The mission of the Wilmington MPO is to develop and implement a comprehensive multi-modal transportation plan that supports the existing and future mobility needs and economic vitality of the Wilmington Urban Area. This shall be accomplished by protecting the environment, safe guarding the social equity, improving the quality of life for the citizens of the community, improving the local economy and providing for the safe and efficient mobility throughout the region. This is achieved through the long range transportation planning process which includes a comprehensive, continuous and cooperative approach from citizens and participating members.

Meeting Agenda
Wilmington Urban Area MPO
Transportation Advisory Committee

TO: Transportation Advisory Committee Members
FROM: Mike Kozlosky, Executive Director
DATE: July 16, 2015
SUBJECT: July 22nd meeting

A meeting of the Wilmington Urban Area MPO Transportation Advisory Committee will be held on Wednesday, July 22nd at 3 pm. The meeting will be held in the Lord Spencer Compton Conference Room in downtown Wilmington.

The following is the agenda for the meeting:

1) Call to Order
2) Conflict of Interest Statement
3) Approval of Minutes:
   a. 6/24/15
4) Public Comment Period
5) Presentation
   a. US 17 Business Corridor Study Update Preliminary Findings, Brock LaForty, Parsons Brinkerhoff
6) Regular Agenda
   a. Resolution approving the Final Draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040 Plan
7) Discussion
   a. Prioritization 4.0 Existing Projects, Project Modifications and Deletions
   b. Prioritization 4.0 Interchange/Intersection Projects
   c. Prioritization 4.0 Region B and Division 3 Potential Alternative Criteria
   d. Prioritization 4.0 Local Input Methodology and Point Transfers
   e. Federal Functional Classification Maps
8) Updates
   a. Crossing over the Cape Fear River Work Group
   b. Wilmington MPO
   c. Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority
   d. NCDOT Division
   e. NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch
9) Announcements
   a. WMPO Bike/Ped Committee Meeting-August 20, 2015
10) Next meeting –August 26, 2015
Attachments:

- Minutes 6/24/15 meeting
- Final Draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040 Plan
  http://transportation2040.org/PDFs/Cape%20Fear%20Transportation%202040_070215.pdf
- Resolution Approving the Final Draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040 Plan
- Prioritization 4.0 Schedule
- Prioritization 4.0 Existing Projects, Project Modifications and Deletions E-mail
- Prioritization 4.0 Existing Projects Spreadsheet
- Prioritization 3.0’s Preliminary Projects in Prioritization 4.0 Maps
- Prioritization 4.0 Interchange/Intersection Projects Memo
- Prioritization 4.0 Interchange/Intersection Projects Map
- Interchange and Intersection Designs for use in SPOT
- Prioritization 4.0 Region B and Division 3 Potential Alternative Criteria Memo
- Prioritization 4.0 Local Input Methodology and Point Transfers E-mail
- Prioritization 3.0 WMPO’s Adopted Local Input Methodology
- NCDOT’s Prioritization 3.0 Criteria and Weights
- Approved Federal Functional Classification Maps
- Federal Functional Classification Changes Spreadsheet from NCDOT
- Memo from NCDOT regarding Federal Functional Classification
- Cape Fear River Crossing Update (July)
- Wilmington MPO Project Update (July)
- Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority Update (July)
- NCDOT Project Update (July)
Members Present:
Laura Padgett, Chair, City of Wilmington
Jon Tait, Town of Leland
Joe Breault, Town of Belville
Earl Sheridan, City of Wilmington
Frank Williams, Brunswick County
Eulis Willis, Town of Navassa
Gary Doetsch, Town of Carolina Beach
Hank Miller, Town of Wrightsville Beach
Jonathan Barfield, Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority

Staff Present:
Mike Kozlosky, Executive Director

1. Call to Order
Ms. Padgett called the meeting to order at 3:01pm.

2. Conflict of Interest Reminder
Ms. Padgett asked if any members had a conflict of interest with any items on the meeting agenda. No members reported having a conflict of interest.

3. Approval of Minutes
The minutes for the May 27th meeting were approved unanimously.

4. Public Comment Period
Mr. Andy Koeppel addressed the members regarding Item 7.b. on the agenda. He told the members he would like to encourage them to support the resolution encouraging the City of Wilmington, Wilmington MPO, NCDOT and Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority to develop a Memorandum of Understanding for the development of the future Wilmington Multi-modal Transportation Center. He told members that the transit portion of the project will offer bus passengers an opportunity to wait inside an enclosed facility, rather than wait on the corner at 2nd and Princess Streets to make their connections to other bus routes. Mr. Koeppel noted that the resolution is an importation step in moving the Multi-modal Center project forward.

