing includes locations of Formerly Used Defense Sites Properties where the US Army Corps Of Engineers is actively working or will take necessary cleanup actions.

A review of the FUDS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 12/31/2005 has revealed that there is 1 FUDS site within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / D	)ir	Map ID	Page
FORT FISHER		1 - 2	S	C10	29

**FINDS:** The Facility Index System contains both facility information and "pointers" to other sources of information that contain more detail. These include: RCRIS; Permit Compliance System (PCS); Aerometric Information Retrieval System (AIRS); FATES (FIFRA [Federal Insecticide Fungicide Rodenticide Act] and TSCA Enforcement System, FTTS [FIFRA/TSCA Tracking System]; CERCLIS; DOCKET (Enforcement Docket used to manage and track information on civil judicial enforcement cases for all environmental statutes); Federal Underground Injection Control (FURS); Federal Reporting Data System (FRDS); Surface Impoundments (SIA); TSCA Chemicals in Commerce Information System (CICS); PADS; RCRA-J (medical waste transporters/disposers); TRIS; and TSCA. The source of this database is the U.S. EPA/NTIS.

A review of the FINDS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/12/2007 has revealed that there are 2 FINDS sites within approximately 1 mile of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
TOWN OF KURE BEACH	118 NORTH 3RD AVENUE, P	1/4 - 1/2ESE	A2	10
BODENHAMER S GROCERY	308 SOUTH SECOND ST. HI	1/2 - 1 SE	B4	14

#### STATE AND LOCAL RECORDS

**IMD:** Incident Management Database.

A review of the IMD list, as provided by EDR, and dated 07/21/2006 has revealed that there are 5 IMD sites within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
TOWN OF KURE BEACH	118 NORTH 3RD AVENUE, P	1/4 - 1/2ESE	A1	6
FORT FISHER AIR FORCE HEATING	U.S. HIGHWAY 421-KURE B	1-2 S	C6	16
AIR FORCE (FORT FISHER) BLG. 1	118 RIVERFRONT ROAD	1-2 S	C7	18
FORT FISHER AIR FORCE	US HIGHWAY 421-KURE BEA	1-2 S	C8	20
PARADISE INN	310 CAROLINA BCH RD	1-2 NE	13	33

**HSDS:** The Hazardous Substance Disposal Sites list contains locations of uncontrolled and unregulated hazardous waste sites. The file contains sites on the national priority list as well as the state priority list. The data source is the North Carolina Center for Geographic Information and Analysis.

A review of the NC HSDS list, as provided by EDR, and dated 04/06/2006 has revealed that there are 2 NC HSDS sites within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Equal/Higher Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
DOW CHEMICAL PLANT CAPE FEAR		1/4 - 1/2N	0	6
US DOD MILITARY OCEAN TER/SUNN		0 - 1/8	0	6

**LUST:** The Leaking Underground Storage Tank Incidents Management Database contains an inventory of reported leaking underground storage tank incidents. The data come from the Department of Environment, & Natural Resources' Incidents by Address.

A review of the LUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 06/01/2007 has revealed that there are 5 LUST sites within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
<i>TOWN OF KURE BEACH</i>	118 NORTH 3RD AVENUE, P	1/4 - 1/2ESE	A1	6
FORT FISHER AIR FORCE HEATING	U.S. HIGHWAY 421-KURE B	1 - 2 S	C6	16

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / D	Dir	Map ID	Page	
AIR FORCE (FORT FISHER) BLG. 1	118 RIVERFRONT ROAD	1 - 2	S	C7	18	
FORT FISHER AIR FORCE	US HIGHWAY 421-KURE BEA	1 - 2	S	C8	20	
PARADISE INN	310 CAROLINA BCH RD	1 - 2	NE	13	33	

**LUST TRUST:** This database contains information about claims against the State Trust Funds for reimbursements for expenses incurred while remediating Leaking USTs.

A review of the LUST TRUST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 08/03/2007 has revealed that there is 1 LUST TRUST site within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / D	Dir	Map ID	Page
PARADISE INN	310 CAROLINA BCH RD	1 - 2	NE	13	33

**UST:** The Underground Storage Tank database contains registered USTs. USTs are regulated under Subtitle I of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The data come from the Department of Environment & Natural Resources' Petroleum Underground Storage Tank Database.

A review of the UST list, as provided by EDR, and dated 05/25/2007 has revealed that there are 6 UST sites within approximately 2 miles of the target property.

Lower Elevation	Address	Dist / Dir	Map ID	Page
TOWN OF KURE BEACH	118 NORTH 3RD AVENUE, P	1/4 - 1/2ESE	A1	6
BIGLEY-TINSLEY CORPORATION	102 SOUTH SECOND STREET	1/2 - 1 ESE	A3	11
BODENHAMER'S GROCERY	308 SOUTH SECOND ST. HW	1/2 - 1 SE	B5	14
FORT FISHER AIR FORCE STATION	KURE BEACH	1-2 S	C9	22
FORT FISHER STATE HISTORIC ST	HWY 421 SOUTH OF KURE B	1-2 S	11	30
TOWN OF KURE BEACH	701 FORT FISHER BLVD N(	1-2 S	12	32

Due to poor or inadequate address information, the following sites were not mapped:

Site Name	Database(s)
NEW HANOVER CY & CAROLINA LDFL	SHWS
MONTY'S NORTH	LUST, IMD
HINES, CLINTON PROPERTY	LUST, IMD
SCOTCHMAN 22	LUST, UST, LUST TRUST, IMD
OLDE BRUNSWICK GENERAL STORE	UST
BOILING SPRING LAKES MINI MAR	UST
CAPE FEAR BROADCASTING COMPAN	UST
PLEASURE ISLAND VOL RESCUE SQ	UST
CAROLINA BEACH TRAVELERS	UST
TOWN GARAGE	UST
SOUTHERN BELL - KRBHNCKA	UST
SOUTHERN BELL-GLC 21826	UST
HARRELSON'S MARKET	UST
FISH PROCESSING PLANT	UST
SOUTHPORT FERRY OPERATION	UST
CAROLINA BEACH AMOCO	UST
CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO. (BRUNSW	AST
US MILITARY OCEAN TERM	RCRA-SQG, FINDS
CAROLINA BEACH POST OFFICE	IMD
NEW HANOVER CO & CAROLINA BEACH	OLI
CAROLINA BEACH REFUSE DISPOSAL	OLI
NEW HANOVER CO. LANDFILL	OLI
CAROLINA BEACH WWTP	NPDES

## **OVERVIEW MAP - 2022443.1s**



LAT/LONG:

34.0002/77.9156



# **Traffic Data**





421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

## 10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count

 File Name
 : A Dow & US421

 Site Code
 : 00000001

 Start Date
 : 4/29/2008

 Page No
 : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted																					
		Fre	om No	orth			Fr	om Ea	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	Ann Total	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	Ann Total	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	Ann Total	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	Ann Total	Int Total
07:00 AM	28	58	1	0	87	5	0	0	0	5	0	86	3	0	89	4	0	79	0	83	264
07:15 AM	59	55	0	Ő	114	2	1	Ő	Ő	3	1	87	11	Õ	99	2	Õ	104	Ő	106	322
07:30 AM	53	65	4	Ō	122	1	Ó	1	Ō	2	Ó	129	10	0	139	1	1	142	Ō	144	407
07:45 AM	77	83	2	Ō	162	0	Ō	0	Ō	0	Ō	108	8	Ō	116	5	0	152	Ō	157	435
Total	217	261	7	0	485	8	1	1	0	10	1	410	32	0	443	12	1	477	0	490	1428
	•																				
08:00 AM	37	80	0	0	117	1	0	0	0	1	1	117	7	0	125	7	0	130	0	137	380
08:15 AM	50	92	0	1	143	2	0	0	0	2	0	92	7	0	99	3	0	74	0	77	321
08:30 AM	36	109	1	0	146	4	0	0	0	4	0	121	5	0	126	4	1	79	0	84	360
08:45 AM	51	89	3	0	143	0	0	0	0	0	0	58	7	0	65	4	0	62	0	66	274
Total	174	370	4	1	549	7	0	0	0	7	1	388	26	0	415	18	1	345	0	364	1335
*** BREAK **	*																				
	ı																				
04:00 PM	95	118	5	2	220	2	2	0	0	4	2	132	16	1	151	6	0	79	0	85	460
04:15 PM	96	137	3	0	236	2	1	1	0	4	1	108	14	0	123	12	1	70	0	83	446
04:30 PM	81	135	3	0	219	0	1	2	0	3	1	130	12	0	143	8	1	69	0	78	443
04:45 PM	79	146	6	0	231	2	0		0	3	3	136	10	0	149	9	0	52	0	61	444
Total	351	536	17	2	906	6	4	4	0	14	7	506	52	1	566	35	2	270	0	307	1793
			•	•	000		•		•	0	•	450	•	•	450	•	•		•	70	400
05:00 PM	81	144	3	0	228	2	0	1	0	3	0	153	6	0	159	3	0	75	0	/8	468
05:15 PM	111	157	4	0	272	4	1	1	0	6	2	99	10	0	111	5	0	76	0	81	470
05:30 PM	119	145	6	0	270	2	0	1	0	3	1	119		0	127	2	1	58	0	61	461
<u>05:45 PM</u>	86	138	6	0	230	0	1	1	0	2	1	116	4	0	121	3	0	50	0	53	406
Iotal	397	584	19	U	1000	8	2	4	U	14	4	487	27	0	518	13	1	259	0	273	1805
Crond Total	1120	1751	47	2	2040	20	7	0	0	45	10	1701	107	1	1042	70	F	1051	0	1121	6261
	207	1/51 50.6	4/	01	2940	29	15.6	20	0	45	13	02.2	13/	0 1	1942	18	02	04.0	0	1434	0301
Appren %	17.0	09.0 07.5	1.0	0.1	46.0	04.4	15.0	20	0	0.7	0.7	92.2	1.1	0.1	20 E	5.4	0.3	94.Z	0	22 F	
iotai %	17.9	21.5	0.7	U	40.2	0.5	0.1	0.1	U	0.7	0.2	2ŏ.2	2.2	U	30.5	1.2	0.1	21.2	U	22.5	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count 
 File Name
 : A Dow & US421

 Site Code
 : 00000001

 Start Date
 : 4/29/2008

 Page No
 : 2

		Fr	om No	orth		From East					From South										
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (	07:00 A	AM to 1	1:45 AN	1 - Pea	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	59	55	0	0	114	2	1	0	0	3	1	87	11	0	99	2	0	104	0	106	322
07:30 AM	53	65	4	0	122	1	0	1	0	2	0	129	10	0	139	1	1	142	0	144	407
07:45 AM	77	83	2	0	162	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	8	0	116	5	0	152	0	157	435
08:00 AM	37	80	0	0	117	1	0	0	0	1	1	117	7	0	125	7	0	130	0	137	380
Total Volume	226	283	6	0	515	4	1	1	0	6	2	441	36	0	479	15	1	528	0	544	1544
% App. Total	43.9	55	1.2	0		66.7	16.7	16.7	0		0.4	92.1	7.5	0		2.8	0.2	97.1	0		
PHF	.734	.852	.375	.000	.795	.500	.250	.250	.000	.500	.500	.855	.818	.000	.862	.536	.250	.868	.000	.866	.887



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : A Dow & US421 Site Code : 00000001 Start Date : 4/29/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	orth	-		F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	uth			Fi	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From '	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	/I - Pea	k 1 of '	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:4	5 PM															
04:45 PM	79	146	6	0	231	2	0	1	0	3	3	136	10	0	149	9	0	52	0	61	444
05:00 PM	81	144	3	0	228	2	0	1	0	3	0	153	6	0	159	3	0	75	0	78	468
05:15 PM	111	157	4	0	272	4	1	1	0	6	2	99	10	0	111	5	0	76	0	81	470
05:30 PM	119	145	6	0	270	2	0	1	0	3	1	119	7	0	127	2	1	58	0	61	461
Total Volume	390	592	19	0	1001	10	1	4	0	15	6	507	33	0	546	19	1	261	0	281	1843
% App. Total	39	59.1	1.9	0	_	66.7	6.7	26.7	0		1.1	92.9	6	0		6.8	0.4	92.9	0		
PHF	.819	.943	.792	.000	.920	.625	.250	1.000	.000	.625	.500	.828	.825	.000	.858	.528	.250	.859	.000	.867	.980



## Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

File Name : US421 ~3 Site Code : 07220801 Start Date : 7/22/2008 Page No : 1

Ped

s 1.0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

App. Total

0

0

3

0

3

1

2 3

3

Int.

Total

133

189

194

235

751

209

229

246

															Ρà	ige r	NО
				0	Groups	Printe	d- 1 - L	Jnshifted	1 - 2 - E	Bank 1	- 3 - B	ank 2				-	
	US 42	1			Sho	pping C	Center				US 42	1			[	Drivewa	ay
F	rom No	orth			F	rom Ea	ast			Fi	rom So	outh			F	rom W	est
r	Loft	Ped	App.	Rig	Thr	Loft	Ped	App.	Rig	Thr	Loft	Ped	App.	Rig	Thr	Loft	Pe
u	Leit	s	Total	ht	u	Leit	s	Total	ht	u	Len	s	Total	ht	u	Len	
C	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1
0	2	1	54	1	0	0	0	1	0	76	1	1	78	0	0	0	
8	1	0	71	2	0	0	0	2	3	113	0	0	116	0	0	0	
7	1	0	69	1	0	0	0	1	1	119	1	0	121	1	0	2	
1	3	1	105	3	0	1	0	4	1	124	0	1	126	0	0	0	
6	7	2	299	7	0	1	0	8	5	432	2	2	441	1	0	2	
1	1	0	82	2	0	0	0	2	1	121	2	0	124	1	0	0	
С	1	0	111	1	0	2	0	3	1	108	2	2	113	1	0	1	
2	0	2	124	2	0	1	0	3	4	112	0	0	116	2	0	1	
2	3	1	126	4	0	2	0	6	0	113	2	0	115	1	0	2	

Rig

hť

1.0

1

2

1

0 101

4 286

0

0 122

Start Time

07:00 AM

07:15 AM

07:30 AM

07:45 AM

08:00 AM

08:15 AM

08:30 AM

Factor

Total

Thr

u

1.0

50

68

67

81

0 110

08:45 AM	0	122	3	1	126	4	0	2	0	6	0	113	2	0	115	1	0	2	0	3	250
Total	0	435	5	3	443	9	0	5	0	14	6	454	6	2	468	5	0	4	0	9	934
04:00 PM	4	173	9	0	186	11	0	6	0	17	8	238	2	0	248	3	0	1	0	4	455
04:15 PM	2	165	2	0	169	11	0	8	0	19	6	185	0	1	192	1	0	2	0	3	383
04:30 PM	1	149	8	0	158	12	0	12	0	24	9	194	1	0	204	4	0	0	0	4	390
04:45 PM	0	183	6	0	189	10	0	9	0	19	5	198	3	0	206	1	2	1	0	4	418
Total	7	670	25	0	702	44	0	35	0	79	28	815	6	1	850	9	2	4	0	15	1646
05:00 PM	1	192	3	0	196	7	0	18	0	25	14	228	0	0	242	0	0	2	0	2	465
05:15 PM	0	178	7	1	186	12	0	11	0	23	8	213	0	1	222	0	0	0	0	0	431
05:30 PM	0	162	4	0	166	8	0	14	0	22	10	191	1	0	202	3	0	0	0	3	393
05:45 PM	0	195	3	1	199	5	0	12	0	17	7	182	1	0	190	1	1	2	0	4	410
Total	1	727	17	2	747	32	0	55	0	87	39	814	2	1	856	4	1	4	0	9	1699
Grand	12	211	54	7	2191	92	0	96	0	188	78	251	16	6	2615	19	З	14	0	36	5030
Total	12	8	54	'	2101	52	0	50	0	100	70	5	10	0	2010	15	0	14	U	00	5050
Apprch %	05	96.	25	0.3		48.	0 0	51.	0 0		3.0	96.	0.6	02		52.	83	38.	0 0		
, ppi 011 /0	0.0	7	2.0	0.0		9	0.0	1	0.0		0.0	2	0.0	0.2		8	0.0	9	0.0		
Total %	0.2	42.	1.1	0.1	43.6	1.8	0.0	1.9	0.0	3.7	1.6	50.	0.3	0.1	52.0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.7	
i otur 70	0.2	1		0.1			0.0		0.0	5.7		0	0.0	0.1	02.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.0	5.7	

## Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

File Name : US421\_~3 Site Code : 07220801 Start Date : 7/22/2008 Page No : 2

		F	US 42 rom No	21 orth			Shoj F	oping C rom Ea	Center ast			Fi	US 42 rom Sc	1 outh			C Fi	Drivewa rom W	ay est		
Start Time	Rig ht	Thr u	Left	Ped s	App. Total	Rig ht	Thr u	Left	Ped s	App. Total	Rig ht	Thr u	Left	Ped s	App. Total	Rig ht	Thr u	Left	Ped s	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour F Intersectio	rom 07	:00 A	M to	11:45	AM - P	eak 1 o 	f 1														
n Volume	08.00	435	5	3	443	9	0	5	0	14	6	454	6	2	468	5	0	4	0	9	934
Percent	0.0	98. 2	1.1	0.7		64. 3	0.0	35. 7	0.0		1.3	97. 0	1.3	0.4		55. 6	0.0	44. 4	0.0		
08:45 Volume Peak Factor	0	122	3	1	126	4	0	2	0	6	0	113	2	0	115	1	0	2	0	3	250 0.934
High Int. Volume Peak Factor	08:45 0	AM 122	3	1	126 0.87 9	08:45 4	AM 0	2	0	6 0.58 3	08:00 1	) AM 121	2	0	124 0.94 4	08:30 2	AM 0	1	0	3 0.75 0	
										US 42	21 _										
									0ut 46	In 7 44	.3	910									
										435	5	3									
										Inru		eds									
										·											
					_																
			Total	15	Left 4					Ť					t	Right					
			ay	6		•				Nort	h				←	-1		Shopp			
			Drivew						7/22/ 7/22/	/2008 8:00 /2008 8:45	):00 AM 5:00 AM				-		1	ing Ce			
			Out	9	ds ar A				1 - L 2 - E	Jnshifted Bank 1					+	5 ft Pe	Tot	nter			
					- A				3 - E	Bank 2						o spe	, <u>25</u>				
									4	Ť	-										
									Left	 Thru     454	Right F	Peds									
									U 44	5 <u>46</u> In <u>US 4</u> 2	18 <u>9</u> Tc 21	913] otal									

## Wilbur Smith Associates 421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

File Name : US421\_~3 Site Code : 07220801 Start Date : 7/22/2008 Page No : 3

		F	US 42 rom No	1 orth			Sho F	pping ( From E	Center ast			F	US 42 rom Sc	:1 buth			[ F	Drivewa rom W	ay est		
Start Time	Rig	Thr	Left	Ped	App.	Rig	Thr	Left	Ped	App. Total	Rig	Thr	Left	Ped	App. Total	Rig	Thr	Left	Ped	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour F Intersectio	rom 12	2:00 P 5 PM	M to 0	5:45	PM - Pe	ak 1 of	1			Total		u			Total		u			Total	Total
n Volume Percent	1 0 1	715 97.	20 2 7	1 0 1	737	37 41.	0	52 58.	0	89	37	830 95.	4	1 0 1	872	4 44.	2 22.	3 33.	0	9	1707
05:00 Volume Peak	1	0 192	3	0	196	6 7	0	4 18	0	25	14	2 228	0	0	242	4 0	2 0	3 2	0	2	465 0.918
High Int. Volume Peak Factor	05:00 1	) PM 192	3	0	196 0.94 0	05:00 7	PM 0	18	0	25 0.89 0	05:00 14	) PM 228	0	0	242 0.90 1	04:45 1	5 PM 2	1	0	4 0.56 3	
									Out 87	US 4: In 0 73	21 Tc 37 1	otal 607									
									☐ 1 Right	715 Thru	20 Left P	1 eds									
			a	14	<sup>™</sup> +					•						אר דע					
			way In Tot	6	Thru					Nort	h				↓	37 0 ight Thru	59 0ut	Shoppir			
			Drive	2	0 4 Peds Right				7/22) 7/22) 1 - L 2 - E 3 - E	2008 4:45 2008 5:30 Jnshifted Bank 1 Bank 2	5:00 PM 0:00 PM				Ţ	Left Peds	n Total 89 148	ıg Center			
									<b>↓</b> _Left	Thru I	 RightF	eds_									

 771
 872
 1643

 Out
 In
 Total

 US 421
 10
 10

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : E US421& Carl Winner Site Code : 00030055 Start Date : 4/29/2008 Page No : 1

								G	roups	Printed-	Unshi	fted									
		_					_	_				_	_	_			_				
		F	<u>rom No</u>	rth			Fi	rom Ea	ist			Fr	om So	uth			Fr	<u>om W</u>	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	0	37	16	2	55	36	0	2	1	39	4	53	0	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	151
07:15 AM	0	38	16	0	54	37	0	3	2	42	3	76	0	0	79	0	0	0	0	0	175
07:30 AM	0	58	15	0	73	51	0	2	0	53	8	96	0	0	104	0	0	0	0	0	230
07:45 AM	0	71	17	0	88	51	0	6	0	57	7	106	0	0	113	0	0	0	0	0	258
Total	0	204	64	2	270	175	0	13	3	191	22	331	0	0	353	0	0	0	0	0	814
	I.										I.										
08:00 AM	0	51	33	0	84	37	0	6	0	43	7	100	0	0	107	0	0	0	0	0	234
08:15 AM	0	69	22	0	91	44	0	2	0	46	3	90	0	0	93	0	0	0	0	0	230
08:30 AM	0	84	18	1	103	42	0	4	0	46	5	93	0	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	247
08:45 AM	0	73	22	0	95	32	0	5	3	40	2	54	0	0	56	0	0	0	0	0	191
Total	0	277	95	1	373	155	0	17	3	175	17	337	0	0	354	0	0	0	0	0	902
*** BREAK *	**																				
04:00 PM	0	105	32	0	137	36	0	7	0	43	6	126	0	0	132	0	0	0	0	0	312
04:15 PM	0	102	42	3	147	47	0	7	0	54	6	101	0	2	109	0	0	0	0	0	310
04:30 PM	0	104	41	3	148	36	0	3	0	39	5	106	0	2	113	0	0	0	1	1	301
04:45 PM	0	119	43	3	165	38	0	8	0	46	8	106	0	0	114	0	0	0	0	0	325
Total	0	430	158	9	597	157	0	25	0	182	25	439	0	4	468	0	0	0	1	1	1248
05·00 PM	0	104	46	0	150	30	0	6	0	36	9	98	1	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	294
05.15 PM	Ő	101	52	1	154	37	Ő	6	Ő	43	5	96	0	Ő	101	Ő	Ő	Ő	2	2	300
05·30 PM	Ő	106	57	0	163	38	Ő	3 3	Ő	41	5	96	Ő	1	102	Ő	Ő	Ő	3	3	309
05:45 PM	Ő	86	45	3 3	134	35	Ő	4	1	40	8	64	Ő	2	74	Ő	Ő	Ő	3	3	251
Total	0	397	200	4	601	140	0	19	1	160	27	354	1	3	385	0	0	0	8	8	1154
				-			2				. = .		-	2			-	2	5	0	
Grand Total	0	1308	517	16	1841	627	0	74	7	708	91	1461	1	7	1560	0	0	0	9	9	4118
Apprch %	0	71	28.1	0.9		88.6	0	10.5	1		5.8	93.7	0.1	0.4		0	0	0	100		
Total %	0	31.8	12.6	0.4	44.7	15.2	0	1.8	0.2	17.2	2.2	35.5	0	0.2	37.9	0	0	0	0.2	0.2	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : E US421& Carl Winner Site Code : 00030055 Start Date : 4/29/2008 Page No : 2

		Fı	rom No	orth			F	rom Ea	ast			Fr	om So	uth			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour An	alysis F	rom 07	:00 AM	I to 11:4	45 AM - 1	Peak 1 d	of 1														
Peak Hour for	Entire	Intersec	tion Be	gins at	07:45 AN	A															
07:45 AM	0	71	17	0	88	51	0	6	0	57	7	106	0	0	113	0	0	0	0	0	258
08:00 AM	0	51	33	0	84	37	0	6	0	43	7	100	0	0	107	0	0	0	0	0	234
08:15 AM	0	69	22	0	91	44	0	2	0	46	3	90	0	0	93	0	0	0	0	0	230
08:30 AM	0	84	18	1	103	42	0	4	0	46	5	93	0	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	247
Total Volume	0	275	90	1	366	174	0	18	0	192	22	389	0	0	411	0	0	0	0	0	969
% App. Total	0	75.1	24.6	0.3		90.6	0	9.4	0		5.4	94.6	0	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.818	.682	.250	.888	.853	.000	.750	.000	.842	.786	.917	.000	.000	.909	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.939