5. Presentations
   a. Cape Fear Transportation 2040 Plan, Suraiya Rashid
Ms. Rashid told members that the Citizens Advisory Committee reviewed suggested changes from the public input meetings held in April. Ms. Rashid reviewed the final recommendations from the CAC members by mode. Those recommendations include:

Aviation:

Project Change Suggestions
• A-9 through A-11- Pipe Ditches on Runway 6-24; Delete from funded list and map because the projects is already funded

**Narrative Change Suggestions**
• Policy #2 - Access from Wilmington Urban Area to Raleigh Area via mass transit. Remove from Aviation Chapter, address in Mass Transit Chapter

**Bike/Ped:**

**Project Change Suggestions**
• Remove from funded list:
  - BP 15 – Market St. from Kerr Ave to Birchwood Dr.
  - BP 32 – Isabelle Holmes Bridge from Hwy 421 to Front St.
  - BP 39 – Independence Blvd. from Park Ave. to Wrightsville Ave.
  - BP 56 – Airlie Rd. from Military Cutoff Rd. to Eastwood Rd.

• Add to funded list:
  - BP 98 – Jenkins Rd. from US17 to St. Johns Church Rd.
  - BP 115 – St. Johns Church Rd. from Jenkins Rd. to terminus
  - BP 138 – Master Ln. from Doral Dr. to Sloop Point Loop Rd.
  - BP 75 – Village Rd. NE from Wayne Rd to Old Mill Rd.
  - BP 108 – Market St. from Bayshore Dr. to Marsh Oaks Dr.
  - BP94 – Hoggard/Hurst & College Road

**Narrative Change Suggestions**
• None

**Ferry and Water Transportation:**

**Project Change Suggestions (these are unfunded projects)**
• F-6 - Carolina Beach Mooring facility
• F-10 – Mooring Facility at Belville

**Narrative Change Suggestions**
• None

**Freight/Rail:**

**Project Change Suggestions**
• None

**Narrative Change Suggestions**
• Re-order objective B-7 – support container movements to the NC Ports of Wilmington
• Add an objective and a policy to study the relocation of the rail line between the NC Port of Wilmington and Davis Yard

**Mass Transit:**

**Project Change Suggestions**
• None

**Narrative Change Suggestions**
• Insert text on “Coordination with other Mass Transportation Plans”
• Insert text on “Capital Projects outlined in Cape Fear Transportation 2040
• Clarify funding of fleet replacement
• Update description of WAVE Transit services
• Insert text clarifying difficulties with serving choice ridership with existing population density patterns
• Aviation Policy #2 - Access from Wilmington Urban Area to Raleigh Area via mass transit. Remove from Aviation Chapter, address in Mass Transit Chapter

Roadway Projects:
Project Change Suggestions
• R-55 Cape Fear River Crossing Funding amount
• Add R-92 Magnolia Drive Extension

Narrative Change Suggestions
• Capital funding available adjustment

Following the review of the suggested changes, Ms. Rashid told member the draft plan will come back to the Board at the July 22nd meeting for a the Public Hearing. She said staff will presenting the draft plan to the member jurisdictions for adopting in August and September.

b. Surface Transportation Program-Direct Attributable (STP-DA) and Transportation Alternatives Program-Direct Attributable (TAP-DA) 2016 Submittal Guides and Processes, Corey Knight
Mr. Knight reviewed the proposed timeline for the 2016 application submittal processes. He said the most significant change is the addition of a mandatory project management training.

Mr. Knight told members that staff is requesting that the Local Government Agencies (LGA) communicate their interest in applying for DA funds during the month of July. He said the mandatory Project Management Training will take place in August. He stated that member entities will be ineligible to apply for STP-DA and TAP-DA funding for that year if their staff does not attend the project management training.

Mr. Knight said staff will issue the official call for projects in September. Pre-application meetings will be held with LGAs in October and final applications are due in November. He noted that project funding recommendations will be released in January 2016.

Mr. Knight briefly reviewed the LGA responsibilities in managing STP-DA and TAP-DA projects. He reminded members that information will be provided in detail at the project management training in August.

6. Consent Agenda
   a. Resolution supporting the Section 5310 Program Management Plan
   b. Resolution adopting the 2016 STP-DA Submittal Guide and Process
   c. Resolution adopting the 2016 TAP-DA Submittal Guide and Process

Mr. Barfield made the motion to approve the items on the consent agenda. Dr. Sheridan seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.
7. Regular Agenda

a. Resolution adopting the 2016-2025 State/Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program

Mr. Kozlosky told members that NCDOT released the draft 2016-2025 State/Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program on December 4, 2014. The MPO conducted a Public Hearing on the draft program. He noted that MPOs are mutually required to adopt the State/Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program along with NCDOT. Years 1-3 will serve as the project selection document for the MPO and our urban area boundary.

Mr. Breault made the motion to adopt the 2016-2025 State/Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program. Mr. Williams seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

b. Resolution encouraging the City of Wilmington, Wilmington MPO, NCDOT and Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority to develop a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) for the development of the future Wilmington Multi-modal Transportation Center

Ms. Padgett told members that this is a long-standing project. Ten years later, NCDOT owns all of the project site to build a regional multi-modal center. The agenda item relates only to the transit portion and the MOU will lay out how the entities interact in terms of bringing the project to fruition.

Ms. Padgett made the motion to adopt the resolution encouraging the City of Wilmington, Wilmington MPO, North Carolina Department of Transportation and Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority to develop a MOU for the development of the transit portion of the future Wilmington Multi-modal Transportation Center. Mr. Williams seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

c. Amendment to the Meeting Calendar for a July Meeting (July 22nd)

Mr. Kozlosky told members that staff is proposing to add a meeting to the calendar for July 22nd.