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : E US421& Carl Winner Site Code : 00030055 Start Date : 4/29/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	rth			F	rom Ea	ast			Fr	om Soi	uth			Fi	<u>om W</u>	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour An	alysis F	rom 12	:00 PM	to 05:4	5 PM - P	eak 1 o	f 1														
Peak Hour for	Entire l	Intersec	tion Be	gins at	04:00 PM	1															
04:00 PM	0	105	32	0	137	36	0	7	0	43	6	126	0	0	132	0	0	0	0	0	312
04:15 PM	0	102	42	3	147	47	0	7	0	54	6	101	0	2	109	0	0	0	0	0	310
04:30 PM	0	104	41	3	148	36	0	3	0	39	5	106	0	2	113	0	0	0	1	1	301
04:45 PM	0	119	43	3	165	38	0	8	0	46	8	106	0	0	114	0	0	0	0	0	325
Total Volume	0	430	158	9	597	157	0	25	0	182	25	439	0	4	468	0	0	0	1	1	1248
% App. Total	0	72	26.5	1.5		86.3	0	13.7	0		5.3	93.8	0	0.9		0	0	0	100		
PHF	.000	.903	.919	.750	.905	.835	.000	.781	.000	.843	.781	.871	.000	.500	.886	.000	.000	.000	.250	.250	.960



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : F US 421 & Harper Site Code : 00003077 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 1

								G	roups	Printed	d- Uns	hifted									
		Fre	om No	orth			F	rom Ea	ast			Fr	om So	outh			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	0	21	2	0	23	5	0	4	0	9	4	66	2	0	72	0	0	8	0	8	112
07:15 AM	1	39	2	1	43	1	Ō	8	Ō	9	4	83	0	1	88	0	2	4	Ō	6	146
07:30 AM	1	49	2	0	52	3	2	8	0	13	3	97	0	0	100	3	3	7	0	13	178
07:45 AM	1	69	1	2	73	4	2	6	0	12	2	102	0	0	104	0	0	5	3	8	197
Total	3	178	7	3	191	13	4	26	0	43	13	348	2	1	364	3	5	24	3	35	633
08:00 AM	3	53	4	0	60	4	0	5	0	9	7	87	1	2	97	2	2	6	1	11	177
08:15 AM	1	51	7	0	59	6	1	4	1	12	4	60	0	3	67	1	1	3	0	5	143
08:30 AM	1	63	0	0	64	6	3	1	2	12	5	82	1	3	91	0	1	6	0	7	174
08:45 AM	1	68	6	2	77	9	1	7	0	17	1	63	0	6	70	0	3	5	0	8	172
Total	6	235	17	2	260	25	5	17	3	50	17	292	2	14	325	3	7	20	1	31	666
*** BREAK **	*																				
	5	07	6	1	100	10	2	F	2	22	0	04	1	0	02	2	1	F	c	14	220
04.00 PM	5	97	0	1	109	13	2	5 5	2	22	0	04	1	0	100	2	1	5	0	14	200
04.15 PM	5	09	2	4	100	10	0	5	0	20	4	93	0	د ۱	100	0	ు స	4	2	9	229
04.30 PIVI	4	ం	4	1	92		0	10	0	14	J 2	04 75	2	1	90	3	ວ 1	4	1		207
	16	251	15	14	206	1	0	24	0	75	10	226	<u> </u>		265	6	0	1/	12	40	202
TOLAI	10	351	15	14	390	41	2	24	0	75	19	330	0	4	305	0	0	14	12	40	070
05:00 PM	7	82	4	2	95	13	2	6	2	23	2	80	4	0	86	1	4	2	1	8	212
05:15 PM	5	95	2	1	103	10	3	7	0	20	6	82	3	Ő	91	5	3	5	1	14	228
05:30 PM	1	97	7	4	109	12	4	.9	2	27	8	75	0	1	84	1	2	2	3	8	228
05:45 PM	3	89	7	3	102	7	2	10	1	20	5	81	1	2	89	1	4	3	2	10	221
Total	16	363	20	10	409	42	11	32	5	90	21	318	8	3	350	8	13	12	7	40	889
									-				-	-		-		. –	-		
Grand Total	41	1127	59	29	1256	121	22	99	16	258	70	1294	18	22	1404	20	33	70	23	146	3064
Apprch %	3.3	89.7	4.7	2.3		46.9	8.5	38.4	6.2		5	92.2	1.3	1.6		13.7	22.6	47.9	15.8		
Total %	1.3	36.8	1.9	0.9	41	3.9	0.7	3.2	0.5	8.4	2.3	42.2	0.6	0.7	45.8	0.7	1.1	2.3	0.8	4.8	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : F US 421 & Harper Site Code : 00003077 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	07:00 A	AM to 1	1:45 AN	/I - Pea	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	1	39	2	1	43	1	0	8	0	9	4	83	0	1	88	0	2	4	0	6	146
07:30 AM	1	49	2	0	52	3	2	8	0	13	3	97	0	0	100	3	3	7	0	13	178
07:45 AM	1	69	1	2	73	4	2	6	0	12	2	102	0	0	104	0	0	5	3	8	197
08:00 AM	3	53	4	0	60	4	0	5	0	9	7	87	1	2	97	2	2	6	1	11	177
Total Volume	6	210	9	3	228	12	4	27	0	43	16	369	1	3	389	5	7	22	4	38	698
% App. Total	2.6	92.1	3.9	1.3		27.9	9.3	62.8	0		4.1	94.9	0.3	0.8		13.2	18.4	57.9	10.5		
PHF	.500	.761	.563	.375	.781	.750	.500	.844	.000	.827	.571	.904	.250	.375	.935	.417	.583	.786	.333	.731	.886



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : F US 421 & Harper Site Code : 00003077 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	<u>om Sc</u>	uth			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From '	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	1 - Peal	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 05:0	0 PM															
05:00 PM	7	82	4	2	95	13	2	6	2	23	2	80	4	0	86	1	4	2	1	8	212
05:15 PM	5	95	2	1	103	10	3	7	0	20	6	82	3	0	91	5	3	5	1	14	228
05:30 PM	1	97	7	4	109	12	4	9	2	27	8	75	0	1	84	1	2	2	3	8	228
05:45 PM	3	89	7	3	102	7	2	10	1	20	5	81	1	2	89	1	4	3	2	10	221
Total Volume	16	363	20	10	409	42	11	32	5	90	21	318	8	3	350	8	13	12	7	40	889
% App. Total	3.9	88.8	4.9	2.4	_	46.7	12.2	35.6	5.6		6	90.9	2.3	0.9		20	32.5	30	17.5		
PHF	.571	.936	.714	.625	.938	.808	.688	.800	.625	.833	.656	.970	.500	.375	.962	.400	.813	.600	.583	.714	.975



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : G US 421 & Cape Fear Blvd Site Code : 00000010 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 1

						-		G	Groups	Printed	<u>d- Uns</u>	hifted									
		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	3	17	3	0	23	0	1	3	0	4	0	67	0	0	67	1	2	5	0	8	102
07:15 AM	7	38	2	2	49	3	0	1	0	4	3	77	1	2	83	0	2	4	1	7	143
07:30 AM	7	47	0	0	54	0	0	3	0	3	1	83	1	0	85	2	2	11	0	15	157
07:45 AM	4	59	4	0	67	1	1	4	1	7	3	87	2	2	94	4	1	16	0	21	189
Total	21	161	9	2	193	4	2	11	1	18	7	314	4	4	329	7	7	36	1	51	591
08:00 AM	9	54	0	0	63	4	2	1	0	7	2	71	3	0	76	5	4	19	2	30	176
08:15 AM	6	45	1	1	53	1	2	4	1	8	2	50	0	1	53	2	3	7	0	12	126
08:30 AM	10	50	0	1	61	3	0	2	0	5	0	74	1	3	78	4	2	5	0	11	155
08:45 AM	4	67	1	4	76	1	2	3	0	6	2	51	0	2	55	2	2	10	2	16	153
Total	29	216	2	6	253	9	6	10	1	26	6	246	4	6	262	13	11	41	4	69	610
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	13	79	4	5	101	3	2	5	2	12	1	71	4	1	77	9	5	13	2	29	219
04:15 PM	9	67	6	2	84	2	1	2	2	7	3	75	4	1	83	3	2	14	6	25	199
04:30 PM	15	80	1	2	98	5	2	5	0	12	3	77	2	0	82	7	0	10	0	17	209
04:45 PM	6	66	4	3	79	2	2	5	5	14	11	66	1	3	81	2	2	11	3	18	192
Total	43	292	15	12	362	12	7	17	9	45	18	289	11	5	323	21	9	48	11	89	819
05:00 PM	9	80	5	1	95	4	3	3	0	10	2	74	6	2	84	5	1	8	2	16	205
05:15 PM	15	78	3	2	98	2	2	7	2	13	2	71	3	3	79	4	2	8	1	15	205
05:30 PM	13	81	1	2	97	3	2	8	2	15	3	72	5	6	86	3	3	10	5	21	219
05:45 PM	9	95	3	1	108	5	1	5	2	13	5	77	6	1	89	5	2	8	5	20	230
Total	46	334	12	6	398	14	8	23	6	51	12	294	20	12	338	17	8	34	13	72	859
Grand Total Apprch %	139 11.5	1003 83.2	38 3.2	26 2.2	1206	39 27.9	23 16.4	61 43.6 2 1	17 12.1	140	43 3.4	1143 91.3	39 3.1	27 2.2	1252	58 20.6	35 12.5	159 56.6	29 10.3	281	2879
	4.0	04.0	1.5	0.9	41.5	1.4	0.0	۲.۱	0.0	4.9	1.5	55.7	1.4	0.9	40.0	· 2	1.2	5.5	1	5.0	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : G US 421 & Cape Fear Blvd Site Code : 00000010 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			F	rom W	lest		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (	)7:00 A	AM to 1	1:45 AN	/I - Pea	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	7	38	2	2	49	3	0	1	0	4	3	77	1	2	83	0	2	4	1	7	143
07:30 AM	7	47	0	0	54	0	0	3	0	3	1	83	1	0	85	2	2	11	0	15	157
07:45 AM	4	59	4	0	67	1	1	4	1	7	3	87	2	2	94	4	1	16	0	21	189
08:00 AM	9	54	0	0	63	4	2	1	0	7	2	71	3	0	76	5	4	19	2	30	176
Total Volume	27	198	6	2	233	8	3	9	1	21	9	318	7	4	338	11	9	50	3	73	665
% App. Total	11.6	85	2.6	0.9		38.1	14.3	42.9	4.8		2.7	94.1	2.1	1.2		15.1	12.3	68.5	4.1		1
PHF	.750	.839	.375	.250	.869	.500	.375	.563	.250	.750	.750	.914	.583	.500	.899	.550	.563	.658	.375	.608	.880



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : G US 421 & Cape Fear Blvd Site Code : 00000010 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 3

																				1	l I
		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	<u>om Sc</u>	uth			Fi	rom W	est		L
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From 1	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	1 - Peal	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 05:0	0 PM															
05:00 PM	9	80	5	1	95	4	3	3	0	10	2	74	6	2	84	5	1	8	2	16	205
05:15 PM	15	78	3	2	98	2	2	7	2	13	2	71	3	3	79	4	2	8	1	15	205
05:30 PM	13	81	1	2	97	3	2	8	2	15	3	72	5	6	86	3	3	10	5	21	219
05:45 PM	9	95	3	1	108	5	1	5	2	13	5	77	6	1	89	5	2	8	5	20	230
Total Volume	46	334	12	6	398	14	8	23	6	51	12	294	20	12	338	17	8	34	13	72	859
% App. Total	11.6	83.9	3	1.5		27.5	15.7	45.1	11.8		3.6	87	5.9	3.6		23.6	11.1	47.2	18.1		L
PHF	.767	.879	.600	.750	.921	.700	.667	.719	.750	.850	.600	.955	.833	.500	.949	.850	.667	.850	.650	.857	.934



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : H US421 & Atlanta Site Code : 00000005 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 1

								G	roups	Printee	d- Uns	hifted									
		Fr	om No	orth			Fi	om Ea	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	1	13	0	0	14	0	2	0	0	2	0	50	1	0	51	1	1	3	0	5	72
07:15 AM	3	24	0	0	27	2	0	0	0	2	0	57	1	0	58	0	0	6	0	6	93
07:30 AM	5	31	1	0	37	4	0	0	0	4	0	71	5	0	76	1	0	6	0	7	124
07:45 AM	15	40	0	0	55	1	0	0	0	1	0	62	15	0	77	2	2	18	0	22	155
Total	24	108	1	0	133	7	2	0	0	9	0	240	22	0	262	4	3	33	0	40	444
08:00 AM	2	38	1	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	6	0	56	3	0	12	0	15	112
08:15 AM	1	37	2	2	42	1	0	0	0	1	0	46	1	0	47	0	0	1	2	3	93
08:30 AM	4	39	1	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	3	0	55	3	1	2	0	6	105
08:45 AM	3	54	2	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0	42	0	0	1	0	1	102
Total	10	168	6	2	186	1	0	0	0	1	0	190	10	0	200	6	1	16	2	25	412
*** BREAK **	*																				
03:45 PM	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Total	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
04:00 PM	2	71	2	0	75	1	0	0	0	1	1	71	1	0	73	3	0	1	0	4	153
04:15 PM	2	59	3	0	64	0	3	0	0	3	2	60	3	0	65	6	0	3	2	11	143
04:30 PM	1	47	2	0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	56	4	0	60	3	0	1	0	4	114
04:45 PM	3	66	0	1	70	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	1	1	63	3	0	5	0	8	141
Total	8	243	7	1	259	1	3	0	0	4	3	248	9	1	261	15	0	10	2	27	551
05:00 PM	5	68	1	1	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	2	0	67	0	0	4	0	4	146
05:15 PM	3	63	3	0	69	1	0	0	0	1	0	57	0	0	57	2	0	2	0	4	131
05:30 PM	4	69	3	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	1	0	69	4	0	3	0	7	152
05:45 PM	8	78	1	0	87	2	0	0	0	2	0	67	2	0	69	1	0	5	0	6	164
Total	20	278	8	1	307	3	0	0	0	3	0	257	5	0	262	7	0	14	0	21	593
Grand Total	65	797	22	4	888	12	5	0	0	17	3	935	46	1	985	32	4	73	4	113	2003
Apprch %	7.3	89.8	2.5	0.5	500	70.6	29.4	õ	õ		0.3	94.9	4.7	0.1	500	28.3	3.5	64.6	3.5	110	
Total %	3.2	39.8	1.1	0.2	44.3	0.6	0.2	0	Ő	0.8	0.1	46.7	2.3	0	49.2	1.6	0.2	3.6	0.2	5.6	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : H US421 & Atlanta Site Code : 00000005 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																					
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	3	24	0	0	27	2	0	0	0	2	0	57	1	0	58	0	0	6	0	6	93
07:30 AM	5	31	1	0	37	4	0	0	0	4	0	71	5	0	76	1	0	6	0	7	124
07:45 AM	15	40	0	0	55	1	0	0	0	1	0	62	15	0	77	2	2	18	0	22	155
08:00 AM	2	38	1	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	6	0	56	3	0	12	0	15	112
Total Volume	25	133	2	0	160	7	0	0	0	7	0	240	27	0	267	6	2	42	0	50	484
% App. Total	15.6	83.1	1.2	0		100	0	0	0		0	89.9	10.1	0		12	4	84	0		
PHF	.417	.831	.500	.000	.727	.438	.000	.000	.000	.438	.000	.845	.450	.000	.867	.500	.250	.583	.000	.568	.781



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

10th and Wooster St. Turning Movement Count File Name : H US421 & Atlanta Site Code : 00000005 Start Date : 4/30/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	<u>om No</u>	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	<u>om Sc</u>	outh			F	<u>rom W</u>	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From '	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	1 - Peal	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 05:0	0 PM															
05:00 PM	5	68	1	1	75	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	2	0	67	0	0	4	0	4	146
05:15 PM	3	63	3	0	69	1	0	0	0	1	0	57	0	0	57	2	0	2	0	4	131
05:30 PM	4	69	3	0	76	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	1	0	69	4	0	3	0	7	152
05:45 PM	8	78	1	0	87	2	0	0	0	2	0	67	2	0	69	1	0	5	0	6	164
Total Volume	20	278	8	1	307	3	0	0	0	3	0	257	5	0	262	7	0	14	0	21	593
% App. Total	6.5	90.6	2.6	0.3		100	0	0	0		0	98.1	1.9	0		33.3	0	66.7	0		
PHF	.625	.891	.667	.250	.882	.375	.000	.000	.000	.375	.000	.945	.625	.000	.949	.438	.000	.700	.000	.750	.904



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : I US 421 & Ocean Site Code : 00001234 Start Date : 5/6/2008 Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Unshifted																					
		Fre	om No	orth			Fr	om E	ast			Fr	om So	uth			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	4	11	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	3	0	28	2	2	5	0	9	52
07:15 AM	3	17	0	1	21	0	1	0	0	1	0	31	2	4	37	1	0	7	0	8	67
07:30 AM	3	25	3	0	31	2	2	0	0	4	0	34	4	0	38	3	0	4	0	7	80
07:45 AM	1	33	1	1	36	2	0	0	0	2	0	49	4	1	54	3	0	4	0	7	99
Total	11	86	4	2	103	4	3	0	0	7	0	139	13	5	157	9	2	20	0	31	298
08:00 AM	5	30	0	1	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	5	1	37	1	0	4	0	5	78
08:15 AM	7	29	0	0	36	0	0	1	0	1	0	39	2	1	42	2	1	4	0	7	86
08:30 AM	3	38	1	0	42	1	0	0	0	1	0	40	7	2	49	6	3	2	0	11	103
08:45 AM	1	39	1	2	43	2	0	1	0	3	0	34	1	1	36	4	0	7	0	11	93
Total	16	136	2	3	157	3	0	2	0	5	0	144	15	5	164	13	4	17	0	34	360
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	5	50	0	5	60	2	0	0	2	4	0	65	0	0	65	7	0	9	0	16	145
04:15 PM	6	46	0	1	53	0	1	0	0	1	0	51	2	2	55	7	0	5	2	14	123
04:30 PM	7	63	0	1	71	0	0	0	6	6	0	63	5	0	68	7	0	3	0	10	155
04:45 PM	3	44	1	0	48	0	0	1	1	2	0	65	5	4	74	1	0	9	2	12	136
Total	21	203	1	7	232	2	1	1	9	13	0	244	12	6	262	22	0	26	4	52	559
05:00 PM	6	53	0	0	59	1	1	0	1	3	0	54	2	1	57	4	0	4	3	11	130
05:15 PM	7	44	1	0	52	0	1	2	0	3	1	64	2	0	67	4	1	4	2	11	133
05:30 PM	7	64	0	1	72	2	0	0	5	7	0	46	3	0	49	8	0	3	0	11	139
05:45 PM	4	55	1	0	60	1	0	1	0	2	0	52	5	1	58	5	0	7	0	12	132
Total	24	216	2	1	243	4	2	3	6	15	1	216	12	2	231	21	1	18	5	45	534
Grand Total Apprch %	72 9.8	641 87.2	9 1.2	13 1.8	735	13 32.5	6 15	6 15	15 37.5	40	0.1	743 91.3	52 6.4	18 2.2	814	65 40.1	7 4.3	81 50	9 5.6	162	1751
TOTAL %	4.1	30.0	0.0	0.7	42	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.9	2.3	0.1	42.4	3	I	40.5	5.1	0.4	4.0	0.5	9.3	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : I US 421 & Ocean Site Code : 00001234 Start Date : 5/6/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	rom W	lest		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																					
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:4	5 AM															
07:45 AM	1	33	1	1	36	2	0	0	0	2	0	49	4	1	54	3	0	4	0	7	99
08:00 AM	5	30	0	1	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	5	1	37	1	0	4	0	5	78
08:15 AM	7	29	0	0	36	0	0	1	0	1	0	39	2	1	42	2	1	4	0	7	86
08:30 AM	3	38	1	0	42	1	0	0	0	1	0	40	7	2	49	6	3	2	0	11	103
Total Volume	16	130	2	2	150	3	0	1	0	4	0	159	18	5	182	12	4	14	0	30	366
% App. Total	10.7	86.7	1.3	1.3		75	0	25	0		0	87.4	9.9	2.7		40	13.3	46.7	0		
PHF	.571	.855	.500	.500	.893	.375	.000	.250	.000	.500	.000	.811	.643	.625	.843	.500	.333	.875	.000	.682	.888



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : I US 421 & Ocean Site Code : 00001234 Start Date : 5/6/2008 Page No : 3

																				l I	
		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From '	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	1 - Pea	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:0	0 PM															
04:00 PM	5	50	0	5	60	2	0	0	2	4	0	65	0	0	65	7	0	9	0	16	145
04:15 PM	6	46	0	1	53	0	1	0	0	1	0	51	2	2	55	7	0	5	2	14	123
04:30 PM	7	63	0	1	71	0	0	0	6	6	0	63	5	0	68	7	0	3	0	10	155
04:45 PM	3	44	1	0	48	0	0	1	1	2	0	65	5	4	74	1	0	9	2	12	136
Total Volume	21	203	1	7	232	2	1	1	9	13	0	244	12	6	262	22	0	26	4	52	559
% App. Total	9.1	87.5	0.4	3	_	15.4	7.7	7.7	69.2		0	93.1	4.6	2.3		42.3	0	50	7.7		
PHF	.750	.806	.250	.350	.817	.250	.250	.250	.375	.542	.000	.938	.600	.375	.885	.786	.000	.722	.500	.813	.902



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count 
 File Name
 : J US 421 & K Ave

 Site Code
 : 00000000

 Start Date
 : 5/7/2008

 Page No
 : 1

Groups Printed-Unshifted																					
		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom F	ast			Fr	om Sc	uth			Fr	om W	ost		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int Total
07:00 AM	5	2	4	0	11	3	1	0	0	<u>4</u>	3	5	6	0	14	6	2	2	1	11	40
07:15 AM	1	7	3	õ	11	3	1	1	õ	5	1	8	7	0	16	18	1	0	O	19	51
07:30 AM	1	17	4	1	23	2	0	0	2	4	2	11	24	1	38	14	3	2	Õ	19	84
07:45 AM	3	14	1	1	19	3	Õ	Õ	1	4	6	6	15	3	30	21	4	1	5	31	84
Total	10	40	12	2	64	11	2	1	3	17	12	30	52	4	98	59	10	5	6	80	259
08:00 AM	4	15	4	0	23	1	1	2	0	4	1	20	8	0	29	17	2	2	0	21	77
08:15 AM	4	20	7	0	31	1	0	1	0	2	3	9	7	0	19	18	2	0	0	20	72
08:30 AM	5	11	7	3	26	0	1	2	1	4	1	9	11	6	27	4	0	1	0	5	62
08:45 AM	9	16	11	1	37	1	0	3	0	4	4	13	14	0	31	10	3	3	1	17	89
Total	22	62	29	4	117	3	2	8	1	14	9	51	40	6	106	49	7	6	1	63	300
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	4	30	5	2	41	0	1	1	1	3	7	48	19	1	75	7	6	7	2	22	141
04:15 PM	6	28	8	0	42	6	0	1	2	9	7	21	11	1	40	8	4	7	0	19	110
04:30 PM	5	27	11	0	43	5	3	1	0	9	6	27	22	2	57	9	5	3	0	17	126
04:45 PM	8	19	5	0	32	1	2	0	1	4	4	48	33	0	85	9	4	9	1	23	144
Total	23	104	29	2	158	12	6	3	4	25	24	144	85	4	257	33	19	26	3	81	521
05:00 PM	9	26	9	0	44	1	1	5	10	17	6	23	17	7	53	10	5	8	11	34	148
05:15 PM	3	17	10	4	34	3	3	2	3	11	7	27	40	0	74	6	3	3	9	21	140
05:30 PM	11	12	10	3	36	3	2	1	2	8	5	29	12	0	46	11	5	9	0	25	115
05:45 PM	7	20	15	1	43	7	7	2	0	16	7	20	8	0	35	8	7	3	0	18	112
Total	30	75	44	8	157	14	13	10	15	52	25	99	77	7	208	35	20	23	20	98	515
Grand Total Apprch %	85 17.1	281 56.7	114 23	16 3.2	496	40 37	23 21.3	22 20.4	23 21.3	108	70 10.5	324 48.4	254 38	21 3.1	669	176 54.7	56 17.4	60 18.6	30 9.3	322	1595
Total %	5.3	17.6	7.1	1	31.1	2.5	1.4	1.4	1.4	6.8	4.4	20.3	15.9	1.3	41.9	11	3.5	3.8	1.9	20.2	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : J US 421 & K Ave Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 5/7/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1																					
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:3	0 AM															
07:30 AM	1	17	4	1	23	2	0	0	2	4	2	11	24	1	38	14	3	2	0	19	84
07:45 AM	3	14	1	1	19	3	0	0	1	4	6	6	15	3	30	21	4	1	5	31	84
08:00 AM	4	15	4	0	23	1	1	2	0	4	1	20	8	0	29	17	2	2	0	21	77
08:15 AM	4	20	7	0	31	1	0	1	0	2	3	9	7	0	19	18	2	0	0	20	72
Total Volume	12	66	16	2	96	7	1	3	3	14	12	46	54	4	116	70	11	5	5	91	317
% App. Total	12.5	68.8	16.7	2.1		50	7.1	21.4	21.4		10.3	39.7	46.6	3.4		76.9	12.1	5.5	5.5		
PHF	.750	.825	.571	.500	.774	.583	.250	.375	.375	.875	.500	.575	.563	.333	.763	.833	.688	.625	.250	.734	.943