Mr. Miller made the motion to add July 22nd to the TAC meeting calendar. Mr. Willis seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

8. Updates

Project updates for the Crossing over the Cape Fear River Work Group, Wilmington MPO, CFPTA and NCDOT Division are included in the agenda packet.

11. Adjournment

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 3:55pm

Respectfully submitted

Mike Kozlosky
Executive Director
Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

THE ABOVE MINUTES ARE NOT A VERBATIM RECORD OF THE PROCEEDINGS.
THE ENTIRE PROCEEDINGS ARE RECORDER ON A COMPACT DISC AS PART OF THIS RECORD.
WILMINGTON URBAN AREA METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE FINAL DRAFT OF THE CAPE FEAR TRANSPORTATION 2040 PLAN

WHEREAS, the Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization provides transportation planning services for the City of Wilmington, Town of Carolina Beach, Town of Kure Beach, Town of Wrightsville Beach, Town of Belville, Town of Leland, Town of Navassa, New Hanover County, Brunswick County, Pender County, Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority and the North Carolina Board of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the development and maintenance of a long-range or metropolitan transportation plan is a federal requirement of all Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) designated by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA); and

WHEREAS, on December 15, 2010 the Wilmington MPO adopted the fiscally constrained Cape Fear Commutes 2035 Transportation Plan to serve as the MPO’s long range transportation plan; and

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Plan must be updated every five years; and

WHEREAS, the plan shall "include both long-range and short-range program strategies/actions that lead to the development of an integrated intermodal transportation system that facilitates the efficient movement of people and goods;" and

WHEREAS, the Wilmington MPO is in the process of finalizing Cape Fear Transportation 2040 to meet the FHWA requirement; and

WHEREAS, a key component of the transportation planning process is public review and comment; and

WHEREAS, a public review and comment period was conducted during a publicized comment period resulting in suggested modifications to the draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040; and

WHEREAS, with the assistance of subcommittees of subject matter experts, the Citizens Advisory Committee has developed a final draft of Cape Fear Transportation 2040.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved by the Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization’s Transportation Advisory Committee hereby approves the final draft of Cape Fear Transportation 2040.

NOW THEREFORE ALSO, be it resolved that Cape Fear Transportation 2040 plan will be considered by the Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Advisory Committee for formal adoption in December 2015.

ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Transportation Advisory Committee on July 22, 2015.

________________________________________
Laura Padgett, Chair
Transportation Advisory Committee

________________________________________
Mike Kozlosky, Secretary
# Prioritization 4.0 Schedule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>Jul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOT Reviews and Approves P4.0 Criteria/Weights</td>
<td>MPOs, RPOS, Divisions Review Existing Projects, Prepare New Submittals and Email Project Modifications and anticipated Intersection/Interchange Projects to SPOT (due Sept 1st)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPOs, RPOS, Divisions Submit New Candidate Projects</td>
<td>SPOT Reviews and Calculates Quant. Scores All Projects (Existing + New). Includes review of all data &amp; costs (by MPOs, RPOS, Divisions, and DOT staff)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP Unit Programs Statewide Mobility Projects</td>
<td>MPOs, RPOS, Divisions Assign Regional Impact Local Input Points (with option to assign Division Needs Local Input Points)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT Finalizes Regional Impact Scores and TIP Unit Programs Regional Impact Projects</td>
<td>MPOs, RPOS, Divisions Assign Division Needs Local Input Points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT Finalizes Division Needs Scores and TIP Unit Programs Division Needs Projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Dates:**
- **September 1, 2015** – Project Modifications and anticipated Intersection/Interchange projects due
- **October 1, 2015** – Alternate Criteria for Regional Impact and Division Needs scoring due
- **October 2015** – SPOT Online available for Entering Projects for 1 month (exact date tbd)
- **End of March 2016** – Quantitative Scores and Draft list of Programmed Statewide Mobility Projects released
- **April 1, 2016** – Regional Impact Local Input Point window opens for 2 months; Deadline for Approval of Local Input Point Assignment Methodologies
- **End of July 2016** – Draft list of Programmed Regional Impact Projects released
- **August 1, 2016** – Division Needs Local Input Point window opens for 2 months
- **October 2016** – Final P4.0 Scores released

**Notes:**
- Blue Box = Approval of P4.0 Scoring
- Yellow Box = MPO/RPO/Division Input
- Green Box = NCDOT Work Tasks

---

**June 4, 2015**
This email contains three important project related items for P4.0:

- P4.0 Existing Projects
- Project Modifications
- Project Deletions

**P4.0 Existing Projects**

Attached is the list of P3.0 projects (all modes) noted as to whether they are (highlighted in column BL):

1. A committed project (programmed for Right-of-Way or Construction through 2020) – these will NOT be evaluated in P4.0 as the Department is committed to implementing these projects.
2. An existing project that will automatically be evaluated in P4.0 – these will appear as submitted in SPOT Online.
3. A project that will be removed from the database for P4.0 – these will be placed in the “holding tank” in SPOT Online for MPOs, RPOs, or Divisions to submit as a “new” project in P4.0 if desired (if not submitted in P4.0, these projects will be permanently deleted).
4. A project that will be permanently deleted from the database, primarily due to new...
A project is defined as an existing project for P4.0 as long as it meets one or more of the following:

- The project is programmed in the STIP for Right-of-Way or Construction, but not already a committed project
- The project is a sibling of a programmed project (e.g. Section A is programmed, while Section B is post year)
- The project has a completed NEPA document (Approved CE, EA/FONSI, Final EIS, ROD or state versions of these documents)
- The project was actively being worked on as of December 2014, but was stopped due to release of the Draft STIP
- The project received any amount of local input points in P3.0

Please review the projects in your area (use column AU to filter by Division, column AV to filter by MPO/RPO). If you believe a project should be categorized differently, please let us know and we’ll take a look at it. For reference, column BM indicates the criteria the project meets for being considered an existing project for P4.0 (if the project meets multiple criteria, only one is listed). Column BN lists any additional comments specific to the project. This spreadsheet also reflects the latest STIP schedule and any updated TIP numbers. Note that the projects are sorted by SPOT ID.

**Project Modifications**

If your organization desires to modify a P4.0 Existing Project, please let us know by September 1, 2015. Project modifications include the following:

- Splitting the project into multiple segments for evaluation and funding – this includes segmenting individual intersections or interchanges
- Combining multiple segments or intersections/interchanges
- Changing the length of the project (within a reasonable distance)
- Changing the scope of the project

Please send any project modifications to me (dswasserman@ncdot.gov) and copy Sarah Lee (selee@ncdot.gov). In the email, just simply list the change, but be sure to include the following fields:

- SPOT ID
- Route
- From/Cross Street
- To
- Description
Proposed Change

**Project Deletions**
If your organization desires to delete a P4.0 Existing Project and receive an extra new submittal (for the same mode as deleted project), please let us know by **October 1, 2015**.

Please remember that for both Project Modifications and Project Deletions, both the MPO(s)/RPO(s) and Division(s) must be in agreement with the modification or deletion.

Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact Don Voelker, Sarah Lee, or myself.

David

----
David S. Wasserman, P.E.
NCDOT Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation
1501 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1501
(919) 707-4743
http://ncdot.gov/strategictransportationinvestments/

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.
### Prioritization 4.0 Existing Projects

**Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>K-12</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bike &amp; Ped</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greenways</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sustainability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Completed NEPA

- P3.0 Local
  - Approved CE
  - Input

#### P4.0 Project Status for P4.0 Comments

- EA/FONSI, Final
  - NEPA

#### Final STIP Right-of-Way Schedule

- Dec. 31, 2014

#### MOBILITY 16 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- ILM - Wilmington
  - constructs and pipe a large drainage ditch in the future FBO #2 location
  - The project is needed to get the second FBO site ready for an RFP
  - The project would design and construct an electrical vault in the future FBO #2 location

#### MOBILITY 17 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road

#### MOBILITY 18 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard

#### MOBILITY 19 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard

#### MOBILITY 20 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard

#### MOBILITY 21 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard

#### MOBILITY 22 Committed Project Programmed and Committed (Yrs 1-5)

- Wilmington Urban Area
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
  - constructs a sidewalk on SR1140 Burnett Boulevard from US117 Shipyard Boulevard to NC133 Castle Hayne Road
  - constructs a sidewalk on US76 Wooster Drive from Holly Tree Road to Andrews Road
  - constructs a multi-use path from SR1140 Burnett Boulevard to US117 Shipyard Boulevard
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Category</th>
<th>TIP#</th>
<th>Route/Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specific Improvement Type</th>
<th>All Divisions</th>
<th>All MPO/RPOs</th>
<th>All Counties</th>
<th>Start/Stop Funding Category</th>
<th>Final ERP Right of Way Schedule</th>
<th>Final ERP Construction Schedule</th>
<th>PEI Project</th>
<th>Status of PEI Program</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bike Lane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenway/Sidepath</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(pc)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Route</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Existing Project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feel Safe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Be Removed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Be Replaced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit to Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**June 30, 2015**