421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : J US 421 & K Ave Site Code : 00000000 Start Date : 5/7/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	uth			F	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	12:00 F	PM to C	)5:45 PN	/I - Pea	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:3	0 PM															
04:30 PM	5	27	11	0	43	5	3	1	0	9	6	27	22	2	57	9	5	3	0	17	126
04:45 PM	8	19	5	0	32	1	2	0	1	4	4	48	33	0	85	9	4	9	1	23	144
05:00 PM	9	26	9	0	44	1	1	5	10	17	6	23	17	7	53	10	5	8	11	34	148
05:15 PM	3	17	10	4	34	3	3	2	3	11	7	27	40	0	74	6	3	3	9	21	140
Total Volume	25	89	35	4	153	10	9	8	14	41	23	125	112	9	269	34	17	23	21	95	558
% App. Total	16.3	58.2	22.9	2.6		24.4	22	19.5	34.1		8.6	46.5	41.6	3.3		35.8	17.9	24.2	22.1		
PHF	.694	.824	.795	.250	.869	.500	.750	.400	.350	.603	.821	.651	.700	.321	.791	.850	.850	.639	.477	.699	.943



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

Dow Rd and Harper Turning Movement Count 1/4 of ped are cyclists File Name: B Dow & HarperSite Code: 00000002Start Date: 5/1/2008Page No: 1

								G	roups	Printed	d- Uns	hifted									
		Е.		. with			Е.					с.					Б.	a ma 14/			
Otaut Times	Dista		OIII NC	Dede		District	Thur.	OIII Ea			Dista		011 30	Dada		Dist	Thur		Dede		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Len	Peas	App. Total	Right	Thru	Len	Peas	App. Total	Right	Inru	Len	Peas	App. Total	Right	Inru	Len	Peas	App. Total	Int. Total
07:00 AM	0	30	6	0	36	13	0	0	0	13	1	80	0	0	81	0	0	0	0	0	130
07:15 AM	0	53	5	0	58	15	0	2	0	1/	0	108	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	183
07:30 AM	0	62	2	1	65	10	0	0	0	10	2	117	0	0	119	0	0	0	0	0	194
07:45 AM	0	81	3	0	84	14	0	2	1	17	1	122	0	0	123	0	0	0	1	1	225
Total	0	226	16	1	243	52	0	4	1	57	4	427	0	0	431	0	0	0	1	1	732
08:00 AM	0	16	3	٥	40	10	0	0	0	10	1	116	0	0	117	0	0	٥	0	0	176
00.00 AM	0	40	3	0	49		0	0	0	10	1	62	0	0	62	0	0	0	0	0	110
00.15 AM	0	40	4	1	44 50		0	0	2	9	0	03	0	0	03	0	0	0	1	1	110
08:30 AM	0	40	11	1	58	10	0	0	0	0	0	85	0	0	80	0	0	0	1	1	150
08:45 AM	0	48		0	53	10	0	1	0	- 11	0	59	0	0	59	0	0	0	0	0	123
Iotai	0	180	23	1	204	33	0	1	2	36	1	323	0	0	324	0	0	0	1	1	565
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	0	65	12	0	77	9	0	0	0	9	0	86	0	0	86	0	0	0	0	0	172
04:15 PM	0	87	14	0	101	9	0	1	2	12	2	76	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	191
04:30 PM	0	95	11	0	106	9	0	1	1	11	3	68	0	0	71	0	0	0	1	1	189
04:45 PM	0	91	14	0	105	9	0	2	1	12	4	70	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	191
Total	0	338	51	0	389	36	0	4	4	44	9	300	0	0	309	0	0	0	1	1	743
	•	00	•	•	405	-	•	~	•	-		70	•	•	70	•	•	•	•	0	404
05:00 PM	0	96	9	0	105	5	0	2	0	1	2	70	0	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	184
05:15 PM	0	102	13	1	116	10	0	0	2	12	1	78	0	0	79	0	0	0	2	2	209
05:30 PM	0	92	13	1	106		0	2	2	11	1	60	0	0	61	0	0	0	0	0	1/8
05:45 PM	0	88	15	0	103	6	0	0	0	6	0	72	0	0	72	0	0	0	2	2	183
Total	0	378	50	2	430	28	0	4	4	36	4	280	0	0	284	0	0	0	4	4	754
Grand Total	0	1122	140	4	1266	149	0	13	11	173	18	1330	0	0	1348	0	0	0	7	7	2794
Apprch %	Ő	88.6	11 1	03	.200	86 1	Ő	75	64	110	13	98.7	Ő	0	1040	0 0	õ	ő	100	'	2.04
Total %	0	40.2	5	0.0	45.3	53	0	0.5	0.4	62	0.6	47.6	0	0	48 2	0	ő	õ	0.3	0.3	
Total %	0	40.2	5	0.1	45.3	5.3	0	0.5	0.4	6.2	0.6	47.6	0	0	48.2	Ő	Ő	Ő	0.3	0.3	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

Dow Rd and Harper Turning Movement Count 1/4 of ped are cyclists File Name : B Dow & Harper Site Code : 00000002 Start Date : 5/1/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (	07:00 A	AM to 1	11:45 AN	/I - Pea	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	0	53	5	0	58	15	0	2	0	17	0	108	0	0	108	0	0	0	0	0	183
07:30 AM	0	62	2	1	65	10	0	0	0	10	2	117	0	0	119	0	0	0	0	0	194
07:45 AM	0	81	3	0	84	14	0	2	1	17	1	122	0	0	123	0	0	0	1	1	225
08:00 AM	0	46	3	0	49	10	0	0	0	10	1	116	0	0	117	0	0	0	0	0	176
Total Volume	0	242	13	1	256	49	0	4	1	54	4	463	0	0	467	0	0	0	1	1	778
% App. Total	0	94.5	5.1	0.4		90.7	0	7.4	1.9		0.9	99.1	0	0		0	0	0	100		
PHF	.000	.747	.650	.250	.762	.817	.000	.500	.250	.794	.500	.949	.000	.000	.949	.000	.000	.000	.250	.250	.864



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

Dow Rd and Harper Turning Movement Count 1/4 of ped are cyclists File Name : B Dow & Harper Site Code : 00000002 Start Date : 5/1/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	orth	-		F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	uth			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	12:00 F	PM to (	)5:45 PN	1 - Pea	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:3	0 PM															
04:30 PM	0	95	11	0	106	9	0	1	1	11	3	68	0	0	71	0	0	0	1	1	189
04:45 PM	0	91	14	0	105	9	0	2	1	12	4	70	0	0	74	0	0	0	0	0	191
05:00 PM	0	96	9	0	105	5	0	2	0	7	2	70	0	0	72	0	0	0	0	0	184
05:15 PM	0	102	13	1	116	10	0	0	2	12	1	78	0	0	79	0	0	0	2	2	209
Total Volume	0	384	47	1	432	33	0	5	4	42	10	286	0	0	296	0	0	0	3	3	773
% App. Total	0	88.9	10.9	0.2	_	78.6	0	11.9	9.5		3.4	96.6	0	0		0	0	0	100		
PHF	.000	.941	.839	.250	.931	.825	.000	.625	.500	.875	.625	.917	.000	.000	.937	.000	.000	.000	.375	.375	.925



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

#### US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count

File Name : C Dow & Atlanta Site Code : 00000003 Start Date : 5/1/2008 Page No : 1

								G	roups	Printee	d- Uns	hifted									
		Er	om Na	th			E.	rom E	<b></b> t			<b>E r</b>	om 60	uth			E.	om W	oct		
Start Time	Dight	Thru		Dodo		Diabt	Thru		asi Dodo		Diabt	Thru		Dodo		Dight	Thru		Bodo		
	Right			Peas	App. Total	Right	Thru	Leit	Peas	App. Total	Right	07		Peas	App. Total	Right	Thiu	Leit	Peus	App. Total	Int. I otal
07:00 AM	0	35	1	0	30	2	0	0	0	2	0	107	0	0	107	0	0	0	0	0	105
07:15 AM	0	49	0	0	49	4	0	1	0	5	0	105	0	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	159
07:30 AM	0	58	9	0	67	2	0	0	0	2	2	135	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	0	206
07:45 AM	0			0	98	0	0	0	0	0	5	149	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	0	252
lotal	0	217	33	0	250	8	0	1	0	9	1	456	0	0	463	0	0	0	0	0	722
08:00 AM	0	41	1	0	42	2	0	0	0	2	1	122	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	167
08:15 AM	0	50	2	0	52	2	0	1	1	4	0	66	0	0	66	0	0	0	0	0	122
08:30 AM	0	44	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	84	0	0	84	0	0	0	0	0	128
08:45 AM	0	47	5	0	52	2	0	2	0	4	0	67	0	0	67	0	0	0	0	0	123
Total	0	182	8	0	190	6	0	3	1	10	1	339	0	0	340	0	0	0	0	0	540
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	0	71	1	0	72	1	0	2	0	3	0	78	0	0	78	0	0	0	0	0	153
04:15 PM	0	71	0	0	71	2	0	0	0	2	1	56	0	0	57	0	0	0	0	0	130
04:30 PM	0	97	1	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	175
04:45 PM	0	105	2	0	107	1	0	0	1	2	0	68	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	177
Total	0	344	4	0	348	4	0	2	1	7	1	279	0	0	280	0	0	0	0	0	635
05:00 PM	0	96	0	0	96	1	0	2	0	3	0	73	0	0	73	0	0	0	0	0	172
05:15 PM	0	108	1	0	109	3	0	0	0	3	2	81	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	195
05:30 PM	0	106	4	0	110	3	0	1	0	4	2	56	0	0	58	0	0	0	0	0	172
05:45 PM	0	94	5	0	99	1	0	0	0	1	0	52	0	0	52	0	1	0	0	1	153
Total	0	404	10	0	414	8	0	3	0	11	4	262	0	0	266	0	1	0	0	1	692
Grand Total	0	1147	55	0	1202	26	0	9	2	37	13	1336	0	0	1349	0	1	0	0	1	2589
Apprch %	Ő	95.4	4.6	õ		70.3	Ő	24.3	5.4		. 3	99	õ	õ		Ő	100	Ő	Ő		
Total %	0	44.3	2.1	0	46.4	1	0	0.3	0.1	1.4	0.5	51.6	0	0	52.1	0	0	0	0	0	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : C Dow & Atlanta Site Code : 0000003 Start Date : 5/1/2008 Page No : 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From (	07:00 A	AM to 1	1:45 AN	/I - Pea	k 1 of 1	l													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	0	49	0	0	49	4	0	1	0	5	0	105	0	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	159
07:30 AM	0	58	9	0	67	2	0	0	0	2	2	135	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	0	206
07:45 AM	0	75	23	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	5	149	0	0	154	0	0	0	0	0	252
08:00 AM	0	41	1	0	42	2	0	0	0	2	1	122	0	0	123	0	0	0	0	0	167
Total Volume	0	223	33	0	256	8	0	1	0	9	8	511	0	0	519	0	0	0	0	0	784
% App. Total	0	87.1	12.9	0		88.9	0	11.1	0		1.5	98.5	0	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.743	.359	.000	.653	.500	.000	.250	.000	.450	.400	.857	.000	.000	.843	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.778



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name : C Dow & Atlanta Site Code : 0000003 Start Date : 5/1/2008 Page No : 3

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om So	outh			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From <sup>2</sup>	12:00 F	PM to 0	)5:45 PN	1 - Peal	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:3	0 PM															
04:30 PM	0	97	1	0	98	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	0	0	77	0	0	0	0	0	175
04:45 PM	0	105	2	0	107	1	0	0	1	2	0	68	0	0	68	0	0	0	0	0	177
05:00 PM	0	96	0	0	96	1	0	2	0	3	0	73	0	0	73	0	0	0	0	0	172
05:15 PM	0	108	1	0	109	3	0	0	0	3	2	81	0	0	83	0	0	0	0	0	195
Total Volume	0	406	4	0	410	5	0	2	1	8	2	299	0	0	301	0	0	0	0	0	719
% App. Total	0	99	1	0	_	62.5	0	25	12.5		0.7	99.3	0	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.940	.500	.000	.940	.417	.000	.250	.250	.667	.250	.923	.000	.000	.907	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.922



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

#### US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count

File Name: D Dow & OceanSite Code: 00000004Start Date: 5/6/2008Page No: 1

								G	roups	Printed	d- Uns	hifted									
		Fr	om No	orth			Fr	om E	ast			Fr	om So	uth			Fr	om W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	App Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	l eft	Peds	Ann Total	Int Total
07:00 AM	0	12	4	0	16	29	0	2	0	31	0	31	0	0	.31	0	0	0	0	0	78
07:15 AM	Ő	24	10	õ	34	33	õ	0	Ő	33	Ő	34	õ	Ő	34	Ő	õ	õ	Õ	Ő	101
07:30 AM	Ő	21	4	Õ	25	31	Õ	Õ	Õ	31	1	44	Ő	Ő	45	0	Ő	Õ	Ő	0	101
07:45 AM	Ő	22	10	Õ	32	26	Õ	Õ	Õ	26	0	27	Õ	Õ	27	Ö	Õ	Õ	Õ	Õ	85
Total	0	79	28	0	107	119	0	2	0	121	1	136	0	0	137	0	0	0	0	0	365
			_						•								•				
08:00 AM	0	18	5	0	23	18	8	3	0	29	12	16	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	80
08:15 AM	0	18	5	0	23	21	0	0	0	21	0	21	0	0	21	0	0	0	0	0	65
08:30 AM	0	24	9	0	33	22	0	0	0	22	0	22	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	77
08:45 AM	0	14	7	0	21	7	0	0	0	7	0	22	0	4	26	0	0	0	0	0	54
Total	0	74	26	0	100	68	8	3	0	79	12	81	0	4	97	0	0	0	0	0	276
*** BREAK **	*																				
04:00 PM	0	26	21	0	47	14	0	0	0	14	0	26	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	87
04:15 PM	0	34	19	0	53	8	0	0	0	8	0	29	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	90
04:30 PM	0	32	13	0	45	11	0	0	0	11	1	34	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	91
04:45 PM	0	27	22	0	49	13	0	0	0	13	0	32	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	94
Total	0	119	75	0	194	46	0	0	0	46	1	121	0	0	122	0	0	0	0	0	362
05:00 PM	0	37	17	0	54	12	0	0	0	12	0	40	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	106
05:15 PM	0	39	20	0	59	15	0	0	0	15	0	42	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	116
05:30 PM	0	29	24	0	53	16	0	0	0	16	0	26	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	95
05:45 PM	0	22	20	0	42	26	0	0	1	27	0	16	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	85
Total	0	127	81	0	208	69	0	0	1	70	0	124	0	0	124	0	0	0	0	0	402
Grand Total	0	399	210	0	609	302	8	5	1	316	14	462	0	4	480	0	0	0	0	0	1405
Approh %	0	65.5	34.5	0	000	95.6	25	16	03	0.0	2.9	96.2	ő	0.8	100	0	õ	õ	ő	Ŭ	
Total %	0	28.4	14.9	0	43.3	21.5	0.6	0.4	0.1	22.5	1	32.9	0	0.3	34.2	0	Ő	0	0	0	

421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name: D Dow & OceanSite Code: 00000004Start Date: 5/6/2008Page No: 2

		Fr	om No	orth			F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	outh			Fi	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	07:00 A	AM to 1	11:45 AN	/I - Pea	k 1 of 1														
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	e Inters	ection	Begins	s at 07:1	5 AM															
07:15 AM	0	24	10	0	34	33	0	0	0	33	0	34	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	101
07:30 AM	0	21	4	0	25	31	0	0	0	31	1	44	0	0	45	0	0	0	0	0	101
07:45 AM	0	22	10	0	32	26	0	0	0	26	0	27	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	85
08:00 AM	0	18	5	0	23	18	8	3	0	29	12	16	0	0	28	0	0	0	0	0	80
Total Volume	0	85	29	0	114	108	8	3	0	119	13	121	0	0	134	0	0	0	0	0	367
% App. Total	0	74.6	25.4	0		90.8	6.7	2.5	0		9.7	90.3	0	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.885	.725	.000	.838	.818	.250	.250	.000	.902	.271	.688	.000	.000	.744	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.908



421 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1303 Raleigh, NC 27601

US 421 & Atlanta Ave Turning Movement Count File Name: D Dow & OceanSite Code: 00000004Start Date: 5/6/2008Page No: 3

																				i	
		Fr	om No	orth	-		F	rom E	ast			Fr	om Sc	uth			F	rom W	est		
Start Time	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Right	Thru	Left	Peds	App. Total	Int. Total
Peak Hour A	nalysis	From	12:00 F	PM to (	)5:45 PN	1 - Pea	k 1 of ′	1													
Peak Hour fo	r Entire	Inters	ection	Begins	s at 04:4	5 PM															
04:45 PM	0	27	22	0	49	13	0	0	0	13	0	32	0	0	32	0	0	0	0	0	94
05:00 PM	0	37	17	0	54	12	0	0	0	12	0	40	0	0	40	0	0	0	0	0	106
05:15 PM	0	39	20	0	59	15	0	0	0	15	0	42	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	116
05:30 PM	0	29	24	0	53	16	0	0	0	16	0	26	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	95
Total Volume	0	132	83	0	215	56	0	0	0	56	0	140	0	0	140	0	0	0	0	0	411
% App. Total	0	61.4	38.6	0	_	100	0	0	0		0	100	0	0		0	0	0	0		
PHF	.000	.846	.865	.000	.911	.875	.000	.000	.000	.875	.000	.833	.000	.000	.833	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.886



# **Capacity Software Output**





## 2008 Existing AM & PM Conditions



Movement         EBL           Lane Configurations         %           Volume (vph)         660           Ideal Flow (vphp)         1900           Total Lost time (s)         5.0           Lane Unit. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           FIL Protected         0.95           State Elevic (set)         1.00	EBT	EBR2	WBL2	WBL	WBT	W/DD	NDI	NOT			
Lane Configurations         P           Volume (vph)         660           (deal Flow (vphp))         1900           Total Lost time (s)         5.0           Lane Util. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           Fil Protected         0.95           Steld Elew (set)         600	<b>€</b> 1 1900	19				WDIN	INBL	NRI	NBR	SBL2	SBL
Volume (vph)         660           Ideal Flow (vphpl)         1900           Total Lost time (s)         5.0           Lane Util. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95           Scied Low (cmt)         100	1 1900	19			4		٦	<b>≜</b> †}			2
Ideal Flow (vphpl)         1900           Total Lost time (s)         5.0           Lane Util. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95           Setd Flow (appt)         100	1900	10	1	1	1	5	45	551	3	7	1
Total Lost time (s)         5.0           Lane Util. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95           Sold Eleva (set)         100		1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Util. Factor         0.95           Frt         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95           Safe Figure (sret)         100	5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0
Frt 1.00 Fit Protected 0.95 Sote Elever (crot)	0.95	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95			1.00
Fit Protected 0.95	1.00	0.85			0.92		1.00	1.00			1.00
Cotd Elow (prot) ACOA	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00			0.95
Satu. Flow (prot) 1681	1686	1583			1685		1770	3537			1770
Flt Permitted 0.95	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00			0.42
Satd. Flow (perm) 1681	1686	1583			1685		1770	3537			790
Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph) 717	1	21	1	1	1	5	49	599	3	8	1
RTOR Reduction (vph) 0	0	12	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph) 358	360	9	0	0	3	0	49	602	0	0	9
Turn Type Split		Perm	Perm	Split			Prot			Prot	Perm
Protected Phases 3	3			4	4		5	2		1	
Permitted Phases		3	4								6
Actuated Green, G (s) 51.5	51.5	51.5			7.1		8.6	66.4			50.8
Effective Green, g (s) 53.5	53.5	53.5			9.1		10.6	68.4			52.8
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.33	0.33	0.33			0.06		0.07	0.43			0.33
Clearance Time (s) 7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		7.0	7.0			7.0
Venicle Extension (s) 3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph) 562	564	529			96		11/	1512			261
V/s Ratio Prot 0.21	CU.21	0.04			CU.UU		0.03	CU.17			
v/s Ralio Perm	0.64	0.01			0.02		0.42	0.40			0.01
V/C Ralio 0.04	45.1	25.6			71.2		71.7	21.6			0.03
Progression Eactor 1.00	40.1	1.00			1 00		0.05	0.07			1.00
Progression Pactor 1.00	1.00	1.00			0.1		0.90	0.97			0.0
Dolay (c) 47.4	47.4	25.7			71.4		2.4	21.5			36.6
Level of Service	47.4 D	55.7 D			F		70.5 F	01.0			30.0
Annroach Delay (s)	47.1	U			71 4		-	34.5			
Approach LOS					F			C.			
Interneting Commence								-			
Intersection Summary		20.0		OM Laural				-			
HCM Volume to Conseituratio		0.44	п	GIVI Level	OI Selvice			U			
Actuated Cycle Length (c)		160.0	5	um of loct	timo (c)			20.0			
Intersection Canacity Utilization		68.6%	10		of Sonvico			20.0			
Analysis Period (min)		15	10	O Level (	JI JEIVICE			U			
c Critical Lane Group		10									

					10/1/200
	ţ	1	*	×	
Movement	SBT	SBR	NWL	NWR	
Lane Configurations	<b>#</b> #	1	M		
Volume (vph)	354	283	1	3	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	1.00	1.00		
Frt	1.00	0.85	0.90		
Fit Protected	1.00	1.00	0.99		
Satd. Flow (prot)	3539	1583	1653		
Flt Permitted	1.00	1.00	0.99		
Satd. Flow (perm)	3539	1583	1653		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	385	308	1	3	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	103	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	385	205	4	0	
Turn Type		pm+ov			
Protected Phases	6	3	7		
Permitted Phases		6			
Actuated Green, G (s)	50.8	102.3	7.0		
Effective Green, g (s)	52.8	106.3	9.0		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.66	0.06		
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		
Lane Gro Cap (voh)	1168	1101	93		
v/s Ratio Prot	0.11	0.06	c0.00		
v/s Ratio Perm		0.07			
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.19	0.04		
Uniform Delay, d1	40.3	10.3	71.4		
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00		
Incremental Delay, d2	0.8	0.1	0.2		
Delay (s)	41.1	10.4	71.6		
Level of Service	D	В	E		
Approach Delay (s)	27.5		71.6		
Approach LOS	С		E		
Intersection Summary					