**Status of All Projects:**
- PEI Project: Projects included in the Project Early Implementation (PEI) program.
- Status of PEI Program: Projects included in the Project Early Implementation (PEI) program.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPOT ID</th>
<th>Miles</th>
<th>Project Category</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Route/Artery</th>
<th>Room #/GJE</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Specific Improvement Type</th>
<th>All ESUs</th>
<th>All MPO/MDUs</th>
<th>All Counties</th>
<th>State/FY/Year Funding Category</th>
<th>Final State/FY/Year Funding Schedule</th>
<th>Final State/FY/Construction Schedule</th>
<th>PA Project</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14H1002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1004</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1005</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1010</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1011</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14H1012</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Right way</td>
<td>Cross LL 1504</td>
<td>Nue Fuece</td>
<td>3438/4011</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Construct a Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>5 - Construct Roadway on New Location</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>MPO-Funded</td>
<td>New Hanover</td>
<td>To be Removed</td>
<td>Planning Active as of Dec 31, 2014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPOT ID</td>
<td>Mange</td>
<td>Project Category</td>
<td>TW</td>
<td>Route (Family Name)</td>
<td>Room/Class Shded</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Specific Improvement Type</td>
<td>All EO Values</td>
<td>All MPO/MMPOs</td>
<td>All Counties</td>
<td>Staff STOP Funding Category</td>
<td>Final STIP Final May Schedule</td>
<td>Final STIP Construction Schedule</td>
<td>PA Project?</td>
<td>Status of PA</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H414206</td>
<td>High way</td>
<td>P3.0 Local Draft STIP</td>
<td>7-672</td>
<td>US-17 Business-Lincoln</td>
<td>College Road</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Construct a super-street design</td>
<td>1 - Upgrade interchange to accommodate</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NC Harbor</td>
<td>NC-HARBOR</td>
<td>Ongoing Priority</td>
<td>#5417</td>
<td>25 22 06</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H414206</td>
<td>High way</td>
<td>P3.0 Local Draft STIP</td>
<td>7-671</td>
<td>US-17 South/US-17 Business</td>
<td>College Road</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Construct a super-street design</td>
<td>1 - Upgrade interchange to accommodate</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NC Harbor</td>
<td>NC-HARBOR</td>
<td>Ongoing Priority</td>
<td>#5417</td>
<td>25 22 06</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H414203</td>
<td>High way</td>
<td>Regional Transit</td>
<td>5 TC</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>College Road</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Improve safety at intersection of US-17 &amp; Indian River Road</td>
<td>1 - Improve intersection</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NC Harbor</td>
<td>NC-HARBOR</td>
<td>Ongoing Priority</td>
<td>#5417</td>
<td>25 22 06</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H414204</td>
<td>High way</td>
<td>Regional Transit</td>
<td>5 TC</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>College Road</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Improve safety at intersection of US-17 &amp; Indian River Road</td>
<td>1 - Improve intersection</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NC Harbor</td>
<td>NC-HARBOR</td>
<td>Ongoing Priority</td>
<td>#5417</td>
<td>25 22 06</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T20103</td>
<td>Transit</td>
<td>Transit needs</td>
<td>5 TC</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>College Road</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Improve safety at intersection of US-17 &amp; Indian River Road</td>
<td>1 - Improve intersection</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>NC Harbor</td>
<td>NC-HARBOR</td>
<td>Ongoing Priority</td>
<td>#5417</td>
<td>25 22 06</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>22 22 22 22</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
P 3.0 Preliminary Project Status in P 4.0

NOTE: This map was created for the 7/22/15 WMPO TAC Meeting for reference purposes only and may not depict final list of P 4.0 submittals. Submittals will not be finalized until October 2015.
P 3.0 Preliminary Project Status in P 4.0

NOTE: This map was created for the 7/22/15 WMPO TAC Meeting for reference purposes only and may not depict final list of P 4.0 submittals. Submittals will not be finalized until October 2015.
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MEMORANDUM

TO: TAC Members

FROM: Suraiya Rashid, Senior Transportation Planner

DATE: July 22, 2015

SUBJECT: WMPO Prioritization 4.0 Potential Submittals: Interchange, Intersection, Superstreets, and Operational Projects

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) released a request on June 4, 2015 that each MPO/RPO and Division provide a list of interchange, intersection, superstreet and operational projects that are anticipated to be submitted for their upcoming Prioritization 4.0 (P 4.0) process. A deadline for these submittals is September 1, 2015. While the official project submittal window for NCDOT’s P 4.0 process will not begin until October 2015, SPOT has requested that potential projects of these types be submitted so as to allow the NCDOT Congestion Management team lead time in creating and/or updating microsimulations used to calculate travel time savings scoring components. No project will be officially considered “submitted”, nor will a project be precluded from submittal, until it is formally submitted during the official P 4.0 submittal window.

Wilmington MPO staff have reviewed the list of projects for anticipated funding in the draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040 document as well as those submitted in NCDOT’s Prioritization 3.0 process. Below please find the full list of pertinent projects under consideration:

- R-13 US117/NC132/College and US76/Oleander Intersection
- R-17 Carolina Beach Road and College Road Flyovers
- R-18 Isabel Holmes Bridge Flyovers
- R-26 Wrightsville Avenue and Wallace Avenue Roundabout
- R-27 Oleander Drive and Pine Grove Intersection
- R-39 Country Club/Doral Drive and Sloop Point Loop Road
- R-42 NC133/Castle Hayne Road and 23rd Street Roundabout
- R-43 Front Street & Carolina Beach Road Intersection
- R-44 Old Fayetteville Road Interchange
- R-45 New Centre Drive and Market Street Intersection
- R-46 Greenville Avenue and Oleander Drive Intersection
- R-48 CBR & Shipyard Blvd Intersection (wb)
- R-51 Shipyard Boulevard Speed Sensors and Warning activation at NC Port of Wilmington
- R-54 Market Street and Martin Luther King Jr. Pkwy Flyovers
- R-112* Blue Clay Road Interchange
- R-109* NC210 and Island Creek Road Intersection
- R-115* US17BUS/ and US17 Intersection
- R-12 ** US17 Superstreet
- R-16** US74/Eastwood Road & Military Cutoff Road
- R-19** US117/NC132/College and Martin Luther King Jr. Pkwy Intersection
- R-20** Kerr Avenue and Martin Luther King Jr Pkwy Intersection