Synchro 7 - Report Page 1 Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

Dow Road Corridor Study 3: Shopping Access & US 421 2008 Existing AM 10/1/2009 ٩, t \$ ţ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Total Lost time (s) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Stat Elow (vcst) NBR SBT 435 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 VBL /BR 9 5 6 5 1900 5.0 1.00 0.85 1.00 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 1900 1900 5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.95 1900 Arrhoedeb Satd, Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd, Flow (perm) Peak-hour factor, PHF Adj, Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Actuated Grean, G (s) Permitted Phases Actuated Grean, G (s) Effective Grean, G (s) Lane Grop Cap (vph) vis Ratio Perm Veinide Extension (s) Lane Gro Cap (vph) vis Ratio Perm Vis Ra 1583 1.00 1583 0.92 3532 1.00 3537 0.95 3532 0.92 3364 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.32 10 9 1 473 5 493 7 5 0 0 0 500 0 478 Perm Perm 4 6 2 4 6 7.1 9.1 0.06 7.0 3.0 4 7.1 9.1 0.06 7.0 138.9 140.9 0.88 7.0 3.0 2962 138.9 140.9 0.88 7.0 3.0 3110 0.14 3.0 90 101 c0.00 0.00 0.01 71.2 1.00 0.0 71.2 c0.14 0.16 1.3 4.51 0.1 6.1 0.05 71.4 1.00 0.2 71.6 0.16 1.3 1.00 0.1 1.4 F F A 6.1 71.3 E 1.4 А А Approach LOS intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group 4.7 0.15 160.0 29.7% 15 HCM Level of Service А Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service 10.0 A

4: Carl Winner Ave	& US 4		10/1/200					
	~	•	t	*	1	Ţ		
Movement	WBI	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT		
Lane Configurations	102	1	A1.	- HBH	002	414		
Volume (vph)	23	218	486	28	113	344		
Ideal Flow (vnhnl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	10	10	11	11	11	11		
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0		
Lane Util Eactor	1.00	1.00	0.95			0.95		
Ernh ned/hikes	1.00	0.99	1 00			1.00		
Finh ned/hikes	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00		
Frt	1.00	0.85	0.00			1.00		
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00			0.00		
Satd Flow (prot)	1486	1314	2784			3041		
Elt Dormittod	0.05	1.00	1.00			0.68		
Satd Elow (norm)	1496	1314	2794			2070		
Datu. How (perin)	0.00	0.00	2/04	0.00	0.00	2013		
Peak-nour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adj. Flow (vpn)	25	237	528	30	123	3/4		
RIOR Reduction (vpn)	0	212	1	0	0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vpn)	25	25	557	0	0	497		
Contl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	5	45	5	5			
Parking (#/nr)			15	15				
Turn Type		pm+ov			pm+pt			
Protected Phases	4	1	2		1	6		
Permitted Phases		4	2		6			
Actuated Green, G (s)	5.8	12.8	126.2			140.2		
Effective Green, g (s)	7.8	16.8	128.2			142.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.05	0.11	0.80			0.89		
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	72	179	2231			1902		
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.02	0.01	0.20			c0.01		
v/s Ratio Perm		0.01				c0.22		
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.14	0.25			0.26		
Uniform Delay, d1	73.6	65.0	4.0			1.3		
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	0.62			8.80		
Incremental Delay, d2	2.9	0.4	0.3			0.1		
Delay (s)	76.5	65.4	2.7			11.4		
Level of Service	E	E	A			В		
Annroach Delay (s)	66.5		27			11.4		
Approach LOS	E		A			В		
Intersection Summary								
HCM Average Control Dela	v		18.7	Н	CM Leve	of Service	В	
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.26					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	100		160.0	S	um of los	time (s)	10.0	
Intersection Canacity Litilize	ation		49.6%	10		of Service	10.0	
Analysis Pariod (min)			15	10	C LOVEI		^	
c. Critical Lane Group			10					

WSA

Dow Road Corridor S 8: Harper Ave & US 4	tudy 21									2008 E	Existing 10	g AM
	۶	+	*	4	ŧ	×	٩	t	1	¢	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			ર્સ	1		đ î b			ፈቤ	
Volume (vph)	28	9	6	34	5	15	1	416	20	11	263	8
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00		0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.98		1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.99	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.98			1.00	0.85		0.99			1.00	
Flt Protected		0.97			0.96	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1614			1593	1393		2786			2790	
Flt Permitted		0.78			0.75	1.00		0.95			0.93	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1301			1241	1393		2660			2600	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	30	10	7	37	5	16	1	452	22	12	286	9
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	5	0	0	0	14	0	1	0	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	42	0	0	42	2	0	474	0	0	306	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	7		3	3		7	1		18	18		1
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm		custom	Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4		1 01111	8	1		2		1 01111	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	-	8	2	-		6	-	
Actuated Green, G (s)		10.9		0	10.9	13.7	-	125.3		Ŭ	135.1	
Effective Green a (s)		12.9			12.9	17.7		127.3			137.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.08			0.08	0.11		0.80			0.86	
Clearance Time (s)		7.0			7.0	7.0		7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	
Lane Gro Can (voh)		105			100	108		2116			2228	
v/s Ratio Prot		100			100	0.00		2110			2220	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.03			c0.03	0.00		c0 18			c0 12	
v/c Ratio		0.40			0.42	0.00		0.22			0.14	
Liniform Delay, d1		69.9			70.0	63.3		4 1			1 9	
Progression Eactor		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00			0.39	
Incromental Delay, d2		2.5			2.9	0.0		0.2			0.00	
Delay (s)		72.0			72.8	63.4		4.3			0.1	
Lovel of Service		12.7			72.0	00.4		4.0			0.0	
Approach Delay (s)		72.4			70.2	L.		43			0.8	
Approach LOS		72.4 F			F			4.0			Δ	
Internetica Ourseau		-			-			~			~	
Intersection Summary			44.0						-			
HCM Velume to Concelt att			11.0	н	ICINI LEVE	I OI SERVICE			В			
Astronated Quels Leagth ()			0.24	~		there (a)			45.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	at ume (s)			15.0			
intersection Capacity Utilization	1		40.0%	10	JU Level	or Service			A			_
c Critical Lane Group			15									

Dow Road Corrido 12: Cape Fear Blvo	or Study rd & US 421									2008 Existing AM 10/1/2009					
	۶	<b>→</b>	$\mathbf{i}$	1	+	٩	•	t	1	1	ţ	~			
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI			
Lane Configurations	٦	¢î		ኘ	f,			ፋጉ			4î»				
Volume (vph)	63	11	14	11	4	10	9	398	11	8	248	3			
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190			
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	1			
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0				
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.95			0.95				
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.94		1.00	0.97			1.00			1.00				
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.97	1.00		0.91	1.00			1.00			1.00				
Frt	1.00	0.92		1.00	0.89			1.00			0.98				
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			1.00			1.00				
Satd. Flow (prot)	1549	1449		1451	1449			2792			2748				
Flt Permitted	0.75	1.00		0.74	1.00			0.95			0.94				
Satd. Flow (perm)	1219	1449		1129	1449			2645			2590				
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9			
Adi, Flow (vph)	68	12	15	12	4	11	10	433	12	9	270	3			
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	13	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	0	5				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	68	14	0	12	5	Ō	0	454	0	0	311				
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		36	36		11	4		41	41					
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	1			
Turn Tyne	Perm			Perm			Perm			nm+nt					
Protected Phases	1 01111	4			8		1 01111	2		1	6				
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ŭ		2	-		6	Ű				
Actuated Green G (s)	12.0	12.0		12.0	12.0		-	94.0		, v	94.0				
Effective Green, a (s)	14.0	14.0		14.0	14.0			96.0			96.0				
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12			0.80			0.80				
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0			7.0			7.0				
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0			3.0				
Long Crp Cop (unb)	140	160		122	160			2116			2072				
ula Batia Brat	142	0.01		152	0.00			2110			2012				
V/S Ratio Prot	a0.06	0.01		0.01	0.00			o0 17			0.10				
v/s Ratio Petiti	0.49	0.09		0.01	0.02			0.21			0.12				
ViciNatio Uniform Dolov, d1	40.6	47.2		47.2	47.0			2.0			0.15				
Dregression Fester	49.0	47.5		47.5	47.0			2.9			1.00				
Frogression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.11			1.00				
Incremental Delay, dz	2.5	0.2		0.3	47.4			0.2			0.0				
Deldy (S)	JZ.1	47.5		47.0	4/.1			3.0			2.0				
Level of Service	U	E0.9		U	47.2			2.5			A				
Approach Delay (s)		5U.6			47.3			3.5			2.8				
Approach LUS		D			D			A			A				
Intersection Summary															
HCM Average Control Dela	у		9.6	H	CM Level	of Service	е		A						
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.25												
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	Si	um of lost	time (s)			10.0						
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		40.7%	IC	U Level of	of Service			A						
Analysis Period (min)			15												
c Critical Lane Group															

Synchro 7 - Report Page 5 Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

	≯	-+	$\mathbf{r}$	1	+	•	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	4
Movement	FBI	FBT	FBR	WBI	WBT	WBR	NBI	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT	SB
ane Configurations	202	4	LUIT		4	mon		4	HBR	002	4	00.
Volume (veh/h)	18	5	15	1	0	4	23	199	0	3	163	2
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free	-	-	Free	-
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians	20	5	16	1	0	4	25	216	0	3	177	2
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	465	461	188	480	472	216	199			216		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	465	461	188	480	472	216	199			216		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	96	99	98	100	100	99	98			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	497	487	854	475	480	824	1373			1353		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	41	5	241	202								
Volume Left	20	1	25	3								
Volume Right	16	4	0	22								
cSH	593	718	1373	1353								
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	6	1	1	0								
Control Delay (s)	11.5	10.1	0.9	0.1								
Lane LOS	В	В	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	11.5	10.1	0.9	0.1								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.6									
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		35.0%	IC	U Level of	of Service			A			

Dow Road Corridor 14: Atlanta Avenue	r Study & US 4	121								2008	Existin 10	g AM /1/2009
	٦	+	1	4	ł	×	<	1	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Volume (veh/h)	53	3	8	0	0	9	34	300	0	3	166	31
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	58	3	9	0	0	10	37	326	0	3	180	34
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											1286	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	614	604	197	614	621	326	214			326		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	614	604	197	614	621	326	214			326		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	85	99	99	100	100	99	97			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	390	400	844	388	392	715	1356			1234		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	70	10	363	217								
Volume Left	58	0	37	3								
Volume Right	9	10	0	34								
cSH	418	715	1356	1234								
Volume to Capacity	0.17	0.01	0.03	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	15	1	2	0								
Control Delay (s)	15.3	10.1	1.0	0.1								
Lane LOS	С	В	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	15.3	10.1	1.0	0.1								
Approach LOS	С	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.4									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		48.7%	IC	U Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

WSA

	•	→	$\mathbf{r}$	1	*	•	•	T.	1	>	ŧ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1		ę	1	٦	ĥ		ň	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	6	14	88	4	1	9	68	58	15	20	83	15
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.98	
Fit Protected		0.98	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1834	1583		1791	1583	1770	1806		1770	1821	
FIt Permitted		0.95	1.00		0.89	1.00	0.69	1.00		0.71	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1760	1583		1652	1583	1283	1806		1314	1821	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	7	15	96	4	1	10	74	63	16	22	90	16
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	72	0	0	8	0	9	0	0	9	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	22	24	0	5	3	74	70	0	22	97	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		440	396		413	396	821	783		835	789	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.01	0.04		0.00	c0.05	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.01	c0.02		0.00	0.00	0.04			0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.05	0.06		0.01	0.01	0.09	0.09		0.03	0.12	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.1	17.1		16.9	16.9	5.4	10.0		5.3	10.2	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.90	1.07	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.2	0.3		0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2		0.1	0.3	
Delay (s)		17.3	17.4		17.0	16.9	5.7	10.2		4.8	11.2	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	A	В		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		17.4			16.9			8.0			10.1	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			11.7	H	CM Level	of Service	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.10									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	Si	um of losi	time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		27.5%	IC	U Level	of Service	3		А			
			45									

25: Harper Avenue	or Study	Road					2008 Existing AN 10/1/200
20. Harper Avenue	<b>f</b>	•	t	1	6	ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	1	ĥ			સ	
Volume (veh/h)	5	61	579	5	16	303	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	5	66	629	5	17	329	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	996	632			629		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	996	632			629		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	98	86			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	266	480			953		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	5	66	635	347			
Volume Left	5	0	0	17			
Volume Right	0	66	5	0			
cSH	266	480	1700	953			
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.14	0.37	0.02			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	12	0	1			
Control Delay (s)	18.8	13.7	0.0	0.6			
Lane LOS	С	В		A			
Approach Delay (s)	14.1		0.0	0.6			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.2				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		41.2%	IC	U Level of	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

35: Ocean Bouleva	ard & Do	w Roa	ad				10/1/2
	1	•	Ť	1	1	Ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M		î.			4	
Volume (veh/h)	4	135	151	16	36	106	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	147	164	17	39	115	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)			110/10			110110	
LInstream signal (ft)							
nX nlatoon unblocked							
vC. conflicting volume	366	173			182		
vC1_stage 1 conf vol	000				102		
vC2 stage 2 conf vol							
VO2, stage 2 com voi	266	173			192		
tC cinglo (c)	6.4	6.2			102		
tC, Single (S)	0.4	0.2			4.1		
tC, Z stage (s) tE (c)	3.5	33			2.2		
r (S)	0.0	0.0			2.2		
po queue nee %	99	03			1204		
civi capacity (venin)	010	0/1			1394		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	151	182	154				
Volume Left	4	0	39				
Volume Right	147	17	0				
cSH	860	1700	1394				
Volume to Capacity	0.18	0.11	0.03				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	16	0	2				
Control Delay (s)	10.1	0.0	2.1				
Lane LOS	В		A				
Approach Delay (s)	10.1	0.0	2.1				
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			3.8				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		35.1%	IC	U Level of	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 9

Dow Road Corrido 30: Atlanta Avenue	or Study ∋ & Dow	Road					200	8 Existing AM 10/1/2009
	4	•	Ť	1	1	ţ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	M		1.			4		
Volume (veh/h)	1	10	639	10	41	279		
Sian Control	Stop		Free			Free		
Grade	0%		0%			0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	11	695	11	45	303		
Pedestrians								
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type			None			None		
Median storage veh)								
Upstream signal (ft)								
pX, platoon unblocked								
vC, conflicting volume	1092	700			705			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	1092	700			705			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2			
p0 queue free %	100	98			95			
cM capacity (veh/h)	225	439			893			
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	12	705	348					
Volume Left	1	0	45					
Volume Right	11	11	0					
cSH	404	1700	893					
Volume to Canacity	0.03	0.41	0.05					
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0	4					
Control Delay (s)	14.2	0.0	17					
Lane LOS	B	0.0	A					
Approach Delay (s)	14.2	0.0	1.7					
Approach LOS	В							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.7					
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		59.3%	IC	U Level o	f Service	В	
Analysis Period (min)			15					

WBT           4           1900           5.0           1900           0.91           0.99           1671           0.99           1671           0.99           1671           0.99           1671           0.99           1671           0.92           13           8           4           7.4           9.4           0.06           7.0           98           c0.00	WBR 13 1900 0.92 14 0 0	NBL           41           1900           5.0           1.00           0.95           1770           0.95           1770           0.95           1770           0.95           1770           0.95           1770           0.95           1770           0.95           8.3           10.3           0.06           7.0           3.0.0	NBT           ♠↑↓           634           1900           5.0           0.95           1.00           3532           0.92           689           0           698           2           93.0           95.0           0.59           7.0           3.0	NBR 8 1900 0.92 9 0 0	SBL2 4 1900 0.92 4 0 0 Prot 1	SBI 22 1900 5.0 1.00 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.
♣           1         1900           5.0         1.00           0.91         0.99           1671         0.99           1671         0.92           1         13           8         4           7.4         9.4           9.4         0.06           7.00         3.00           98         c0.00	13 1900 0.92 14 0 0	41 1900 5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 1770 177	↑↓ 634 1900 0.95 1.00 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	8 1900 0.92 9 0 0	4 1900 0.92 4 0 0 Prot 1	202 1900 5.0 1.00 0.99 1770 0.92 22 20 20 20 20 20 7.1 7.9.3 0.50 7.00 7.00
1 1900 5.0 1.00 0.91 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 1 13 8 1 4 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 0.00 98 c0.00	13 1900 0.92 14 0 0	41 1900 5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.00	634 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	8 1900 0.92 9 0 0	4 1900 0.92 4 0 0 Prot 1	200 1900 5.0. 1.00 0.922 222 200 200 200 200 200 200
1900 5.0 1.00 0.91 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 1.092 1.13 8 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	1900 0.92 14 0 0	1900 5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.00	1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 93.0 95.0 95.0 97.0 3.0	1900 0.92 9 0 0	1900 0.92 4 0 Prot 1	19000 5.0. 1.00 0.952 719 0.922 22 2 20 2 20 2 20 71. 7. 7. 7. 9.7. 0.50 7. 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.
5.0 1.00 0.91 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 0.92 11 13 8 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0 0	5.0 1.00 1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.06 7.0 3.06 7.0 3.06 7.0 3.06 7.0 3.06 7.0 3.05 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0	5.0 0.95 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 3.0
1.00 0.91 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 10.92 11 11 13 8 4 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0	1.00 1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.00	0.95 1.00 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 93.0 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	1.00 1.00 0.95 1770 0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 3.0
0.91 0.99 1671 0.99 1671 0.92 1 1 1 3 8 4 7.4 9.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0 0	1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.00	1.00 1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	1.00 0.95 1770 0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 3.00
0.99 1671 0.99 1671 0.92 11 13 8 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0 0	0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	1.00 3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	0.95 1770 0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 3.00
1671 0.99 1671 0.92 1 1 1 3 8 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0	1770 0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	3532 1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	1770 0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
0.99 1671 0.92 1 13 8 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0	0.95 1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0 10.3 0.06 0.06 0.01 0.01 0.02 0	1.00 3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	0.39 719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
1671 0.92 1 13 8 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0.92 14 0	1770 0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	3532 0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	719 0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 2.0
0.92 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0.92 14 0 0	0.92 45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	0.92 689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0.92 9 0	0.92 4 0 Prot 1	0.92 22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 2.0
1 13 8 13 13 13 13 13 14 14 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	14 0 0	45 0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	689 0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	9 0 0	4 0 Prot 1	22 0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 2.0
13 8 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0	0 45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	0 698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0	0 0 Prot 1	0 26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00	0	45 Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	698 2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0	0	0 Prot 1	26 Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0 3.0
4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 <u>3.0</u> 98 c0.00		Prot 5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0		Prot 1	Perm 6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
- 4 7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 <u>3.0</u> 98 c0.00		5 8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	2 93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0		1	6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 3.0 98 c0.00		8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0			6 77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
7.4 9.4 0.06 7.0 <u>3.0</u> 98 c0.00		8.3 10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	93.0 95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0			77.7 79.7 0.50 7.0
9.4 0.06 7.0 <u>3.0</u> 98 c0.00		10.3 0.06 7.0 3.0	95.0 0.59 7.0 3.0			79.7 0.50 7.0
0.06 7.0 <u>3.0</u> 98 c0.00		0.06 7.0 3.0	0.59 7.0 3.0			0.50
7.0 3.0 98 c0.00		7.0	7.0 3.0			7.0
3.0 98 c0.00		3.0	3.0			3.0
98 c0.00						0.0
c0.00		114	2097			358
		0.03	c0.20			
						0.04
0.08		0.39	0.33			0.07
/1.2		/1.9	16.5			20.9
1.00		0.92	0.95			1.00
0.4		2.2	0.4			0.4
/1.6		68.0	16.0			21.3
24 C		E	40.4			U
/1.0			19.1			
E			D			
el of Serv	vice		С			
ost time (s)	)		25.0			
I of Servic	ce		С			
N C	vel of Servi ost time (s	71.6 E T1.6 E vel of Service ost time (s) el of Service	71.6 68.0 E E E vel of Service ost time (s) el of Service	71.6 68.0 16.0 E E B 71.6 19.1 E B vel of Service C ost time (s) 25.0 el of Service C	71.6         68.0         16.0           E         E         B           71.6         19.1           E         B           vel of Service         C           ost time (s)         25.0           el of Service         C	71.6 68.0 16.0 E E B 71.6 19.1 E B vel of Service C ost time (s) 25.0 el of Service C

1: Dow Road & US	3 421 <sup>°</sup>						10/1/200
	ţ	4	ŕ	*	t	4	
Movement	SBT	SBR	NWL2	NWL	NWR	NWR2	
Lane Configurations	<b>†</b> †	1		M			
Volume (vph)	740	488	1	2	6	1	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0			
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	1.00		1.00			
Frt	1.00	0.85		0.90			
Fit Protected	1.00	1.00		0.99			
Satd. Flow (prot)	3539	1583		1657			
FIt Permitted	1.00	1.00		0.99			
Satd. Flow (perm)	3539	1583		1657			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	804	530	1	2	7	1	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	179	0	1	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	804	351	0	10	0	0	
Turn Type		pm+ov	Perm				
Protected Phases	6	3		7			
Permitted Phases		6	7				
Actuated Green, G (s)	77.7	102.0		7.3			
Effective Green, g (s)	79.7	106.0		9.3			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.66		0.06			
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1763	1098		96			
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.23	0.05					
v/s Ratio Perm		0.17		0.01			
v/c Ratio	0.46	0.32		0.10			
Uniform Delay, d1	26.1	11.6		71.4			
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.9	0.2		0.5			
Delay (s)	26.9	11.7		71.9			
Level of Service	С	В		E			
Approach Delay (s)	20.9			71.9			
Approach LOS	С			E			

Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

Dow Road Corridor Study 3: Shopping Access & US 421 2008 Existing PM 10/1/2009 ٩, t ţ \$ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Total Lost time (s) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Stat Elow (vcst) NBT ↑↑→ 830 NBR SBT 715 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 VBL /BR **7** 37 37 52 1900 5.0 1.00 0.95 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 57 0 57 20 1900 5.0 1.00 0.85 1.00 1900 5.0 0.95 0.99 1.00 1900 1900 Arrhoedeb Satd, Flow (port) Fit Permitted Satd, Flow (perm) Peak-hour factor, PHF Adj, Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Actuated Grean, G (s) Permitted Phases Actuated Grean, G (s) Effective Grean, G (s) Lane Grop Cap (vph) vis Ratio Perm Veinide Extension (s) Lane Gro Cap (vph) vis Ratio Perm Vis Ra 1583 1.00 1583 0.92 3517 1.00 3517 0.92 902 40 37 3 941 Perm 4 2 6 10.6 12.6 0.08 7.0 3.0 139 c0.03 4 4 10.6 135.4 12.6 137.4 0.08 0.86 7.0 7.0 3.0 3.0 125 3020 c0.27 0.00 135.4 137.4 0.86 7.0 3.0 2743 0.00 0.03 68.0 1.00 0.1 68.1 0.25 0.29 2.1 4.31 0.2 9.4 0.31 2.2 0.93 0.3 2.3 0.41 70.2 1.00 2.0 72.1 F F Α A 9.4 70.5 E 2.3 А А Approach LOS intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group 9.0 0.32 160.0 48.3% 15 HCM Level of Service А Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service 10.0 A