* Projects not currently listed in the draft Cape Fear Transportation 2040 plan as anticipated funded projects.
** Projects currently listed in P 4.0 database as “Committed Project” programmed and committed in years 1-5 of the 2015-2025 STIP.
Anticipated Intersection/Interchange Projects for P 4.0

NOTE: This map was created for the 7/22/15 WMPO TAC Meeting for reference purposes only and may not depict final list of intersection/interchange or other P 4.0 submittals. Submittals will not be finalized until October 2015.
This document contains examples of 31 different interchange and intersection designs with for use in SPOT On!ine, NCDOT’s GIS-based Web Application for providing automated, near real-time prioritization scores and project costs.

The designs shown provide a variety of configurations for users to choose from when entering an existing or proposed (project) interchange or intersection type for a new project in the application. The designs selected are used to calculate quantitative scores for the Prioritization process, as well as calculate a planning-level cost estimate for the project.

The interchange and intersections designs used in SPOT On!ine were developed by a team from the Strategic Prioritization Office (SPOT), Roadway Design Unit, Preliminary Estimates Section, and the Transportation Planning Branch. Please contact the Strategic Prioritization Office with any questions.
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MEMORANDUM

TO: TAC Members

FROM: Suraiya Rashid, Senior Transportation Planner

DATE: July 22, 2015

SUBJECT: WMPO Prioritization 4.0 Potential Alternative Criteria (Region B and Division 3)

The North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation (SPOT) released a tentative Prioritization 4.0 (P 4.0) schedule on June 8, 2015 to include a deadline of October 1, 2015 for submitting agreed-upon alternative criteria for the evaluation of Regional Impact and Division Needs projects.

In consultation with MPOs, RPOs and the NCDOT Division Offices, it is anticipated that the following criteria weights may better serve local Regional Impact projects for evaluation purposes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>P 4.0 Default</th>
<th>Region B Anticipated Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit-Cost</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility/Connectivity</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In consultation with MPOs, RPOs and the NCDOT Division Office, it is anticipated that the following criteria weights may better serve local Division Needs projects for evaluation purposes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>P 4.0 Default</th>
<th>Division 3 Anticipated Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit-Cost</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestion</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility/Connectivity</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Upon direction from the TAC, staff proposes to create a resolution of support for the above alternate criteria for the board’s consideration at the August 26th, 2015 meeting.
This e-mail is being sent to the Department’s key contacts in each MPO/RPO associated with the P4.0 Strategic Prioritization Process. The purpose of the e-mail is to follow-up on a request by the P4.0 Workgroup to remind each of you of a couple of key upcoming items.

**MPO/RPO Local Input Methodologies.**

The Department will follow a process similar to P3.0 approvals in that we request each MPO and RPO to submit their local input methodology to the Department for approval. As you know Session Law 2012-84 requires the Department to approve the MPO and RPO local input methodologies. If you are NOT making any changes to your methodology, simply email me to let me know and a resubmission of your methodology is not needed. Otherwise, please be guided by the following:

- Describe the MPO/RPO ranking process for all modes of transportation that identifies at least one quantitative and one qualitative criteria to be used in the scoring process. These criteria should be understandable to the public. In other words, the measures and the percentages assigned to each measure should be defined, described, and outlined in such a way that the public can follow how project points will be assigned.

- Describe how your organization intends to engage and solicit public input on your methodology: i.e., the rationale behind the preliminary assignment of points; posting of this approach on a public website; holding a public hearing to receive comments on the preliminary assignment; and/or how your organization followed its public input policies to adhere to this requirement. At least one public review period and public meeting/hearing should be included in the process. This review period needs to allow sufficient time for consideration of any public comments prior to the TCC/TAC making the final point assignment.

- Describe how your Technical Coordinating and Transportation Advisory Committees (TCC/TAC) will consider the input of public comments on the preliminary assignment of points as they develop and ultimately approve the final point assignment.

- Describe how the final local point assignment (approved by your TAC) will be disseminated and shared with the public.

- The methodology needs to be approved by the TAC.
The Department will have an internal review committee to provide conditional approval of any revised MPO or RPO local input methodology. If you recall, conditional approval means the committee considers the methodology to satisfy the above and you can proceed forward. The Department will then issue final approval pending any public and TAC input on the MPO or RPO methodology. SPOT has agreed to allow one MPO and one RPO representative on this internal group as advisory members.

The Chief Engineer will also have an advisory representative on the committee. This committee will then have the opportunity to review and comment on the Division Engineers’ local input methodologies. The Chief Engineer will approve the Division Engineer’s methodologies. I have cc’d the Chief Engineer on this e-mail as I know they are working on updating their local input methodologies.

**The deadline for having your methodology conditionally approved is April 1, 2016 since that is the opening of the window for local input points for the Regional Impact projects.** If no acceptable methodology is in place at that time, no local input points can be accepted.

**Point Transfers During Local Input Periods**

Again, similar to the P3.0 process, point transfers between MPOs and RPOs, or between Division Engineers will be permitted with the condition that all parties must agree to transfer points and the receiving party agrees to accept the points as designated by the initiating party. A simple e-mail from key staff to SPOT indicating the desire to transfer points starts the process. SPOT will then reply to all parties asking for concurrence. Providing concurrence is achieved, SPOT will then adjust the local input points in SPOT Online accordingly.

Please let David Wasserman (919) 707-4743, Sarah Lee (919) 707-4742, or myself know if you have any questions. Look forward to hearing from each of you.

Thanks,
Don Voelker
Director, Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation
North Carolina Department of Transportation
Raleigh, NC
(919) 707-4740

---

Email correspondence to and from this sender is subject to the N.C. Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties.
MEMORANDUM

TO: TAC Members

FROM: Mike Kozlosky, Executive Director

DATE: January 23, 2014

SUBJECT: Wilmington MPO Local Input Methodology Process

The Strategic Transportation Investments is a new formula to determine how the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), in partnership with local governments, will fund and prioritize transportation projects in the state of North Carolina. Under this new formula, all modes will compete for the same funding. This means that roadway projects will compete with ferry projects which will compete with public transportation projects, etc. The Strategic Transportation Investments places projects into three categories: Statewide, Regional and Division levels. Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), Rural Planning Organizations (RPOs) and Division Engineers will assign local input points to projects in the Regional and Division levels. MPOs and RPOs are required to develop methodology for the assignment of local input points and NCDOT must approve this methodology.

The following methodology has been developed by the Wilmington MPO for the purposes of determining transportation funding priorities for Prioritization 3.0. This methodology is intended to ensure a process that is both data-driven and responsive to local needs. The methodology has been developed to meet the requirements of Session Law 2012-84 (Senate Bill 890), which requires that MPOs and RPOs have a process including both quantitative and qualitative elements for determining project prioritization.

The MPO’s participation in the Strategic Transportation Investments consists of the following steps: (1) selection of projects for consideration in the Statewide, Regional and Division levels; (2) quantitative scoring of submitted projects by SPOT; (3) develop draft qualitative scoring of projects and ranking; (4) public involvement process and (5) finalize project scoring and ranking.

Each of the three categories indentified under the new Strategic Transportation Investments have their own criteria:

**Statewide Level**
- Projects of statewide significance will receive 40% of the available revenue
- The project selection process will be 100% data-driven/quantitative scoring
Regional Level

- Projects of regional significance will receive 30% of the available revenue based on regional population. Projects on this level compete within specific regions made up of two NCDOT Divisions. The Wilmington MPO is located in Region B.
- Data/quantitative scoring will comprise 70% of the decision-making process and local rankings will comprise of the remaining 30%

Division Level

- Projects that address local concerns such as safety, congestion and connectivity will receive 30% of the available revenue shared equally over NCDOT’s 14 Transportation Divisions. The Wilmington MPO is located in NCDOT Division 3.
- The department will choose projects based 50% on data and 50% on local rankings.

The Strategic Prioritization Office of Transportation “SPOT” will be developing quantitative scores for all projects based on the adopted methodology. Default criteria were recommended by the Prioritization 3.0 work group and agreed to by NCDOT to quantitatively score projects across all modes. However, the MPOs, RPOs and the NCDOT’s Division Engineers were given flexibility to develop their own highway criteria and formulas for the quantitative evaluation and project scoring in the Regional Projects and Division Projects. SPOT required that any deviation from the adopted criteria had to be unanimously approved by each MPO and RPO in the region and/or division by July 1, 2013. A revised set of criteria was approved unanimously by the members of Region B and Division 3. Below please find these revised criteria:

Regional Projects Evaluation Criteria:
Multi-modal 25%
Safety 25%
Benefit-Cost- 20%
Local Input- 30%

Division Projects Evaluation Criteria
Safety 20%
Congestion 20%
Multi-modal 10%
Local Input- 50%

The aforementioned percentages and weights are used for the roadway mode only and all non-roadway projects will utilize the same criteria statewide.

Wilmington MPO Local Input Methodology Process

While developing the adopted Cape Fear Commutes2035 Long Range Transportation Plan, the Wilmington MPO’s TAC adopted a prioritization process for evaluating projects identified in the plan. Projects were evaluated and scored based on their performance on different evaluating criteria. Roadway projects had different evaluating criteria based on the type of problem they addressed (congestion mitigation, quality of life, and safety). The Congestion Management projects were evaluated based on specific factors that included Efficient, Safe, Multi-modal, Appropriate, Integrated and Responsible factors. The Quality of Life projects were scored based on the average daily traffic (ADT), an ugly factor, existing or planned transit service, gateway to the region, identified in an adopted plan and if the project was within an incorporated municipality. Safety projects were scored based on the crash rate from 2005-2009. The prioritization process for the Cape Fear Commutes 2035 Transportation Plan was used as a
starting point for guiding the development of the Wilmington MPO’s local methodology for Prioritization 3.0.