Movement         WBL         WBR         NBT         NBR         SBL         SBT           Lane Configurations         1         1         6         549         31         198         538           Uolume (vph)         31         196         549         31         198         538           Usear Four (vph)         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900           Lane With         10         10         11         11         11         11           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fipb, pedbikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         0.63         3.04         5.05         5           Satd. Fow (perm)         1486         1313         2784         3038         FitPeteretete         1.11         1.10 <td< th=""><th></th></td<>	
Movement         WBL         WBR         NBT         NBR         SBL         SBT           Lane Configurations         1         1         196         534         198         538           Uolume (vph)         1         196         549         31         198         538           Ideal Flow (vphp)         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900           Lane Width         10         10         11         11         11         11           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0         5.0         100         100           Fipb, ped/bikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit         0.05         0.99         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit         0.05         0.99         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit         0.04         1.03         1.00         0.099         3.00         0.99         3.00           Stdt-Fiow (prot)         1.48         1.313         2.784         1.946         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0	
Lane Configurations         ↑	
Volume (vph)         31         106         549         31         198         538           Lane (Width         10         1900         1900         1900         1900         1900           Lane (Width         10         10         11         11         11         11           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0         5.0           Trob, Pothikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Tipb, pedbikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit Potected         0.95         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit Potected         9.95         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit Potected         9.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Said. Flow (pernt)         1486         1313         2784         3038           Fit Potected         9.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Said. Flow (pernt)         1486         1313         2784         3038           Fit Potected         9.95         5         5         5           Confl. Pots, (why)         34         25         630         0         0	
deal Flow (vphp)         1900         1900         1900         1900           ane Width         10         10         11         11         11           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0         5.0           Lane Widt         100         1.00         0.95         0.95           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0           Tipb, ped/bikes         1.00         0.99         1.00           Tipb, ped/bikes         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit         1.00         0.85         0.99         1.00           Fit         1.00         0.85         0.99         1.00           Fit         0.85         1.00         1.00         0.99         3.01           Std. Fice (prot)         1486         1313         2784         3038           Std. Fice (prot)         1486         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Add Fice (prot)         148         1         0         0         0           Lane Group Fice (Wph)         34         25         55         5      <	
Lane Width         10         11	
Total Lost time (s)         5.0         5.0         5.0         5.0           Total Lost time (s)         5.0         1.00         1.00         9.95           Fripb, ped/bikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fipb, ped/bikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Firb, ped/bikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Firth         1.00         0.85         0.99         1.00           Firth Central         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Stdl Flow (prot)         1468         1313         2784         3038           Stdl Flow (prot)         146         1313         2784         1946           Paakhour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Adj. Flow (vph)         34         25         630         0         0         0           Lane Group Flow (vph)         34         25         55         5         5         5           Parking (thr)         15         15         124.0         138.0         140.0           Actualed Green, 0 (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0	
Lane Uhi Factor 1.00 1.00 0.95 0.95 Fripb, pedDikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Fripb, pedDikes 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 Frit 1.00 0.85 0.99 1.00 Frit 4.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frit 4.00 0.95 1.00 1.00 Frit 4.00 0.99 Satd. Flow (prot) 1486 1313 2784 3.038 Satd. Flow (perm) 1486 1313 2784 1946 Fask-hour factor, PHF 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Ag, Flow (ph) 34 213 557 34 215 565 RTOR Reduction (vph) 0 188 1 0 0 0 Confl. Peds, (thin) 5 5 5 5 5 Farking (thin) 5 5 5 5 5 Farking (thin) 15 15 Freemited Phases 4 1 2 1 6 Freetive Green, G (s) 10.0 10.0 120 Actuated Green, G (s) 10.0 120 120 Actuated Green, G (s) 10.0 120 120 Actuated Green, G (s) 10.0 120 120 Actuated green G (s) 10.0 120 0 Actuated green G (s) 10.0	
Fipb, pedbikes         1.00         0.99         1.00         1.00           Fipb, pedbikes         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Fit Protected         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Stad Flow (prot)         1446         1313         2784         3038           Fit Permitted         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Stad Flow (prot)         1446         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Alg, Flow (vph)         34         25         630         0         0         0           Confl. Peds, (#hrh)         5         5         5         5         5         5           Protected Phases         4         1         2         6         6           Actuated Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0         6           Effective Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0         6           Charadero Cap (yph)         3         197<	
Fibo pedibles         1.00         1.00         1.00         1.00           Fib pedibles         1.00         0.85         0.99         1.00           Fit Protected         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Sald. Flow (prot)         1486         1313         2784         3038           Fit Permitted         0.95         1.00         0.63         3038           Sald. Flow (perm)         1486         1313         2784         1946           Peakhour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Apikhour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Apic Forw (prh)         34         2.15         585         5         5           Apic Group (Pow (ph)         34         2.5         5         5         5           Parking (#hrh)         5         5         5         5         5           Turn Type         pm+ov         pm+pt         6         6           Actuated Green, G (s)         1.00         15.0         124.0         138.0         6           Elective Green, g (s)         1.00         15.0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Fri         1.00         0.85         0.99         1.00           FIP Protected         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Satd. Flow (prot)         1486         1313         2784         3038           FIP Permitted         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Satd. Flow (perd)         1486         1313         2784         3038           FIP Permitted         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Satd. Flow (perd)         1486         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Alg. Flow (rph)         34         215         585         5         5           Bato Group Flow (rph)         34         25         630         0         0         0           Lane Group Flow (rph)         5         5         5         5         5         5           Protected Phases         4         1         2         6         Actuated Green, G (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         1440.0           Actuated Green, G (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         1440.0 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Fit Protected         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.99           Sald -Row (prot)         1466         1313         2784         3038           Fit Permitted         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Sald -Row (prot)         1466         1313         2784         3038           Fit Permitted         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Sald -Row (prot)         1466         1313         2784         1346           Peakhour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Adj, Flow (vph)         34         215         630         0         0         0           Confl. Peak, s(th/rhy)         5         5         5         5         5         5           Turn Type         pm+ov         pm+pt         Proflected Phases         4         2         6           Actuated Green, g (s)         100         150         152         15         16           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Groc Cap (vph)         93         197	
Said. Flow (prot)         1466         1313         2784         3038           Fit Permitted         0.95         1.00         0.06         3           Said. Flow (perm)         1466         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Adj, Flow (ph)         34         213         557         5         5           Adj, Flow (ph)         34         25         630         0         0           Confl. Peds. (#hr)         5         5         5         5           Parking (#hr)         15         15         15           Protected Phases         4         2         6           Actuated Green, G (s)         100         19.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated Green, G (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated Green, G (s)         0.06         0.12         0.70         7.0           Actuated Green, G (s)         0.06         0.12         0.70         3.0           Actuated Greachouto	
PitPermittai         0.95         1.00         1.00         0.63           Sald. Flow (perm)         1486         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Adj. Flow (rph)         34         213         597         344         215         685           RTOR Reduction (rph)         0         188         1         0         0         0           Lane Group Flow (rph)         34         225         630         0         800         Confl. Peds, (thrh)         5         5         5           Parking (thrh)         15         15         Tom         Tom         Premited Phases         4         2         6           Actuated Green, g (s)         10.0         15.0         15.0         Tom         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (S)         3.0         15.0         124.0         138.0         140.0         Actuated Green, g (s)         10.0         15.0         140.0         Actuated Green (G (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0	
Said. Flow (perm)         1486         1313         2784         1946           Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92           Add, Flow (ych)         34         213         557         34         215         585           RTOR Reduction (ych)         0         188         1         0         0         0           Lane Group Flow (ych)         34         25         630         0         0         0           Contl. Peds, (ithr)         5         5         5         5         5         5           Parking (ithrh)         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         15         16 <td></td>	
Peak-hour factor, PHF         0.92         0.93         0         0.93         0         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         100         100         100	
Adj. Flow (vph)         34         213         597         34         215         585           RTOR Reduction (vph)         0         188         1         0         0         0           Lane Group Flow (vph)         0         188         1         0         0         0           Confl. Peds, (fi/hr)         5         5         5         5         5           Turn Type         pm+ov         pm+pt          6           Profileded Phases         4         1         2         1           Actuated Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0           Effective Green, g (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated QiC Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.70         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Liane Grop Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           vice Ratio Perm         0.01         0.03         0.03         0.37           vice Ratio Perm         0.01         0.037         vice Ratio Perm         0.037           vice Ratio Perm         0.03         0.3 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Control Reduction (vph)         0         188         1         0 <td></td>	
Non-Conduction (rph)         34         25         630         0         0         800           Confl. Peds. (#hr)         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         7 <td></td>	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)         5         5         5         5           Parking (#/hr)         15         15         15           Tum Type         pm+ov         pm+pt           Protected Phases         4         1         2         1         6           Permitted Phases         4         2         6         6         Actuated Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0         Effective Green, g (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         140.0         Actuated gC Rato         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88         Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0	
Deamin (Linkin)         D <thd< th="">         D         <thd< th="">         &lt;</thd<></thd<>	
Turn Type         pm+ov         pm+pt           Protected Phases         4         1         2         6           Actuated Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0           Effective Green, G (s)         10.0         150.0         124.0         138.0           Effective Green, G (s)         10.0         150.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated g/C Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Gro Cpa (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           vis Ratio Port         0.002         0.01         c0.37         0.37           vic Ratio         0.37         0.13         0.29         0.45           Unform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         1.00         0.56         9.00           Incermental Delay d2         2.4         0.3         0.2         2	
Protected Phases         4         1         2         1         6           Permitted Phases         4         2         6               6               1         0         138.0	
Permitted Phases         4         2         6           Actuated Green, G (s)         15.0         124.0         138.0           Effective Green, g (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated QC Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0           Jane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           v/s Ratio Port         c.0.02         0.01         c.0.33         v/s Ratio Perm         0.01         c.0.37           v/c Ratio         0.37         0.33         0.29         0.45         Juliform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         1.00         0.56         9.00         normernital Delay, d2         4.4         0.3         0.2	
Actuated Green, G (s)         8.0         15.0         124.0         138.0           Effective Green, g (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         144.0           Actuated g/C Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Grp Cap (wh)         93         197         2192         1764         v/s Ratio Port         c0.02         0.01         c3.37           v/s Ratio Perm         0.01         c.03         c.037         v/s 3         0.45         u/s 4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         1.56         9.00         normerntal Delay d2         2.4         0.3         0.2	
Effective Green, g (s)         10.0         19.0         126.0         140.0           Actuated g/C Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           v/s Ratio Perm         0.01         c0.33         w0.37         w0.42           u/s Ratio Perm         0.01         c0.37         w0.45         Uniform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         1.00         0.56         9.00         no.3         0.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio         0.06         0.12         0.79         0.88           Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           vis Ratio Port         c0.02         0.01         c0.37         o0.37           vic Ratio Derm         0.01         c0.37         o45           Unform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.56         9.00         normental Delay d2         0.3         0.2	
Clearance Time (s)         7.0         7.0         7.0         7.0           Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           vis Ratio Port         c0.02         0.01         0.23         c0.03           vis Ratio Perm         0.01         c0.37         v/cRatio         0.37           Vic Ratio         0.37         0.13         0.29         0.45           Uniform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.06         9.00           Incernential Delay, d2         2.4         0.3         0.2	
Vehicle Extension (s)         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.0           Lane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1784           vis Ratio Prot         c0.02         0.01         c0.03         c0.03           vis Ratio Prem         0.01         c0.37         v/c0.83         c0.045           Uniform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.56         9.00           Incernential Delay, d2         4         0.3         0.2	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)         93         197         2192         1764           v/s Raio Prot         c0.02         0.01         0.23         c0.03           v/s Raio Perm         0.01         c0.37         c0.37           v/ic Ratio         0.37         0.13         0.29         0.45           Unform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.56         9.00           Incernential Delay d2         2.4         0.3         0.2	
All operation         Cold         Cold         Cold         Cold           v/s Ratio Perm         0.01         0.23         c0.03           v/s Ratio Perm         0.01         c0.37           v/s Ratio         0.37         0.13         0.29         0.45           Uniform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.06         9.00           Incernential Delay, d2         2.4         0.3         0.2	
No.         October         Oc	
VicRatio         0.37         0.13         0.29         0.45           Uniform Delay, d1         72.0         63.1         4.7         2.1           Progression Factor         1.00         0.56         9.00           Incernential Delay, d2         2.4         0.3         0.2	
Nonform Delay, d1 72.0 63.1 4.7 2.1 Progression Factor 1.00 1.00 0.56 9.00 Incremental Delay, d2 2.4 0.3 0.3 0.2	
Incremental Delay, d2 2.4 0.3 0.3 0.2	
Incremental Delay, d2 2.4 0.3 0.3 0.2	
Indemental Delay, az 2.4 0.0 0.0 0.2	
Dolov (c) 74.4 63.4 2.0 18.8	
Level of Service E E Δ B	
Approach Delay (c) 64.9 2.9 18.8	
Approach LOS E A B	
Intersection Summary	
HCM Average Control Delay 19.6 HCM Level of Service B	
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio 0.44	
Actuated Cycle Length (s) 160.0 Sum of lost time (s) 10.0	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.4% ICU Level of Service B	
Analysis Period (min) 15	

c Critical Lane Group

Dow Road Corridor S 8: Harper Ave & US 4	tudy 21									2008	Existing 10	g PM
	۶	-+	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	×	*	t	*	*	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			ર્સ	1		ፈቤ			ፈቤ	
Volume (vph)	15	16	10	40	14	53	10	398	26	25	454	20
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0		5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00		0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00	0.98		1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Frt		0.97			1.00	0.85		0.99			0.99	
Fit Protected		0.98			0.96	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Satd, Flow (prot)		1622			1606	1400		2776			2783	
Flt Permitted		0.87			0.78	1.00		0.94			0.91	
Satd, Flow (perm)		1434			1293	1400		2608			2532	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	16	17	11	43	15	58	11	433	28	27	493	22
RTOR Reduction (vnh)	0		0	0	0	50	0	2	0	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	36	0	0	58	8	Ő	470	0	0	541	Ő
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	7		3	3		7	1		18	18		1
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm		custom	Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4		1 0.111	8	1		2		1 01111	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	-	8	2	-		6	-	
Actuated Green, G (s)		12.5		0	12.5	18.1	-	120.9		0	133.5	
Effective Green a (s)		14.5			14.5	22.1		122.9			135.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.09			0.09	0.14		0.77			0.85	
Clearance Time (s)		7.0			7.0	7.0		7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0			3.0	
Lane Gro Can (voh)		130			117	237		2003			2144	
v/s Ratio Prot		100				0.00		2000			2144	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.02			c0 04	0.00		0.18			c0 21	
v/c Ratio		0.02			0.50	0.00		0.10			0.25	
Liniform Delay, d1		67.9			69.3	59.7		5.2			2.4	
Progression Eactor		1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00			1.23	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.00			3.3	0.1		0.3			0.3	
Delay (s)		69.0			72.5	59.8		5.5			3.2	
Lovel of Service		00.0			72.0	55.5 E		0.0			0.2	
Approach Delay (s)		69.0			66.2	L		55			32	
Approach LOS		60.0			00.2			Δ			Δ	
Approach EOS		-			-			~			~	_
intersection Summary		_						_	_	_	_	
HCM Average Control Delay			12.8	H	ICM Leve	el of Service	•		В			
HCM volume to Capacity ratio			0.28									_
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	st time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		51.2%	IC	CU Level	of Service			A			_
Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group			15									

Dow Road Corrido 12: Cape Fear Blv	r Study d & US ₄	21								2008	Existin 10	g PN
	٠	-+	$\mathbf{i}$	1	+	۲	•	t	1	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations	3	ĥ		۲	ĥ			đî»			đî»	
Volume (vph)	43	10	21	29	10	18	25	368	15	15	418	5
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	1
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0			5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.95			0.95	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.92		1.00	0.97			1.00			1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.97	1.00		0.90	1.00			1.00			1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90			0.99			0.98	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1544	1389		1433	1472			2781			2747	
Flt Permitted	0.74	1.00		0.73	1.00			0.90			0.94	
Satd, Flow (perm)	1197	1389		1108	1472			2510			2575	
Peak-hour factor PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adi, Flow (vph)	47	11	23	32	11	20	27	400	16	16	454	6
RTOR Reduction (vnh)	0	21	0	0	18	0	0	1	0	0	5	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	47	13	Ő	32	13	0	0	442	0	0	528	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11	10	36	36	10	11	4		41	41	020	
Parking (#/hr)			00	00			15	15	15	15	15	1
Turn Tyne	Porm			Porm			Perm	10	10	nm+nt	10	
Protected Phases	1 CHI	4		1 CIIII	8		1 CIIII	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4	-		8	0		2	2		6	0	
Actuated Green G (s)	10 3	10.3		10.3	10.3		2	95.7		0	95.7	
Effective Green, a (c)	12.3	12.3		12.3	12.3			07.7			07.7	
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.10	0.10		0.10	0.10			0.81			0.81	
Cloaranco Timo (c)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0			7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0			3.0	
Long Crp Cop (uph)	102	140		114	151			2044			2006	
ula Batia Brat	123	0.01		114	0.01			2044			2090	
v/s Ratio Prot	o0.04	0.01		0.02	0.01			0.10			-0.21	
v/s Ratio Ferrir	0.38	0.00		0.03	0.00			0.10			0.25	
Uniform Doloy, d1	0.00 E0.0	40.0		40.0	40.0			0.22			0.25	
Drogrossion Easter	1.00	40.0		49.0	40.0			1.36			2.0	
Ingramontal Dalay d2	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.30			0.1	
Delay (a)	2.U 50.0	40.1		F1 4	40.0			0.2			0.1	
Delay (S)	02.0 D	49.1		51.T	49.0			3.7			2.1	
Approach Dolou (a)	U	50.0		U	E0 1			27			27	
Approach LOO		00.9			30.1			3.7			2.1	
Approach LUS		U			U			A			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	ау		9.2	н	CM Level	of Servic	е		A			
HCM Volume to Capacity n	atio		0.27									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		53.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

Dow Road Corridor Study 2008 Existing PM 18: Ocean Boulevard & US 421 10/1/2009 ۶ • ٠ t • 4 ٠  $\mathbf{i}$ -Movement Lane Configurations EBL EBT WBL SBR EBR NB NBT SBT **₽ ⊕** 1 **↔** 305 4 254 26 33 Volume (veh/h) 28 1 3 15 0 1 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Free 0% 0.92 Stor Stor Free 0% 0.92 0% 0.92 0% 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 36 0 30 3 16 332 0 276 28 Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) ng energies % 660 657 290 687 671 332 304 332 660 657 290 6.2 687 7.1 671 6.5 332 6.2 304 4.1 332 7.1 6.5 4.1 3.5 4.0 3.3 3.5 3.3 2.2 2.2 4.0 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 90 100 96 749 100 100 373 100 99 100 370 380 343 710 1256 1228 Direction, Lane # Volume Total EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 66 348 305 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 36 16 30 0 28 3. 482 0.14 12 509 0.01 1256 0.01 1228 0.00 13.7 12.2 0.5 0.0 B В A 13.7 12.2 B 0.5 0.0 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 16 41.9% 15 ICU Level of Service А

Dow Road Corridor Study 2008 Existing PM 14: Atlanta Avenue & US 421 10/1/2009 ۶ + ٩. t  $\mathbf{i}$ 1 1 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) EBT NBT EBL EBR WB WBT SBT SBR 4) 348 **↔** 0 **₽** 4 322 18 9 0 4 6 0 10 25 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 522 Free 0% 0.92 350 Sto Free 0% 0.92 378 0% 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 20 0 10 0 27 Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1286 781 777 392 786 790 350 405 350 vCl, stage 1 conf vol vCl, stage 2 conf vol vCl, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 781 777 6.5 392 786 790 350 405 350 4.1 7.1 6.2 7.1 6.5 6.2 4.1 3.5 4.0 2.2 2.2 3.3 3.5 4.0 3.3 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 99 1209 94 100 99 100 100 99 99 307 323 657 302 318 693 1153 Direction, Lane # Volume Total EB 1 VB 1 NB 1 SB 1 29 357 416 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 4 20 10 11 0 0 27 
 373
 693
 1153
 1209

 0.08
 0.01
 0.01
 0.01
 6 0 0 15.5 10.2 0.2 0.3 С в Α 15.5 C 10.2 B 0.2 0.3 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 0.9 40.0% 15 ICU Level of Service A

WSA

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 5

21: K Avenue & US 4	21										10	1/2009
	۶		$\mathbf{i}$	1	+	٩	۸	Ť	۴	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		સ	1		र्स	1	5	ĥ		5	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	29	22	43	10	11	13	140	156	29	44	111	31
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.97	
Fit Protected		0.97	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1811	1583		1819	1583	1770	1818		1770	1801	
FIt Permitted		0.86	1.00		0.90	1.00	0.66	1.00		0.62	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1610	1583		1676	1583	1227	1818		1162	1801	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	32	24	47	11	12	14	152	170	32	48	121	34
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	35	0	0	11	0	11	0	0	17	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	56	12	0	23	4	152	191	0	48	138	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		403	396		419	396	797	788		769	780	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.03	c0.10		0.01	0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.03	0.01		0.01	0.00	0.08			0.03		
v/c Ratio		0.14	0.03		0.05	0.01	0.19	0.24		0.06	0.18	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.5	17.0		17.1	16.9	5.7	10.8		5.4	10.4	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.98	1.12	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.7	0.1		0.2	0.0	0.5	0.7		0.2	0.5	
Delay (s)		18.2	17.1		17.4	17.0	6.2	11.5		5.4	12.2	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	A	В		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		17.7			17.2			9.2			10.6	
Approach LOS		В			В			A			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			11.3	Н	CM Level	of Service	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.20									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	n		35.2%	IC	U Level	of Service	э		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

25: Harper Avenue	a & Dow	Road					2008 Existing PN 10/1/200
20.11419017110114		•	t	1	1	Ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	1	ĥ			<del>ب</del> اً	
Volume (veh/h)	6	41	356	13	59	480	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians	7	45	387	14	64	522	
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1044	394			387		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1044	394			387		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	97	93			95		
cM capacity (veh/h)	240	655			1172		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	7	45	401	586			
Volume Left	7	0	0	64			
Volume Right	0	45	14	0			
cSH	240	655	1700	1172			
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.07	0.24	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	5	0	4			
Control Delay (s)	20.4	10.9	0.0	1.5			
Lane LOS	С	В		A			
Approach Delay (s)	12.1		0.0	1.5			
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.4				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		61.4%	IC	U Level	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

35: Ocean Boulevar	d & Do	w Roa	ad				10/1/200
	4	*	t	1	≁	Ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y		î,			<del>د</del> ا	
Volume (veh/h)	Ö	70	175	0	104	165	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	76	190	0	113	179	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ff)							
pX. platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	596	190			190		
vC1. stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu. unblocked vol	596	190			190		
tC. single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC. 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
n0 queue free %	100	91			92		
cM capacity (veh/h)	428	852			1384		
Direction Long #	14/0.4	ND 4	00.4				
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
volume rotal	/0	190	292				
Volume Left	0	0	113				
Volume Right	/6	0	0				
CSH	852	1/00	1384				
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.11	0.08				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	(	0	/				
Control Delay (s)	9.6	0.0	3.5				
Lane LOS	A		A				
Approach Delay (s)	9.6	0.0	3.5				
Approach LOS	A						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			3.1				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		38.0%	IC	U Level of	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Synchro 7 - Report Page 9

Dow Road Corrido 30: Atlanta Avenue	or Study e & Dow	Road					2008 Existing PM 10/1/2009
	4	×	1	۲	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		4			\$	
Volume (veh/h)	2	6	374	3	5	508	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians	2	7	407	3	5	552	
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked	074	100					
vC, conflicting volume	9/1	408			410		
VC1, stage 1 cont vol							
vCz, stage z coni voi	074	400			440		
tC single (s)	9/1	408			410		
tC, single (s)	0.4	0.2			4.1		
tE (e)	3.5	33			22		
n0 queue free %	0.0	0.0			100		
cM canacity (yeh/h)	279	643			1149		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	9	410	558				
Volume Left	2	0	5				
Volume Right	7	3	0				
cSH	485	1700	1149				
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.24	0.00				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0				
Control Delay (s)	12.6	0.0	0.1				
Lane LOS	В		A				
Approach Delay (s)	12.6	0.0	0.1				
Approach LOS	В						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.2			( <b>0</b> ·	
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		40.7%	IC	U Level (	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

# 2030 No Build AM & PM Conditions



Dow Road Corrido 1: Dow Road & US	r Study 3 421						203	0 Futu	ire AM	witho	ut Dive 10	ersior /1/200
	٨	-	$\mathbf{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}$	5	1	+	٩	•	Ť	*	*	ţ
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR2	WBL2	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL2	SB
Lane Configurations	٦	સી	1			4		5	<b>≜î</b> ≽		٦	<b>^</b>
Volume (vph)	916	1	26	1	3	1	7	62	765	4	11	49
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	190
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.9
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85			0.92		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.0
Fit Protected	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1686	1583			1682		1770	3537		1770	353
Flt Permitted	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.0
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1686	1583			1682		1770	3537		1770	353
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Adi, Flow (vph)	996	1	28	1	3	1	8	67	832	4	12	53
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	11	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	498	499	17	0	0	5	0	67	836	0	12	53
Turn Type	Solit		Perm	Perm	Split			Prot			Prot	
Protected Phases	3	3			4	4		5	2		1	
Permitted Phases			3	4								
Actuated Green, G (s)	56.5	56.5	56.5			7.2		11.4	51.1		3.1	42.
Effective Green, g (s)	58.5	58.5	58.5			9.2		13.4	53.1		5.1	44.
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.37	0.37			0.06		0.08	0.33		0.03	0.2
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	615	616	579			97		148	1174		56	99
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.30	0.30	010			c0.00		c0.04	c0.24		0.01	0.1
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01									
v/c Ratio	0.81	0.81	0.03			0.06		0.45	0.71		0.21	0.5
Uniform Delay, d1	45.7	45.7	32.5			71.3		69.8	46.8		75.5	48
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00		1.01	0.92		1.00	1.0
Incremental Delay, d2	7.8	7.9	0.0			0.2		22	3.7		1.00	2
Delay (s)	53.5	53.7	32.6			71.5		72.5	46.6		77.4	50
Level of Service	D	D	C			E		F	D		E	1
Annroach Delay (s)		53.0	-			71.5			48.6		-	34
Approach LOS		D				E			D			(
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	iy		45.5	н	CM Level	of Service	е		D			
HCM Volume to Capacity r	atio		0.66									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		81.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

1: Dow Road Corrido	or Study S 421					2030 Future AM without Diversion 10/1/200
		Ţ,	*	*	4	
Movement	SBR	NWL2	NWL	NWR	NWR2	
Lare Configurations	1		M			
Volume (vph)	393	3	1	2	2	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00			
Frt	0.85		0.93			
Fit Protected	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1583		1695			
Flt Permitted	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1583		1695			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	427	3	1	2	2	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	151	0	2	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	276	0	6	0	0	
Turn Type	pm+ov	Perm				
Protected Phases	3		7			
Permitted Phases	6	7				
Actuated Green, G (s)	99.3		7.1			
Effective Green, g (s)	103.3		9.1			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.65		0.06			
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1071		96			
v/s Ratio Prot	0.09					
v/s Ratio Perm	0.08		0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.26		0.06			
Uniform Delay, d1	12.0		71.4			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1		0.3			
Delay (s)	12.2		71.7			
Level of Service	В		E			
Approach Delay (s)			71.7			
1 1 0 0			-			

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 1

Intersection Summary

Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

2030 Future AM without Diversion 10/1/2009 Dow Road Corridor Study 3: Shopping Access & US 421 ٩, t \$ ţ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Total Lost time (s) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Stat Elow (vcst) NBT ↑↑→ 630 NBR SBT 604 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 VBL VBR SB 12 7 8 7 7 1900 5.0 1.00 1.00 0.95 1900 5.0 1.00 0.85 1.00 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 1900 1900 Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Peak-hour factor, PHF 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 1583 1.00 1583 0.92 3532 1.00 3537 0.95 3532 0.92 3346 0.92 Adj. Flow (ptm) Paak-hour factor, PHF Adj. Flow (pth) Lane Group Flow (pth) Lane Group Flow (pth) Turm Type Protected Phases Actuated Green, G (s) Effective Green, g (s) Actuated green, g (s) Actuated green, g (s) Clearance Time (s) Vehicle Extension (s) Lane Grp Cap (vph) v/s Ratio Perm v/ 0.92 0.92 13 12 1 8 685 9 8 657 0 0 694 0 665 Perm Perm 4 2 6 4 6 7.1 9.1 0.06 7.0 3.0 4 7.1 9.1 0.06 7.0 138.9 140.9 138.9 140.9 0.88 7.0 0.88 7.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3110 0.20 2947 101 c0.00 90 c0.20 0.23 1.4 0.64 0.2 1.1 0.00 0.08 71.5 1.00 0.3 71.8 0.00 0.01 71.2 1.00 0.0 71.2 0.22 1.4 0.76 0.2 1.2 F F A 1.1 71.5 E 1.2 A А Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Arabicia Grained (mice) 2.2 0.22 160.0 35.8% HCM Level of Service Α Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service 10.0 A Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group 15

4: Carl Winner Ave	e & US 4	21					10/1/
	4	×	Ť	~	1	ţ	
Movement	WBI	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBI	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M	1	<b>A1</b>	11011	1	•	
Volume (vph)	32	320	698	39	183	514	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width	10	10	11	11	11	11	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	0.98	0.99	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.88	0.85	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Fit Protected	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1331	1249	2784		1539	1621	
FIt Permitted	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.31	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1331	1249	2784		503	1621	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	348	759	42	199	559	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	118	134	1	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	77	54	800	0	199	559	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	5		5	5		
Parking (#/hr)			15	15			
Turn Type		pm+ov			pm+pt		
Protected Phases	4	1	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases		4	2		6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	14.6	24.9	114.1		131.4	131.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	16.6	28.9	116.1		133.4	133.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.18	0.73		0.83	0.83	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	138	265	2020		499	1352	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	0.02	0.29		0.03	c0.34	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.03			0.30		
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.21	0.40		0.40	0.41	
Uniform Delay, d1	68.2	55.8	8.4		3.4	3.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.02		5.58	5.45	
Incremental Delay, d2	4.8	0.4	0.4		0.5	0.2	
Delay (s)	73.0	56.2	9.1		19.5	18.6	
Level of Service	E	E	A		В	В	
Approach Delay (s)	64.7		9.1			18.8	
Approach LOS	E		A			В	
Intersection Summary							
HCM Average Control Dela	ау		23.9	Н	CM Leve	of Service	C
HCM Volume to Capacity r	atio		0.43				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)	10.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		56.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				

c Critical Lane Group

WSA

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

2030 Future AM without Diversion

8: Harper Ave & US 4	ŧΖΙ										10	11/2009
	۶	-	$\mathbf{i}$	4	-	×.	٩	Ť	۴	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			र्स	1	٦	Ŕ		٦	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	39	12	8	56	7	44	1	640	28	53	365	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.98			1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected		0.97			0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1605			1589	1383	1270	1327		1266	1330	
Flt Permitted		0.73			0.71	1.00	0.52	1.00		0.29	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1205			1186	1383	698	1327		387	1330	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	42	13	9	61	8	48	1	696	30	58	397	12
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	60	Ő	0	69	7	1	726	0	58	409	Ő
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	7	00	3	3		7	1	120	18	18	100	1
Parking (#/hr)			-	-			15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Porm			Porm		custom	Perm	10	10	Perm	10	10
Protected Phases	1 CIIII	4		1 Cilli	8	1	1 CIIII	2		1 Cilli	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ŭ	8	2	-		6	Ŭ	
Actuated Green G (s)	-	14.5		0	14.5	20.1	118 0	118.9		131.5	131.5	
Effective Green a (c)		16.5			16.5	24.1	120.0	120.0		133.5	133.5	
Actuated a/C Ratio		0.10			0.10	0.15	0.76	0.76		0.83	0.83	
Cloarance Time (c)		7.0			7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vohiclo Extonsion (s)		3.0			3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Long Crp Cop (uph)		104			100	3.0	5.0	1002		202	1110	
Lane Gip Cap (vpn)		124			122	202	327	1003		323	-0.24	
V/S Ratio Prot		0.05			-0.00	0.00	0.00	CU.55		0.45	CU.3 I	
V/s Ratio Perm		0.05			0.06	0.00	0.00	0.72		0.15	0.27	
V/C Ratio		0.40			0.57	0.03	0.00	0.72		0.10	0.37	
Uniform Delay, d I		0/./			00.3	58.0	4.0	10.5		2.0	3.2	
Progression Pactor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	2.00	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.9			5.9	0.0	0.0	4.5		[.] [.]	0.9	
Delay (s)		70.6			14.2	58.0	4.8	15.1		5.1	0.0	_
Level of Service		70 C			E CZ C	E	A	45.0		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)		70.6			67.6			15.0			0.3	
Approach LOS		E			E			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			19.8	н	CM Leve	el of Servi	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of lo:	st time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		67.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	9		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

					4			٨		1	1	,
	_		•	1	-	~		Т	1	*	ŧ	*
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations	۴	4Î		۳.	12		٦	ĥ		ሻ	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	97	15	19	29	6	14	12	565	15	11	353	47
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.92		1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.96	1.00		0.88	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.91		1.00	0.90		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1535	1412		1407	1447		1268	1330		1266	1310	
Flt Permitted	0.74	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.51	1.00		0.33	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1200	1412		1086	1447		681	1330		437	1310	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	105	16	21	32	7	15	13	614	16	12	384	51
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	18	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	3	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	105	19	0	32	9	0	13	630	0	12	432	C
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		36	36		11	4		41	41		4
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Tyne	Perm			Perm			Perm			nm+nt		
Protected Phases		4			8			2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	-		2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.6	15.6		15.6	15.6		80.6	80.6		90.4	90.4	
Effective Green a (s)	17.6	17.6		17.6	17.6		82.6	82.6		92.4	92.4	
Actuated o/C Ratio	0.15	0.15		0.15	0.15		0.69	0.69		0.77	0.77	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
ane Grn Can (vnh)	176	207		159	212		469	915		370	1009	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01		100	0.01		100	c0 47		0.00	c0.33	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.09	0.01		0.03	0.01		0.02	00.47		0.00	00.00	
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.09		0.00	0.04		0.03	0.69		0.03	0.43	
Uniform Delay, d1	47.9	44.3		45.0	44.0		5.9	11 1		4.9	47	
Progression Eactor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.96	0.97		1 00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	5.3	0.2		0.6	0.1		0.00	4.2		0.0	1.3	
Delay (s)	53.2	44.5		45.6	44.1		5.8	14.9		5.0	6.1	
Level of Service	D.	D		D	D		Δ	B		Δ	Δ	
Approach Delay (s)	0	50.9		0	45.0		А	14.7		~	60	
Approach LOS		00.0			-0.0 D			B			Δ	
Approach 200		U			U			U			Л	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	ау		17.0	н	CM Level	of Servic	e		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity r	atio		0.67									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		55.6%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

2030 Future AM without Diversion

Dow Road Corridor Study 18: Ocean Boulevard & US 421 2030 Future AM without Diversion 10/1/2009 ۶ • ٠ 1 t 4 \* ٠  $\mathbf{i}$ -Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Houry flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Lane Wrdth (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF(s) EBL EBT WBL SBR EBR WB. NBT SBT 226 28 **₽**7 **4**) 0 276 21 25 1 6 32 0 4 Stop 0% 0.92 8 Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 0.92 27 0.92 23 0.92 30 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0 7 35 300 0 246 None None 646 639 261 666 654 300 276 300 646 639 7.1 6.5 261 6.2 666 7.1 654 6.5 300 6.2 276 4.1 300 4.1 
 3.3
 2.2

 99
 97

 740
 1287
 2.2 100 1261 3.5 4.0 3.3 3.5 4.0 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 98 382 97 778 100 348 100 374 93 373 cM capacity (vervn) Direction, Lane # Volume Total Volume Edit volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95h (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 58 27 23 335 280 8 35 0 4 30 471 637 0.12 0.01 10 1 1287 0.03 1261 0.00 0 13.7 10.7 1.0 0.2 в В Α 13.7 10.7 1.0 0.2 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 1.9 47.7% ICU Level of Service А

WSA

Dow Road Corrido 14: Atlanta Avenue	r Study & US 4	21					203	i0 Futu	re AM	witho	ut Dive 10	rsion 1/2009
	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	۸	t	۲	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			\$	
Volume (veh/h)	74	4	11	0	0	12	47	444	0	4	249	43
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	80	4	12	0	0	13	51	483	0	4	271	47
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
waiking Speed (tt/s)												
Percent Blockage Bight ture flore (uch)												
Right turn hare (ven)								Nono			None	
Median storage yeb)								NOTIE			None	
I Instream signal (ft)											1286	
nX nlatoon unblocked											1200	
vC, conflicting volume	901	888	294	902	911	483	317			483		
vC1. stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	901	888	294	902	911	483	317			483		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	67	98	98	100	100	98	96			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	245	270	745	243	262	584	1243			1080		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	97	13	534	322								
Volume Left	80	0	51	4								
Volume Right	12	13	0	47								
cSH	268	584	1243	1080								
Volume to Capacity	0.36	0.02	0.04	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	39	2	3	0								
Control Delay (s)	25.8	11.3	1.2	0.2								
Lane LOS	D	В	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	25.8	11.3	1.2	0.2								
Approach LOS	D	в										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.4									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.5%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Synchro 7 - Report Page 5

2030 Future AM without Diversion

21: K Avenue & US	421										10	/1/2009
	۶	+	~	1	ł	•	<	Ť	۲	*	ţ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1		ર્સ	1	٦	¢Î		5	¢Î,	
Volume (vph)	8	19	122	6	1	12	94	80	21	28	116	21
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.98	
Fit Protected		0.99	1.00		0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1835	1583		1785	1583	1770	1804		1770	1820	
FIt Permitted		0.94	1.00		0.86	1.00	0.66	1.00		0.69	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1760	1583		1609	1583	1234	1804		1278	1820	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	9	21	133	7	1	13	102	87	23	30	126	23
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	100	0	0	10	0	13	0	0	11	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	30	33	0	8	3	102	97	0	30	138	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		440	396		402	396	800	782		819	789	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.02	0.05		0.01	c0.08	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.02	c0.02		0.00	0.00	0.06			0.02		
v/c Ratio		0.07	0.08		0.02	0.01	0.13	0.12		0.04	0.18	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.2	17.2		17.0	16.9	5.5	10.2		5.3	10.4	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.34	1.25	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.3	0.4		0.1	0.0	0.3	0.3		0.1	0.5	
Delay (s)		17.5	17.7		17.1	16.9	5.9	10.5		7.2	13.5	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	A	В		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		17.6			17.0			8.3			12.4	
Approach LOS		В			В			A			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay	/		12.5	Н	CM Leve	of Servi	се		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	tio		0.14									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		31.3%	IC	U Level	of Servic	е		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

25: Harper Avenue	r Study	Road					2030 Future AM without Diversion 10/1/200
20. Harpor / Wende		•	t	~	4	ţ	10,1200
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	1	î.			ណ៍	
Volume (veh/h)	7	85	803	7	22	420	
Sian Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (yph)	8	92	873	8	24	457	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
nX nlatoon unblocked							
vC. conflicting volume	1381	877			873		
vC1. stage 1 conf vol	1001	011			0.0		
vC2_stage 2 conf vol							
Cu unblocked vol	1381	877			873		
tC single (s)	6.4	6.2			41		
tC, 2 stane (s)	0.1	0.2					
tE (s)	3.5	33			22		
n() queue free %	95	73			97		
cM canacity (yeh/h)	154	348			773		
Direction Long #	WD 4	WD 0	ND 4	00.4			
Direction, Lane #	WBI	WB 2	INB I	5B I			
volume I otal	8	92	880	480			
volume Len	0	0	0	24			
Volume Right	0	92	8	0			
CON	154	348	1/00	113			
volume to Capacity	0.05	0.27	0.52	0.03			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	4	26	0	2			
Control Delay (s)	29.6	19.1	0.0	0.9			
Lane LOS	D	С		A			
Approach Delay (s)	19.9		0.0	0.9			
Approach LOS	U						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.6	10		(A) :	
intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		54.6%	IC	U Level	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

35: Ocean Boulevard & Dow Road

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 9

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

10/1/2009

2030 Future AM without Diversion

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future AM without Diversion 10/1/2009 30: Atlanta Avenue & Dow Road ×. t ţ <~ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) NBT SBT VBL **1**→ 887 4 387 1 14 14 57 Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 964 Free 0% 0.92 421 0.92 0.92 0.92 1 15 15 62 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None None Median type Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) n0 queue free % 1516 972 979 1516 972 6.2 979 4.1 6.4 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 99 120 95 91 306 705 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 16 979 483 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 62 0 15 15 278 1700 705 0.06 0.58 0.09 5 0 18.8 0.0 2.4 С 18.8 C 0.0 2.4 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 10 78.5% 15 ICU Level of Service D

٠ Ť t ۴ ∕~ € Movement Lane Configurations VBR WBL NBT SB **₽** 210 4 147 6 187 Volume (veh/h) 22 50 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 228 Free 0% 0.92 160 0.92 24 0.92 54 0.92 7 203 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC0, unblocked vol 509 240 252 vCu, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 509 240 6.4 6.2 252 4.1 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 99 75 96 1313 503 799 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 210 252 214 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 54 0 
 7
 0
 34

 203
 24
 0

 784
 1700
 1313

 0.27
 0.15
 0.04

 27
 0
 3
 11.3 0.0 2.3 B 11.3 B 0.0 2.3 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 42 44.8% 15 ICU Level of Service А

WSA

WSA

Dow Road Corridor 1: Dow Road & US	r Study 421						203	80 Futu	ire PM	witho	ut Dive 10	ersior ///2009
	۶	+	$\mathbf{i}$	5	4	+	∢	۸	Ť	*	*	ţ
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR2	WBL2	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL2	SBT
Lane Configurations	5	<u>ل</u> ہ	1					*	<b>#1</b>		5	44
Volume (vph)	452	1	33	2	6	1	18	57	880	11	33	1027
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lane Util, Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85			0.91		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Fit Protected	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd, Flow (prot)	1681	1686	1583			1670		1770	3533		1770	3539
Elt Permitted	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd, Flow (perm)	1681	1686	1583			1670		1770	3533		1770	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	491	1	36	2	7	1	20	62	957	12	36	1116
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	29	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	245	247	7	0	0	11	0	62	969	0	36	1116
Turn Type	Snlit		Perm	Perm	Snlit			Prot			Prot	
Protected Phases	3	3	1 01111		4	4		5	2		1	6
Permitted Phases			3	4				Ű	-			
Actuated Green, G (s)	28.6	28.6	28.6			7.3		9.6	74.8		6.7	71.9
Effective Green, g (s)	30.6	30.6	30.6			9.3		11.6	76.8		8.7	73.9
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.19	0.19	0.19			0.06		0.07	0.48		0.05	0.46
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	321	322	303			97		128	1696		96	1635
v/s Ratio Prot	0.15	c0 15	000			c0.01		c0.04	c0 27		0.02	c0.32
v/s Ratio Perm	0.10	00.10	0.00			00.01		00.01	00.21		0.02	00.01
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.77	0.02			0.12		0.48	0.57		0.38	0.68
Uniform Delay d1	61.3	61.3	52.6			71.4		71.3	29.8		73.0	33.8
Progression Factor	1 00	1 00	1.00			1.00		1 02	0.74		1 00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	10.3	10.4	0.0			0.5		2.6	1.3		2.5	23
Delay (s)	71.6	71.8	52.6			72.0		75.7	23.4		75.5	36.2
Level of Service	F	E	D			E		E	C		E	E
Approach Delay (s)		70.4				72.0			26.6			28.2
Approach LOS		E				E			C			0
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay	у		34.7	Н	CM Level	of Servic	е		С			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	itio		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			30.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		75.9%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Dow Road Corrido 1: Dow Road & US	or Study S 421					2030 Future PM without Diversion 10/1/2009
	~	£	÷	*	4	
Movement	SBR	NWL2	NWL	NWR	NWR2	
Lare Configurations	1		M			
Volume (vph)	677	4	2	8	1	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00			
Frt	0.85		0.92			
Fit Protected	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1583		1674			
Flt Permitted	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1583		1674			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	736	4	2	9	1	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	255	0	1	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	481	0	15	0	0	
Turn Type	pm+ov	Perm				
Protected Phases	3		7			
Permitted Phases	6	7				
Actuated Green, G (s)	100.5		7.6			
Effective Green, g (s)	104.5		9.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.65		0.06			
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1083		100			
v/s Ratio Prot	0.08					
v/s Ratio Perm	0.22		0.01			
v/c Ratio	0.44		0.15			
Uniform Delay, d1	13.6		71.3			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3		0.7			
Delay (s)	13.8		72.0			
Level of Service	В		E			
Approach Delay (s)			72.0			
Annroach LOS			F			

Synchro 7 - Report Page 1

Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

2030 Future PM without Diversion 10/1/2009 Dow Road Corridor Study 3: Shopping Access & US 421 ٩, t \$ ţ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (vph) Ideal Flow (vphpl) Total Lost time (s) Lane Util. Factor Frt Fit Protected Stat Elow (vcst) NBT 1152 NBR SBT 992 1900 5.0 0.95 1.00 1.00 VBL VBR SB 51 51 72 28 1900 5.0 1.00 1.00 0.95 1900 5.0 1.00 0.85 1.00 1900 5.0 0.95 1900 1900 0.99 1.00 Satd. Flow (prot) Flt Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Peak-hour factor, PHF 1770 0.95 1770 0.92 1583 1.00 1583 0.92 3517 1.00 3534 0.86 3517 0.92 3042 0.92 Joint Tool (Jermi) Pack-hour factor, PHF Adj, Flow (vph) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turm Type Protected Phases Actuated Green, G (s) Effective Green, G (s) Effective Green, G (s) Actuated gG (Patilo Clearance Time (s) Vehicle Extension (s) Lane Grp Cap (vph) v/s Ratio Perm v/s Ratio Perm v/s Ratio Perm v/s Ratio Dety, d1 Progression Factor Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor Delay (s) Level of Service Approach LOS Intersection Summary 0.92 0.92 55 1252 50 1 5 1306 
 0.32
 0.32
 0.32

 55
 30
 1078

 0
 0
 0

 0
 0
 1108
 78 0 78 Perm Perm 4 2 6 6 Λ 12.4 14.4 0.09 7.0 3.0 4 12.4 133.6 14.4 135.6 0.09 0.85 7.0 7.0 133.6 135.6 0.85 7.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 2578 159 c0.04 142 2981 c0.37 0.36 0.43 2.9 5.45 0.4 16.4 0.00 0.49 69.3 1.00 2.4 71.7 0.00 0.03 66.5 1.00 0.1 66.6 0.44 3.0 0.54 0.4 2.0 F F В 16.4 69.6 2.0 В Е А Intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Arabicia Graind (min) 11.8 0.44 160.0 61.8% 15 HCM Level of Service В Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service 10.0 B Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group

4: Carl Winner Ave	e & US 4	21					10/1/
	1	×	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	M	1	<b>A</b> 1.		3	•	
Volume (vph)	43	296	792	43	299	780	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width	10	10	11	11	11	11	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	0.98	0.99	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.89	0.85	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Flt Protected	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1347	1254	2784		1539	1621	
Flt Permitted	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.24	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1347	1254	2784		396	1621	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adi, Flow (vph)	47	322	861	47	325	848	
RTOR Reduction (voh)	76	88	2	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	113	92	906	0	325	848	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	5		5	5		
Parking (#/hr)			15	15			
Turn Type		pm+ov			pm+pt		
Protected Phases	4	1	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases		4	2		6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	18.2	43.6	95.4		127.8	127.8	
Effective Green, a (s)	20.2	47.6	97.4		129.8	129.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.13	0.30	0.61		0.81	0.81	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	170	412	1695		517	1315	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.08	0.04	0.33		0.11	c0.52	
v/s Ratio Perm	00.00	0.04	0.00		0.40	30.02	
v/c Ratio	0.66	0.22	0.53		0.63	0.64	
Uniform Delay, d1	66.7	42.3	18.2		8.0	6.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	0.68		3.53	4.35	
Incremental Delay, d2	9.4	0.3	1.1		2.2	1.0	
Delay (s)	76.1	42.6	13.4		30.6	27.0	
Level of Service	E	. <u></u> D	B		C	C	
Approach Delay (s)	59.7		13.4			28.0	
Approach LOS	E		B			C	
Intersection Summary							
HCM Average Control Dela	iy		27.4	н	CM Leve	I of Service	C
HCM Volume to Capacity r	atio		0.65				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)	10.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		67.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service	C
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group

WSA

Intersection Summary

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

2030 Future PM without Diversion

	۶		~	~	+	×.	•	ŧ	*	1	Ţ	1
Movement	FBL	FBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		<u></u>			\$	1	5	1.		5	î.	
Volume (vnh)	21	22	14	66	19	103	14	552	36	69	630	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	10	5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util Eactor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Erpb. ped/bikes		0.99			1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.97			1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Flt Protected		0.98			0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1618			1601	1387	1270	1322		1265	1327	
Flt Permitted		0.84			0.71	1.00	0.39	1.00		0.32	1.00	
Satd Flow (nerm)		1390			1188	1387	524	1322		420	1327	
Peak-bour factor PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi Flow (vph)	23	24	15	72	21	112	15	600	30	75	685	30
PTOP Poduction (uph)	20	24	0	0	21	02	0	1	0.0	0	1	0
Lano Group Elow (vph)	0	54	0	0	03	20	15	638	0	75	714	0
Confl Peds (#/hr)	7	34	3	3	55	20	1	030	18	18	7.14	1
Parking (#/br)			0	0			15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Tuno	Dorm			Dorm		quatern	Dorm	15	15	Dorm	15	15
Distant of Disease	Feilii	4		reilli	0	Custom	reiiii	2		reiiii	6	
Protected Phases	4	4		0	0	0	2	2		6	0	
Actuated Crean C (a)	4	17 5		0	17 5	24.5	114 5	114 5		100 5	100 E	
Effective Creen, g (s)		10.5			10.5	24.0	114.5	114.5		120.0	120.0	
Actuated a/C Batia		0.10			0.12	20.0	0.72	0.72		130.0	130.5	
Clearance Time (a)		7.0			7.0	7.0	0.75	7.0		7.0	0.02	
Vehicle Extension (a)		2.0			2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vpn)		169			145	290	382	963		343	1082	
V/s Ratio Prot		0.04			0.00	0.00	0.00	0.48		0.40	CU.54	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.04			CU.U8	0.01	0.03	0.00		0.18	0.00	
V/C Ratio		0.32			0.64	0.07	0.04	0.66		0.22	0.66	
Uniform Delay, d1		64.2			66.9	54.7	6.1	11.4		3.3	5.9	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.35	0.24	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.1			9.3	0.1	0.2	3.6		1.1	2.4	
Delay (s)		65.3			/6.2	54.8	6.3	15.0		2.3	3.9	
Level of Service		E			E	D	A	В		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)		65.3			64.5			14.8			3.7	
Approach LOS		E			E			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.5	Н	ICM Leve	el of Servi	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.66									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of lo:	st time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		67.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service	е		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	٦	->	$\mathbf{\tilde{z}}$	1	+	۰.	۸	Ť	1	1	ŧ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۴	ĥ		٦	ĥ		٦	ĥ		٦	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	64	14	29	58	14	25	35	522	21	21	590	80
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.89		1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.96	1.00		0.86	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1524	1335		1370	1452		1269	1327		1266	1309	
Flt Permitted	0.73	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.39	1.00		0.36	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1171	1335		1048	1452		516	1327		474	1309	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	70	15	32	63	15	27	38	567	23	23	641	87
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	28	0	0	24	0	0	1	0	0	2	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	70	19	0	63	18	0	38	589	0	23	726	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		36	36		11	4		41	41		4
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8			2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.5	12.5		12.5	12.5		82.3	82.3		93.5	93.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	14.5	14.5		14.5	14.5		84.3	84.3		95.5	95.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12		0.70	0.70		0.80	0.80	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	141	161		127	175		362	932		418	1042	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.01			0.44		0.00	c0.55	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.06			c0.06			0.07			0.04		
v/c Ratio	0.50	0.12		0.50	0.10		0.10	0.63		0.06	0.70	
Uniform Delay, d1	49.3	47.0		49.3	47.0		5.7	9.6		3.9	5.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.86	0.89		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	2.7	0.3		3.0	0.3		0.6	3.2		0.1	3.9	
Delay (s)	52.1	47.4		52.4	47.2		5.5	11.7		3.9	9.5	
Level of Service	D	D		D	D		A	В		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)		50.2			50.3			11.3			9.3	
Approach LOS		D			D			В			Α	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Dela	y		15.8	н	CM Level	l of Servic	e		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	itio		0.67									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		60.8%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report

2030 Future PM without Diversion

Page 5

10/1/2009

Dow Road Corridor Study

12: Cape Fear Blvd & US 421

Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

2030 Future PM without Diversion

10/1/2009

Dow Road Corridor Study 18: Ocean Boulevard & US 421 2030 Future PM without Diversion 10/1/2009 ۶ 4 • ٠ 1 t \* ٠  $\mathbf{i}$ -Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Houry flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (fts) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Walking Speed (fts) Median tyrp e Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) px, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol tC, stage 2 conf vol tC, stage (s) tF (s) EBL EBT WBL SBR EBR WB. NBT SBT **₽** ♣ 352 36 423 46 21 39 1 4 0 1 Free 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 Stor Stor 0% 0.92 0% 0.92 0.92 50 0.92 42 0.92 39 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0 1 4 23 460 0 383 None None 915 910 402 952 929 460 422 460 915 910 7.1 6.5 402 6.2 952 7.1 929 6.5 460 6.2 422 460 4.1 4.1 2.2 100 1101 3.5 4.0 3.3 3.5 4.0 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 93 648 100 80 247 100 100 262 99 98 601 1137 269 220 cM capacity (vervn) Direction, Lane # Volume Total Volume Edit volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95h (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 92 50 42 483 423 23 0 30 42 4 345 400 0.27 0.02 27 1 1137 0.02 1101 0.00 19.2 14.2 0.6 0.0 C В A 19.2 C 14.2 B 0.6 0.0 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 22 56.5% 15 ICU Level of Service

	٦	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	+	×	۸	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			\$			\$			\$	
Volume (veh/h)	25	0	12	0	0	6	8	470	0	14	504	3
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.9
Hourly flow rate (vph)	27	0	13	0	0	7	9	511	0	15	548	3
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											1286	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	1132	1126	567	1139	1145	511	586			511		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	1132	1126	567	1139	1145	511	586			511		
tC. single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
n0 queue free %	84	100	98	100	100	99	99			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	175	200	523	171	195	563	989			1054		
Direction Long #	ED 1	M/D 1	NID 4	CD 1								
Volumo Total	ED 1	7	E20	0D 1 601								
Volume Lota	40	,	520	001								
Volume Lett	2/	7	9	10								
volume Right	10	500	000	30								
COFI	223	0.04	969	1054								
Volume to Capacity	0.18	0.01	0.01	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (It)	10	115	1									
Control Delay (s)	24.6	11.5	0.3	0.4								
Lane LUS	0	B	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	24.6	11.5	0.3	0.4								
Approach LUS	С	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.2									
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		51.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

Synchro 7 - Report Page 7

WSA

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

14: Atlanta Avenue & US 421

2030 Future PM without Diversion

21: K Avenue & US	421										10	1/2009
	۶	-	$\mathbf{i}$	4	+	٠	٩	t	1	1	ţ	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		લી	1		લી	1	5	ĥ		5	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	40	31	60	14	15	18	194	216	40	61	154	43
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.98		1.00	0.97	
Fit Protected		0.97	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1812	1583		1819	1583	1770	1820		1770	1801	
Flt Permitted		0.85	1.00		0.89	1.00	0.61	1.00		0.54	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1590	1583		1649	1583	1136	1820		1003	1801	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	43	34	65	15	16	20	211	235	43	66	167	47
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	49	0	0	15	0	11	0	0	17	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	77	16	0	31	5	211	267	0	66	197	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		398	396		412	396	758	789		700	780	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.04	c0.15		0.01	0.11	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.05	0.01		0.02	0.00	0.12			0.04		
v/c Ratio		0.19	0.04		0.08	0.01	0.28	0.34		0.09	0.25	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.7	17.0		17.2	16.9	5.9	11.3		5.5	10.8	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.09	1.06	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.1	0.2		0.4	0.1	0.9	1.2		0.2	0.7	
Delay (s)		18.8	17.2		17.6	17.0	6.8	12.5		6.2	12.1	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	A	В		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		18.1			17.3			10.0			10.7	
Approach LOS		В			В			В			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay	r		11.8	Н	CM Leve	of Servi	се		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	tio		0.28									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		42.0%	IC	U Level	of Service	е		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Dow Road Corrido	r Study	Road					2030 Future PM without Diversion 10/1/200
20. Harper Avenue		•	Ť	*	4	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	3	1	ĥ			ส์	
Volume (veh/h)	8	57	494	18	82	666	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	62	537	20	89	724	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1449	547			537		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1449	547			537		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	93	88			91		
cM capacity (veh/h)	132	537			1031		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	9	62	557	813			
Volume Left	9	0	0	89			
Volume Right	0	62	20	0			
cSH	132	537	1700	1031			
Volume to Capacity	0.07	0.12	0.33	0.09			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	10	0	7			
Control Delay (s)	34.2	12.6	0.0	2.1			
Lane LOS	D	В		A			
Approach Delay (s)	15.2		0.0	2.1			
Approach LOS	С						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			2.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ation		80.0%	IC	U Level of	of Service	D
Analysis Period (min)			15				

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

10/1/2009

2030 Future PM without Diversion

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future PM without Diversion 30: Atlanta Avenue & Dow Road 10/1/2009 ×. t ţ <~ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) NBT SBT VBL NBR **₽** 519 4 705 3 8 4 7 Volume (vervh) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 564 Free 0% 0.92 766 0.92 0.92 0.92 3 9 Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None None Median type Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) n0 queue free % 1348 566 568 1348 6.4 566 6.2 568 4.1 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 98 165 98 99 1004 523 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 12 568 774 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 3 0 8 9 0 329 1700 1004 0.04 0.33 0.01 0 16.4 0.0 0.2 С 16.4 C 0.0 0.2 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 0.3 52.7% 15 ICU Level of Service

35: Ocean Boulevard & Dow Road ٠ ŧ t ۴ 5 € Movement Lane Configurations NBR WBL NBT SB **₽** 243 4 229 144 Volume (veh/h) 0 97 0 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 0 Free 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 249 0.92 0.92 0.92 105 264 0 157 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) n0 queue free % 826 264 264 826 264 264 4.1 6.4 6.2 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 100 86 88 1300 775 301 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 105 264 405 157 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 0 
 105
 0

 775
 1700

 0.14
 0.16

 12
 0
 0 1300 0.12 10 10.4 0.0 3.9 в 10.4 B 0.0 3.9

Intersection Summary				
Average Delay	3.4			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	48.8%	ICU Level of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	15			

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 9

WSA



## 2030 Build AM & PM Conditions



2030 Future AM with Diversion

1: Dow Road & US	421										10	/1/2009
	۶	+	*	5	4	ţ	•	•	1	۴	×	Ŧ
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR2	WBL2	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL2	SBT
Lane Configurations	٦	र्स	1			44		5	¢∱		5	<u>^</u>
Volume (vph)	979	1	26	1	3	1	7	62	702	4	11	447
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85			0.92		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Fit Protected	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1685	1583			1682		1770	3536		1770	3539
Flt Permitted	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1685	1583			1682		1770	3536		1770	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	1064	1	28	1	3	1	8	67	763	4	12	486
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	10	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	532	533	18	0	0	5	0	67	767	0	12	486
Turn Type	Split		Perm	Perm	Split			Prot			Prot	
Protected Phases	3	3			4	4		5	2		1	6
Permitted Phases			3	4								
Actuated Green, G (s)	59.7	59.7	59.7			7.2		11.4	47.9		3.1	39.6
Effective Green, g (s)	61.7	61.7	61.7			9.2		13.4	49.9		5.1	41.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.39	0.39			0.06		0.08	0.31		0.03	0.26
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	648	650	610			97		148	1103		56	920
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.32	0.32				c0.00		c0.04	c0.22		0.01	0.14
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01									
v/c Ratio	0.82	0.82	0.03			0.06		0.45	0.70		0.21	0.53
Uniform Delay, d1	44.2	44.2	30.5			71.3		69.8	48.4		75.5	50.8
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00		0.97	0.93		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	8.2	8.2	0.0			0.2		2.2	3.6		1.9	2.2
Delay (s)	52.4	52.3	30.6			71.5		69.6	48.5		77.4	53.0
Level of Service	D	D	С			E		E	D		E	D
Approach Delay (s)		51.8				71.5			50.2			33.5
Approach LOS		D				E			D			С
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay	/		45.4	Н	CM Level	of Service	e		D			
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	tio		0.67									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			25.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		81.7%	IC	U Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Dow Road Corrido 1: Dow Road & US	or Study S 421					2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009
	1	£	*	*	4	
Movement	SBR	NWL2	NWL	NWR	NWR2	
Lane Configurations	1		M			
Volume (vph)	437	3	1	2	2	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00			
Frt	0.85		0.93			
Fit Protected	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1583		1695			
Flt Permitted	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1583		1695			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adi, Flow (vph)	475	3	1	2	2	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	168	0	2	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	307	0	6	0	0	
Turn Type	pm+ov	Perm				
Protected Phases	3		7			
Permitted Phases	6	7				
Actuated Green, G (s)	99.3		7.1			
Effective Green, g (s)	103.3		9.1			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.65		0.06			
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1071		96			
v/s Ratio Prot	0.11					
v/s Ratio Perm	0.08		0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.29		0.06			
Uniform Delay, d1	12.3		71.4			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.1		0.3			
Delay (s)	12.5		71.7			
Level of Service	В		E			
Approach Delay (s)			71.7			
Approach LOS			E			

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 1

Intersection Summary

Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

WSA

Dow Road Corridor 3: Shopping Access	Study & US	421					2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009
	4	•	1	۲	1	Ļ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٦	1	đ₽			41	
Volume (vph)	7	12	567	8	7	560	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95			0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.85	1.00			1.00	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	3532			3537	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00			0.95	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	3532			3348	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	8	13	616	9	8	609	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	12	0	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	8	1	625	0	0	617	
Turn Type		Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases	4		2			6	
Permitted Phases		4			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	7.1	7.1	138.9			138.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	9.1	9.1	140.9			140.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.06	0.06	0.88			0.88	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	101	90	3110			2948	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00		0.18				
v/s Ratio Perm		0.00				c0.18	
v/c Ratio	0.08	0.01	0.20			0.21	
Uniform Delay, d1	71.5	71.2	1.4			1.4	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	0.74			0.61	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.0	0.1			0.2	
Delay (s)	71.8	71.2	1.2			1.0	
Level of Service	E	E	A			A	
Approach Delay (s)	71.5		1.2			1.0	
Approach LOS	E		A			A	
Intersection Summary							
HCM Average Control Delay			2.3	Н	CM Level	l of Service	Α
HCM Volume to Capacity rati	0		0.20				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)	10.0
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		34.6%	IC	U Level	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				
c Critical Lane Group							

	Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95
	Frpb, ped/bikes	0.98	0.99	1.00
	Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Frt	0.88	0.85	0.99
F	It Protected	0.99	1.00	1.00
s	atd. Flow (prot)	1331	1249	2782
F	It Permitted	0.99	1.00	1.00
	Satd. Flow (perm)	1331	1249	2782
P	eak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92
	Adi, Flow (vph)	35	348	690
	RTOR Reduction (vph)	118	154	2
	Lane Group Flow (vph)	77	34	730
	Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	5	
	Parking (#/hr)			15
	Turn Type		pm+ov	
	Protected Phases	4	1	2
F	Permitted Phases		4	2
	Actuated Green, G (s)	14.6	24.7	114.3
E	ffective Green, g (s)	16.6	28.7	116.3
Ā	ctuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.18	0.73
C	Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0
Ň	/ehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0
ī	ane Grp Cap (vph)	138	263	2022
	v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	0.01	0.26
			0.02	
	V/s Ratio Perm		0.02	
v/	s Ratio Perm c Ratio	0.56	0.02	0.36
v	/s Ratio Perm /c Ratio Iniform Delay, d1	0.56 68.2	0.02	0.36 8.1

WSA

Dow Road Corrido 4: Carl Winner Ave	r Study e & US 4	21					2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009
	1	۰.	Ť	1	1	ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	Y	1	A		1	•	
Volume (vph)	32	320	635	39	183	470	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Lane Width	10	10	11	11	11	11	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	0.98	0.99	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	0.88	0.85	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Fit Protected	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1331	1249	2782		1539	1621	
FIt Permitted	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.34	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1331	1249	2782		546	1621	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj. Flow (vph)	35	348	690	42	199	511	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	118	154	2	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	77	34	730	0	199	511	
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	5	5		5	5		
Parking (#/hr)			15	15			
Turn Type		pm+ov			pm+pt		
Protected Phases	4	1	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases		4	2		6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	14.6	24.7	114.3		131.4	131.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	16.6	28.7	116.3		133.4	133.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.10	0.18	0.73		0.83	0.83	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	138	263	2022		530	1352	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.06	0.01	0.26		0.03	c0.32	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.02			0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.56	0.13	0.36		0.38	0.38	
Uniform Delay, d1	68.2	55.1	8.1		3.2	3.2	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	0.94		5.56	5.72	
Incremental Delay, d2	4.8	0.2	0.4		0.4	0.2	
Delay (s)	73.0	55.4	8.0		18.2	18.6	

Uniform Delay, d1 Progression Factor Incremental Delay, d Delay (s) Level of Service Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS E 64.3 E A 8.0 A B 18.5 B Е В Approach LOS intersection Summary HCM Average Control Delay HCM Volume to Capacity ratio Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) c Critical Lane Group 23.9 0.40 160.0 54.9% 15 HCM Level of Service С Sum of lost time (s) ICU Level of Service 10.0 A

Synchro 7 - Report Page 3

Dow Road Corridor Study

2030 Future AM with Diversion

o. narper Ave & US 4	FZ I										10	1/2003
	۶	-	$\mathbf{i}$	4	+	٩.	٩	t	۴	\$	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		\$			ર્સ	1	٦	ĥ		٦	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	39	12	8	56	7	44	1	577	28	53	321	11
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		1.00			1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.98			0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.98			1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00	
Fit Protected		0.97			0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1605			1589	1383	1270	1326		1265	1329	
Flt Permitted		0.73			0.71	1.00	0.55	1.00		0.32	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1205			1186	1383	729	1326		428	1329	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	42	13	9	61	8	48	1	627	30	58	349	12
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	4	0	0	0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	60	0	0	69	7	1	657	0	58	361	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	7		3	3		7	1		18	18		1
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm		custom	Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4		1 01111	8	1		2		1 01111	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	-	8	2			6	-	
Actuated Green G (s)		14.5			14.5	20.1	118.9	118.9		131.5	131.5	
Effective Green a (s)		16.5			16.5	24.1	120.9	120.9		133.5	133.5	
Actuated q/C Ratio		0.10			0.10	0.15	0.76	0.76		0.83	0.83	
Clearance Time (s)		7.0			7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)		3.0			3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)		124			122	252	551	1002		357	1109	
v/c Patio Prot		124			122	0.00	001	0.50		007	c0 27	
v/s Ratio Porm		0.05			c0.06	0.00	0.00	00.00		0.14	00.21	
v/c Ratio		0.00			0.57	0.00	0.00	0.66		0.14	0.33	
Uniform Delay, d1		67.7			68.3	58.0	4.8	9.5		2.5	3.0	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.76	1.06	
Incremental Delay, d2		2.0			5.9	0.0	0.0	3.3		0.70	0.7	
Delay (s)		70.6			74.2	58.0	4.8	12.8		2.8	3.0	
Loval of Samica		70.0			14.2	50.0	4.0	12.0		2.0	0.5	
Approach Delay (s)		70.6			67.6	L.	~	12.8		~	3.8	
Approach LOS		70.0			07.0			12.0 R			۵.0	
Approach 200		-			-			U			~	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.8	н	ICM Leve	el of Servio	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of lo	st time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	1		64.7%	IC	U Level	of Service	3		С			_
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	٦	→	$\mathbf{i}$	4	+	٠	٩.	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	1	î,		5	ĥ		1	¢Î,		5	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	97	15	19	29	6	14	12	502	15	11	309	47
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.92		1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.96	1.00		0.88	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.91		1.00	0.90		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.98	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1535	1412		1407	1447		1268	1329		1265	1307	
FIt Permitted	0.74	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.53	1.00		0.37	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1200	1412		1086	1447		711	1329		487	1307	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	105	16	21	32	7	15	13	546	16	12	336	51
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	18	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	0	3	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	105	19	0	32	9	0	13	561	0	12	384	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		36	36		11	4		41	41		4
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8			2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	15.6	15.6		15.6	15.6		80.6	80.6		90.4	90.4	
Effective Green, g (s)	17.6	17.6		17.6	17.6		82.6	82.6		92.4	92.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.15	0.15		0.15	0.15		0.69	0.69		0.77	0.77	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	176	207		159	212		489	915		406	1006	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.01			c0.42		0.00	c0.29	
v/s Ratio Perm	c0.09			0.03			0.02			0.02		
v/c Ratio	0.60	0.09		0.20	0.04		0.03	0.61		0.03	0.38	
Uniform Delay, d1	47.9	44.3		45.0	44.0		5.9	10.1		4.4	4.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.97	0.98		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	5.3	0.2		0.6	0.1		0.1	3.1		0.0	1.1	
Delay (s)	53.2	44.5		45.6	44.1		5.9	13.0		4.5	5.6	
Level of Service	D	D		D	D		А	В		А	Α	
Approach Delay (s)		50.9			45.0			12.8			5.6	
Approach LOS		D			D			В			А	
Intersection Summarv												
HCM Average Control Delay			16.5	Н	CM Level	of Service			В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ration	0		0.61						_			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			15.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	on		51.9%	IC	U Level	of Service			A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 5

Dow Road Corridor Study

12: Cape Fear Blvd & US 421

Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

2030 Future AM with Diversion

10/1/2009

Dow Road Corridor Study 18: Ocean Boulevard & US 421 2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009 ۶ 4 + ٠ 1 t ۰ ٠  $\mathbf{i}$ -Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Houry flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Lane Wrdth (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol tC2, stage 2 conf vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF(s) EBL EBT WBL SBR EBR WB. NBT SBT ♣ 182 28 **₽**7 **₽** 0 **↔** 213 21 25 1 6 32 0 4 Stop 0% 0.92 8 Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 232 Free 0% 0.92 0.92 27 0.92 23 0.92 30 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0 7 35 0 198 None None 529 523 213 549 538 232 228 232 529 523 7.1 6.5 213 6.2 549 7.1 538 6.5 232 6.2 228 4.1 232 4.1 2.2 100 1336 3.5 4.0 3.3 3.5 4.0 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 100 419 99 97 808 1340 98 97 445 827 94 446 100 437 cM capacity (vervn) Direction, Lane # Volume Total Volume Edit volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95h (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) Approach Delay (s) EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 58 27 23 266 233 8 35 0 4 30 546 713 0.11 0.01 1340 0.03 1336 0.00 0 12.4 10.1 B B 1.2 0.2 A Α 12.4 B 10.1 1.2 0.2 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 2.0 42.1% 15 ICU Level of Service А

WSA

Dow Road Corrido 14: Atlanta Avenue	r Study e & US 4	121					:	2030 F	uture	AM wi	th Dive	rsion 1/2009
	٦	-	$\mathbf{r}$	4	+	•	٠	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			\$	
Volume (veh/h)	74	4	11	0	0	12	47	381	0	4	205	43
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	80	4	12	0	0	13	51	414	0	4	223	47
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn hare (ven)								Mana			Mana	
Median type Median storage yeb)								None			None	
Unetroom signal (ff)											1286	
nX nistoon unblocked											1200	
vC. conflicting volume	784	771	246	785	795	414	270			414		
vC1. stage 1 conf vol			210	100	100		2.0					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	784	771	246	785	795	414	270			414		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	73	99	98	100	100	98	96			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	294	316	793	292	307	638	1294			1145		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	97	13	465	274								
Volume Left	80	0	51	4								
Volume Right	12	13	0	47								
cSH	320	638	1294	1145								
Volume to Capacity	0.30	0.02	0.04	0.00								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	31	2	3	0								
Control Delay (s)	21.0	10.8	1.2	0.2								
Lane LOS	C	В	A	A								_
Approach Delay (s)	21.0	10.8	1.2	0.2								
Approach LOS	C	в										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			3.3									_
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		57.9%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 7

WSA

2030 Future AM with Diversion

21: K Avenue & US	421										10	/1/2009
	۶	-+	$\mathbf{i}$	1	+	×	•	t	۲	\$	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		ર્સ	1		ર્સ	1	٦	ĥ		5	4Î	
Volume (vph)	25	28	166	6	10	7	137	60	21	21	72	51
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.96		1.00	0.94	
Fit Protected		0.98	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1820	1583		1827	1583	1770	1790		1770	1747	
FIt Permitted		0.89	1.00		0.92	1.00	0.67	1.00		0.70	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1659	1583		1719	1583	1252	1790		1304	1747	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	27	30	180	7	11	8	149	65	23	23	78	55
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	135	0	0	6	0	13	0	0	31	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	57	45	0	18	2	149	75	0	23	102	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		415	396		430	396	808	776		831	757	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.03	0.04		0.00	0.06	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.03	0.03		0.01	0.00	c0.08			0.01		
v/c Ratio		0.14	0.11		0.04	0.01	0.18	0.10		0.03	0.13	
Uniform Delay, d1		17.5	17.4		17.1	16.9	5.7	10.1		5.3	10.2	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.14	1.13	
Incremental Delay, d2		0.7	0.6		0.2	0.0	0.5	0.2		0.1	0.4	
Delay (s)		18.2	18.0		17.2	16.9	6.2	10.3		6.1	11.9	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	А	В		A	В	
Approach Delay (s)		18.0			17.1			7.7			11.0	
Approach LOS		В			В			А			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			12.6	Н	ICM Leve	l of Servi	се		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity rati	0		0.17									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		34.0%	10	CU Level	of Service	е		А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Dow Road Corrido 25: Harper Avenue	r Study	Road					2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/200
20. Harper Avenue	<u>√ u bom</u>	•	t	1	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	1	1	ĥ		3	<b>^</b>	
Volume (veh/h)	7	85	866	7	22	464	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians	8	92	941	8	24	504	
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1497	945			941		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	1497	945			941		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	94	71			97		
cM capacity (veh/h)	130	318			728		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1	SB 2		
Volume Total	8	92	949	24	504		
Volume Left	8	0	0	24	0		
Volume Right	0	92	8	0	0		
cSH	130	318	1700	728	1700		
Volume to Capacity	0.06	0.29	0.56	0.03	0.30		
Queue Length 95th (ft)	5	30	0	3	0		
Control Delay (s)	34.3	20.9	0.0	10.1	0.0		
Lane LOS	D	С		В			
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	21.9 C		0.0	0.5			
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.5				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		57.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 9

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009 30: Atlanta Avenue & Dow Road ×. t ţ <~ ۴ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) NBT SBT VBL **1**≱ 950 **4** 431 1 14 14 57 Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 1033 Free 0% 0.92 468 0.92 0.92 0.92 1 15 15 62 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1633 1040 1048 vCi, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vCu, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 1633 1633 1040 6.4 6.2 1048 4.1 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 99 101 95 91 664 280 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 16 1048 530 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 62 0 15 15 250 1700 664 0.07 0.62 0.09 5 0 8 20.4 0.0 2.5 С 20.4 C 0.0 2.5 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 11 80.6% 15 ICU Level of Service D

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future AM with Diversion 10/1/2009 35: Ocean Boulevard & Dow Road ٠ ŧ t 5 1 € Movement Lane Configurations NBR WBL NBT SB 273 191 6 187 Volume (veh/h) 22 50 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 Free 0% 0.92 297 Fre 0% 0.92 0.92 24 0.92 0.92 7 203 54 208 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC0, unblocked vol 625 309 321 vCu, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 625 309 321 4.1 6.4 6.2 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 72 731 98 96 1239 429 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 SB 2 210 321 54 54 208 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 Λ 203 716 0.29 31 24 0 Δ 1700 0.19 1239 1700 0.04 0.12 12.1 0.0 8.0 0.0 12.1 0.0 1.7 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 3.8 40.9% 15 ICU Level of Service А

Synchro 7 - Report Page 11

2030 Future PM with Diversion

1: Dow Road & US	421										10	/1/2009
	٦	-	$\mathbf{i}$	5	4	+	۰.	٩	Ť	۴	1	ŧ
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR2	WBL2	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL2	SBT
Lane Configurations	٦	र्स	Ĩ			\$		٦	<b>≜</b> †}⊳		٦	11
Volume (vph)	525	1	33	2	6	1	18	57	807	11	33	958
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0
Lane Util. Factor	0.95	0.95	1.00			1.00		1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95
Frt	1.00	1.00	0.85			0.91		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00
Fit Protected	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (prot)	1681	1686	1583			1670		1770	3532		1770	3539
Flt Permitted	0.95	0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00
Satd. Flow (perm)	1681	1686	1583			1670		1770	3532		1770	3539
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	571	1	36	2	7	1	20	62	877	12	36	1041
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	28	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	285	287	8	0	0	11	0	62	889	0	36	1041
Turn Type	Split		Perm	Perm	Split			Prot			Prot	
Protected Phases	3	3			4	4		5	2		1	6
Permitted Phases			3	4								
Actuated Green, G (s)	33.3	33.3	33.3			7.3		9.6	70.1		6.7	67.2
Effective Green, g (s)	35.3	35.3	35.3			9.3		11.6	72.1		8.7	69.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.22	0.22	0.22			0.06		0.07	0.45		0.05	0.43
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	371	372	349			97		128	1592		96	1531
v/s Ratio Prot	0.17	c0.17				c0.01		c0.04	c0.25		0.02	c0.29
v/s Ratio Perm			0.01									
v/c Ratio	0.77	0.77	0.02			0.12		0.48	0.56		0.38	0.68
Uniform Delay, d1	58.5	58.6	48.8			71.4		71.3	32.3		73.0	36.5
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00			1.00		0.96	0.81		1.00	1.00
Incremental Delay, d2	9.2	9.5	0.0			0.5		2.7	1.3		2.5	2.5
Delay (s)	67.7	68.1	48.9			72.0		71.5	27.6		75.5	39.0
Level of Service	E	E	D			E		E	С		E	D
Approach Delay (s)		66.8				72.0			30.4			29.1
Approach LOS		E				E			С			С
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			36.6	н	ICM Level	of Service	3		D			
HCM Volume to Capacity rati	io		0.63									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			30.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		76.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Dow Road Corrido 1: Dow Road & US	or Study S 421					2030 Future PM with Diversior 10/1/2009
	~	ţ	÷	*	4	
Movement	SBR	NWL2	NWL	NWR	NWR2	
Lare Configurations	7		M			
Volume (vph)	746	4	2	8	1	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0		5.0			
Lane Util. Factor	1.00		1.00			
Frt	0.85		0.92			
Fit Protected	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (prot)	1583		1674			
Flt Permitted	1.00		0.98			
Satd. Flow (perm)	1583		1674			
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adi, Flow (vph)	811	4	2	9	1	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	281	0	1	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	530	0	15	0	0	
Turn Type	pm+ov	Perm				
Protected Phases	3		7			
Permitted Phases	6	7				
Actuated Green, G (s)	100.5		7.6			
Effective Green, g (s)	104.5		9.6			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.65		0.06			
Clearance Time (s)	7.0		7.0			
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0		3.0			
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	1083		100			
v/s Ratio Prot	0.11					
v/s Ratio Perm	0.23		0.01			
v/c Ratio	0.49		0.15			
Uniform Delay, d1	14.1		71.3			
Progression Factor	1.00		1.00			
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3		0.7			
Delay (s)	14.5		72.0			
Level of Service	В		E			
Approach Delay (s)			72.0			
Approach LOS			E			

WSA

WSA

Intersection Summary

Synchro 7 - Report Page 2

WSA

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 1

Dow Road Corrido 3: Shopping Acces	r Study s & US	421					2030 Future PM with Diversion 10/1/2009
	4	*	1	1	1	ŧ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ň	1	<b>≜</b> 1₽			414	
Volume (vph)	72	51	1079	51	28	923	
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0			5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00	0.95			0.95	
Frt	1.00	0.85	0.99			1.00	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00	1.00			1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1770	1583	3515			3534	
Flt Permitted	0.95	1.00	1.00			0.86	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1770	1583	3515			3053	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adi, Flow (vph)	78	55	1173	55	30	1003	
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	50	2	0	0	0	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	78	5	1226	0	0	1033	
Turn Type		Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases	4		2			6	
Permitted Phases		4			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.4	12.4	133.6			133.6	
Effective Green, g (s)	14.4	14.4	135.6			135.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.09	0.09	0.85			0.85	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0			7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0			3.0	
Lane Grn Can (vnh)	159	142	2979			2587	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.04		c0.35			2007	
v/s Ratio Perm	00.01	0.00	00.00			0.34	
v/c Ratio	0.49	0.03	0.41			0.40	
Uniform Delay, d1	69.3	66.5	2.9			2.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00	0.54			4.38	
Incremental Delay, d2	2.4	0.1	0.4			0.4	
Delay (s)	71.7	66.6	1.9			12.7	
Level of Service	F	F	A			B	
Approach Delay (s)	69.6	-	1.9			12.7	
Approach LOS	E		A			В	
Intersection Summary							
HCM Average Control Dela	y		10.3	Н	CM Level	of Service	В
HCM Volume to Capacity ra	atio		0.42				
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of los	t time (s)	10.0
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		59.9%	IC	U Level	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				
c Critical Lane Group							

Synchro 7 -	Report
	Page 3

Dow Road Corridor 4: Carl Winner Ave	Study & US 4	21					2030 Future PM with Diven	rsior 1/2009
	4	•	Ť	1	1	ţ		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	۷	1	<b>A</b> 1.		3	4		
Volume (vph)	43	296	719	43	299	711		
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900		
Lane Width	10	10	11	11	11	11		
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95	0.95		1.00	1.00		
Frpb, ped/bikes	0.98	0.99	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Flpb, ped/bikes	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		
Frt	0.89	0.85	0.99		1.00	1.00		
Fit Protected	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.95	1.00		
Satd. Flow (prot)	1347	1255	2782		1539	1621		
Flt Permitted	0.99	1.00	1.00		0.27	1.00		
Satd, Flow (perm)	1347	1255	2782		441	1621		
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Adi Elow (vnh)	47	322	782	47	325	773		
RTOR Reduction (vph)	76	107	2	0	0_0	0		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	113	73	827	0	325	773		
Confl Peds (#/hr)	5	5		5	5			
Parking (#/hr)			15	15	Ű			
Turn Tyne		nm+ov			nm+nt			
Protected Phases	4	1	2		1	6		
Permitted Phases	-	4	2		6	0		
Actuated Green G (s)	18.2	44.0	95.0		127.8	127.8		
Effective Green, a (s)	20.2	48.0	97.0		127.0	120.8		
Actuated a/C Ratio	0.13	0.30	0.61		0.81	0.81		
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		
Lano Gro Can (unb)	170	416	1697		540	1315		
v/a Batia Brat	0.09	410	0.20		0.10	0.49		
v/s Ratio Prot	00.00	0.03	0.00		0.10	00.40		
v/s Ratio Ferri	0.66	0.03	0.40		0.50	0.50		
Uniform Delay, d1	66.7	41.4	17.6		6.7	5.4		
Drogrossion Easter	1.00	1.00	0.73		4.52	4.81		
Incremental Delay d2	9.4	0.2	10		1.52	0.6		
Dolov (s)	76.1	41.6	13.8		31.0	26.9		
Level of Service	70.1	41.0 D	13.0 R		01.0	20.5		
Approach Dolay (c)	50.2	J	12.9		U	28.4		
Approach LOS	- 39.2		13.0 P			20.4		
hphoadil 200	6		5			U		
Intersection Summary								
HCM Average Control Delay			28.1	н	CM Leve	of Service	C	
HCM Volume to Canacity rati	io		0.60					
riom rolame to capacity rat		160.0		-	Sum of lost time (s)		10.0	
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	5	um or ios	t unie (s)	10.0	
Actuated Cycle Length (s) Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		160.0 64.9%	10	CU Level	of Service	C	

Dow Road Corridor Study

2030 Future PM with Diversion

o. Halpel Ave & 03 -	+∠ 1 ≱		~	~	+	4		+		~	1	1
	-	-+	*	Ŧ		~	7	I	r		*	-
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		÷			୍ୟ	r.	<u></u>	ર્લ		<u></u>	ef 👘	
Volume (vph)	21	22	14	66	19	103	14	479	36	69	561	28
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	13	13	13	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)		5.0			5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes		0.99			1.00	0.97	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes		0.99			0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		0.97			1.00	0.85	1.00	0.99		1.00	0.99	
Fit Protected		0.98			0.96	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1618			1601	1387	1270	1320		1264	1326	
FIt Permitted		0.84			0.71	1.00	0.42	1.00		0.35	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1390			1188	1387	564	1320		472	1326	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	23	24	15	72	21	112	15	521	39	75	610	30
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	8	0	0	0	92	0	1	0	0	1	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	54	0	0	93	20	15	559	0	75	639	0
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	7		3	3		7	1		18	18		1
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm		custom	Perm			Perm		
Protected Phases		4			8	1	1 01111	2		1 01111	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8	Ū	8	2	-		6	Ŭ	
Actuated Green G (s)		17.5			17.5	24.5	114.5	114.5		128.5	128.5	
Effective Green g (s)		19.5			19.5	28.5	116.5	116.5		130.5	130.5	
Actuated a/C Ratio		0.12			0.12	0.18	0.73	0.73		0.82	0.82	
Clearance Time (c)		7.0			7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vohiclo Extonsion (s)		3.0			3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Long Orp Cop (uph)		160			145	200	411	0.0		3.0	1092	
Larie Grp Gap (vpri)		109			140	290	411	901		300	1002	
V/s Ratio Prot		0.04			-0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42		0.40	CU.48	
v/s Ratio Perm		0.04			0.08	0.01	0.03	0.50		0.10	0.50	
V/C Ratio		0.32			0.04	0.07	0.04	0.00		0.19	0.09	
Uniform Delay, d I		04.2			00.9	54.7	0.1	10.3		3.2	0.2	
Progression Factor		1.00			1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		0.43	0.38	_
Incremental Delay, d2		1.1			9.3	0.1	0.2	2.6		0.9	1.9	
Delay (s)		05.3			70.2	54.8	0.2	12.8		2.3	3.9	_
Level of Service		E			E	D	A	B		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)		65.3			64.5			12.7			3.7	_
Approach LOS		E			E			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			17.5	F	ICM Leve	el of Servi	ce		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.60									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			160.0	S	um of lo	st time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		63.7%	10	CU Level	of Service	е		В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

12: Cape Fear Blvd &	k US 4	421									10	/1/2009
	۶		$\mathbf{i}$	1	-	*	۸.	t	1	1	ŧ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations	1	ĥ		٦	ĥ		٦	ĥ		٦	ĥ	
Volume (vph)	64	14	29	58	14	25	35	449	21	21	521	80
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Lane Width	12	12	12	12	12	12	11	11	11	11	11	11
Total Lost time (s)	5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frpb, ped/bikes	1.00	0.89		1.00	0.96		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Flpb, ped/bikes	0.96	1.00		0.86	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	0.90		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.99		1.00	0.98	
Fit Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1524	1335		1370	1452		1269	1325		1265	1306	
FIt Permitted	0.73	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.42	1.00		0.40	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	1171	1335		1048	1452		557	1325		532	1306	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adi, Flow (vph)	70	15	32	63	15	27	38	488	23	23	566	87
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	28	0	0	24	0	0	1	0	0	3	(
Lane Group Flow (vph)	70	19	0	63	18	0	38	510	0	23	650	(
Confl. Peds. (#/hr)	11		36	36		11	4		41	41		4
Parking (#/hr)							15	15	15	15	15	15
Turn Type	Perm			Perm			Perm			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8			2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4			8			2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)	12.5	12.5		12.5	12.5		82.3	82.3		93.5	93.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	14.5	14.5		14.5	14.5		84.3	84.3		95.5	95.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.12	0.12		0.12	0.12		0.70	0.70		0.80	0.80	
Clearance Time (s)	7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0		7.0	7.0	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Gro Cap (voh)	141	161		127	175		391	931		461	1039	
v/s Ratio Prot		0.01			0.01			0.38		0.00	c0.50	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.06	0.01		c0.06	0.01		0.07	0.00		0.04	00.00	
v/c Ratio	0.50	0.12		0.50	0.10		0.10	0.55		0.05	0.63	
Uniform Delay, d1	49.3	47.0		49.3	47.0		5.7	8.6		3.4	5.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		0.95	0.98		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	2.7	0.3		3.0	0.3		0.5	2.3		0.0	2.8	
Delay (s)	52.1	47.4		52.4	47.2		5.9	10.7		3.5	7.8	
Level of Service	D	D		D	D		A	В		A	A	
Approach Delay (s)	-	50.2			50.3			10.4			7.7	
Approach LOS		D			D			В			A	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			15.2	н	CM Level	of Servic	e		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.61									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			120.0	S	um of lost	t time (s)			10.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilizatio	n		56.8%	IC	U Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report

Page 5

Dow Road Corridor Study

Synchro 7 - Report Page 6

2030 Future PM with Diversion

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future PM with Diversion 10/1/2009 18: Ocean Boulevard & US 421 ۶ • ۰. f •  $\mathbf{i}$ -Movement Lane Configurations EBT SBR EBL EBR WBI SBT **4**0 **₽ ↔** 350 283 36 Volume (veh/h) 46 39 1 4 21 0 1 Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Stor Sto Free 0% 0.92 0% 0.92 0% 0% 0.92 39 0.92 50 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 42 23 380 0 308 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC2, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) ng energies % 760 755 327 798 775 380 347 380 760 755 327 798 7.1 775 380 6.2 347 380 7.1 6.5 6.2 6.5 4.1 4.1 3.5 4.0 3.3 2.2 2.2 3.5 4.0 3.3 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 84 100 94 714 100 100 99 98 100 315 331 282 322 667 1212 1178 Direction, Lane # Volume Total EB 1 WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 92 403 348 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 50 23 0 42 39 474 0.01 1212 0.02 423 0.22 1178 0.00 21 12.7 15.9 0.6 0.0 В A 15.9 C 12.7 0.6 0.0 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 52.5% 15 ICU Level of Service

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future PM with Diversion 14: Atlanta Avenue & US 421 10/1/2009 ۶ + ŧ. • t T  $\mathbf{i}$ 4 Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) EBT SBR EBL WB NBT SBT **₽ ₽** - **4** 397 **4**35 25 12 0 6 8 0 14 35 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 Sto Free Free 0% 0.92 432 0% 0.92 473 0% 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 27 0 13 0 38 Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume 1286 978 971 492 984 990 432 511 432 vCl, stage 1 conf vol vCl, stage 2 conf vol vCl, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 978 971 6.5 492 984 990 6.5 432 511 4.1 432 7.1 6.2 7.1 6.2 4.1 3.5 2.2 2.2 4.0 3.3 3.5 4.0 3.3 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 99 1128 88 100 98 100 100 99 99 224 247 577 219 241 624 1054 Direction, Lane # Volume Total EB 1 VB 1 NB 1 SB 1 40 440 526 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 27 15 15 279 0.14 12 13 0 38 1054 1128 0.01 0.01 624 0.01 10.8 0.3 0.4 20.1 С В 20.1 C 10.8 B 0.3 0.4 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 12 47.7% ICU Level of Service

> Synchro 7 - Report Page 7

2030 Future PM with Diversion

21: K Avenue & US 4	21										10	1/2009
	۶	-+	$\mathbf{\tilde{v}}$	4	-	∢	٩	t	۴	1	ţ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		र्स	1		ર્સ	1	٦	ĥ		5	4Î	
Volume (vph)	52	46	129	14	28	10	247	163	40	50	85	63
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0		3.0	4.0	
Lane Util. Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt		1.00	0.85		1.00	0.85	1.00	0.97		1.00	0.94	
Fit Protected		0.97	1.00		0.98	1.00	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)		1814	1583		1832	1583	1770	1808		1770	1744	
Flt Permitted		0.84	1.00		0.91	1.00	0.66	1.00		0.60	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)		1570	1583		1693	1583	1221	1808		1124	1744	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	57	50	140	15	30	11	268	177	43	54	92	68
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	105	0	0	8	0	15	0	0	39	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	107	35	0	45	3	268	205	0	54	121	0
Turn Type	Perm		Perm	Perm		Perm	pm+pt			pm+pt		
Protected Phases		4			8		5	2		1	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4	8		8	2			6		
Actuated Green, G (s)		13.0	13.0		13.0	13.0	31.0	24.0		31.0	24.0	
Effective Green, g (s)		15.0	15.0		15.0	15.0	35.0	26.0		35.0	26.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.25	0.25		0.25	0.25	0.58	0.43		0.58	0.43	
Clearance Time (s)		5.0	5.0		5.0	5.0	5.0	6.0		5.0	6.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)		393	396		423	396	795	783		753	756	
v/s Ratio Prot							c0.05	0.11		0.01	0.07	
v/s Ratio Perm		c0.07	0.02		0.03	0.00	c0.15			0.03		
v/c Ratio		0.27	0.09		0.11	0.01	0.34	0.26		0.07	0.16	
Uniform Delay, d1		18.1	17.3		17.3	16.9	6.1	10.9		5.4	10.4	
Progression Factor		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.05	1.10	
Incremental Delay, d2		1.7	0.4		0.5	0.0	1.1	0.8		0.2	0.4	
Delay (s)		19.8	17.7		17.8	16.9	7.3	11.7		5.8	11.8	
Level of Service		В	В		В	В	А	В		А	В	
Approach Delay (s)		18.6			17.7			9.3			10.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			Α			В	
Intersection Summary												
HCM Average Control Delay			12.3	Н	ICM Leve	of Servi	се		В			
HCM Volume to Capacity ratio			0.31									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			60.0	S	um of los	t time (s)			9.0			
Intersection Capacity Utilization	ı		44.0%	10	CU Level	of Service	е		A			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

25: Harper Avenue & Dow Road 4 ۰. \$ Ť ۴ ŧ Movement Lane Configurations Volume (veh/h) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourth flow rate (veh) WBL NBT NBR SB ♣ 866 Free 0% 0.92 57 18 82 735 8 Stop 0% 0.92 0% 0.92 0.92 0.92 0.92 Hourly flow rate (vph) 9 62 941 20 799 80 Pedestrians Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) px, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC4, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, stage (s) tF (s) None None 1928 951 941 1928 951 6.4 6.2 941 4.1 tF (s) p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 3.5 3.3 86 80 64 315 2.2 88 728 cM capacity (veh/h) <u>Direction, Lane #</u> Volume Total Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Gueue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) WB 1 WB 2 SB 2 NB 1 SB 1 62 0 799 0 9 961 0 89 89 62 315 0 64 20 1700 0 728 0.12 10 1700 0.14 0.20 0.57 0 0.47 19.2 C 69.8 0.0 10.6 0.0 B 1.1 25.4 0.0 Approach LOS D Intersection Summ 1.4 64.5% 15 Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization ICU Level of Service C Analysis Period (min)

WSA

Dow Road Corridor Study

Synchro 7 - Report Page 10

2030 Future PM with Diversion

10/1/2009

Dow Road Corridor Study 2030 Future PM with Diversion 35: Ocean Boulevard & Dow Road 10/1/2009 ٠ ŧ t 5 ۴ € Movement Lane Configurations NBR WBL NBT SBL SB 316 516 1 298 144 Volume (veh/h) 0 97 0 Volume (vervn) Sign Control Grade Peak Hour Factor Hourly flow rate (vph) Pedestrians Stop 0% 0.92 0 Free 0% 0.92 343 0% 0.92 324 0.92 157 0.92 0.92 105 0 Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh) Median type None None Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX, platoon unblocked vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol vC0, unblocked vol 980 343 343 vCu, unblocked vol tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s) tF (s) 980 343 6.4 6.2 343 4.1 3.5 3.3 2.2 p0 queue free % cM capacity (veh/h) 87 1216 100 85 241 699 Direction, Lane # Volume Total WB 1 NB 1 SB 1 SB 2 105 343 157 324 Volume Total Volume Left Volume Right cSH Volume to Capacity Queue Length 95th (ft) Control Delay (s) Lane LOS Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS 0 0 157 Λ 105 0 699 0.15 13 
 0
 0
 0

 1700
 1216
 1700

 0.20
 0.13
 0.19

 0
 11
 0
 11.1 0.0 8.4 0.0 11.1 0.0 2.7 Intersection Summary Average Delay Intersection Capacity Utilization Analysis Period (min) 27 40.6% ICU Level of Service А

WSA

Synchro 7 - Report Page 9

Dow Road Corrido 30: Atlanta Avenue	r Study e & Dow	Road					2030 Future PM with Diversion 10/1/2009
	4	•	Ť	1	1	ţ	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	٧		ĥ			4	
Volume (veh/h)	3	8	592	4	7	774	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	9	643	4	8	841	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage ven)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked	1500	646			649		
vC, connicting volume	1002	040			040		
vC1, stage 1 conti vol							
vCz, stage z com vol	1502	646			648		
tC single (s)	6.4	6.2			4 1		
tC 2 stane (s)	0.4	0.2			4.1		
tE (s)	3.5	33			22		
n0 queue free %	98	98			99		
cM capacity (yeh/h)	133	472			938		
Direction Lone #	WD 1	NID 4	CD 1				
Volumo Total	10	E 49	940				
Volume Loft	12	040	049				
Volume Leit	0	4	0				
	279	1700	038				
Volume to Canacity	0.04	0.38	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	3	0.00	1				
Control Delay (s)	18.5	0.0	0.2				
Lane LOS	C	0.0	A				
Approach Delay (s)	18.5	0.0	0.2				
Approach LOS	С						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		56.3%	IC	U Level of	of Service	В
Analysis Period (min)			15				



421 Fayetteville Street • Suite 1303 • Raleigh • NC • 27601 • (919) 755-0583 www.WilburSmith.com