The MPO has developed an objective, matrix-based prioritization process for the review of projects submitted to SPOT for consideration in Prioritization 3.0. The MPO proposes to utilize a Local Preference, SPOT Scoring, Consistency with Plans and Status of the Project in the Development as evaluating criteria in assigning these local input points. The WMPO will use the quantitative scores from the SPOT office as part of the prioritization process as well as to guide the TAC in the assignment of local preference points.

**Local Preference** - The Local Preference is the priority of the project as ranked by the TCC and TAC. The TAC will review and approve a final prioritized list of projects for submission to SPOT assigned by level. These projects will be grouped into High, Medium and Low categories based on approval by the TAC. There are approximately 100 projects to be considered during the evaluation process. A matrix will be used to develop the draft Local Preference points. Below please find an example of this matrix:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project X</th>
<th>Reduces Mean Travel Time</th>
<th>Reduces Conflict Points</th>
<th>Includes Multi-modal Accommodations</th>
<th>Adopted Local Support</th>
<th>Prioritization 2.0 Points</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data (Yes/No)</td>
<td>(Yes/No)</td>
<td>(Yes/No)</td>
<td>Points</td>
<td>Points</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Yes= 100 points
*No = 0 points

**Reduce Mean Travel Time** - is the output data from SPOT’s congestion score for each project.

**Reduces Conflict Points** - the project reduces the number of conflict points or implements access management strategies. This information will be derived from the TAC’s adopted Problem Statement for the project and/or adopted Long Range Transportation Plan.

**Includes Multi-modal Accommodations** - the project also includes a bicycle, pedestrian or public transportation component. This information will be derived from the TAC’s adopted Problem Statement for the project and/or adopted Long Range Transportation Plan.
**Adopted Local Support**- the local municipality and/or county has adopted a resolution supporting the project. The resolution should specify facts / figures which justify the need for the project.

**Prioritization 2.0 Points**- the amount of local input points the project received during the Prioritization 2.0

The High priority projects will be the Top 20 projects as prioritized by the TAC, the Medium priority projects will be projects 21-50 and the Low priority projects will be projects 51 through the remainder of the list. Each project will be evaluated on a sliding scale.

- High priority projects receiving- 50 points
- Medium priority projects receiving- 30 points
- Low priority projects receiving- 10 points

**SPOT 3.0 Score**- The SPOT 3.0 score is the score provided by SPOT for each project. Each project will be scored by the MPO on a sliding scale from 20 to 1 based on the SPOT score.

**Consistency with Plans**- This criterion is used to determine if the proposed project is in an adopted plan. Each project will be evaluated on a sliding scale with the points assigned as follows:

- Projects included in the adopted Long Range Transportation Plan- 20 points
- Projects included in Plans adopted by the MPO- 10 points
- Projects that are adopted in plans by the member jurisdictions but not adopted by the MPO- 5 points

**Status of the Project in Development**- This criterion will identify which phase the project is in the development of the project. Each project will be evaluated on a sliding scale with the criteria as outlined below:

- Projects that are in the right-of-way acquisition or property already acquired by the State of North Carolina- 10 points
- Projects that are in the Design phase- 5 points
- Projects that are in the Planning phase- 3 points

Under this new formula, all modes will compete against each other for funding. Evaluation criteria needed to be developed for roadway, public transportation, aviation, ferry, rail, bicycle and pedestrian projects. Wilmington MPO staff recommends the following criteria and percentages for the evaluation of projects at the Regional and Division levels in Prioritization 3.0: These proposed criteria will be utilized across all modes of transportation with each project able to achieve up to 100 points per each subcriteria in an effort to normalize the project rankings and scores.

**Roadway Projects (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

**Bicycle and Pedestrian Projects (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

**Public Transportation (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

**Aviation Projects (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

**Ferry Projects (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

**Rail Projects (100 points)**
Local Preference (50%)
SPOT Score (20%)
Consistency with Plans (20%)
Status of the Project in Development (10%)

Each MPO, RPO and NCDOT Division Engineer is allowed to assign local input points that will be used by NCDOT in ranking and scoring each project. The Wilmington MPO will be able to assign up to 1,500 local input points for each (1500 for Regional Impact and 1500 for Division Needs categories). The maximum number of points that can be assigned to a project is 100 points. The assignment of local input points will be based on a mathematical sum of the points assigned during the Local Input Methodology Process.

Example:

Project: Project X

Local Preference- Project scores in the Top 20= 50 points
SPOT Score Translated= 20 points
Project Consistency with Plans- Project is in LRTP= 20 points
Status of Project in Development- Project right of way acquisition is complete= 10 points
Total 100 points

The top scoring projects will receive the highest number of points from the Wilmington MPO. The Local Input Methodology shall serve as a guide for the TAC to assign points to projects located within the Wilmington MPO’s planning jurisdiction and the TAC will have the flexibility to assign points as the Committee desires. However deviation from the Local Input Methodology shall require the
justification/rationale to be made during a public meeting of the TAC as advertised by the open meetings laws and included with the sharing of the points with the public through the public outreach effort.

The Wilmington MPO’s TCC and TAC will develop a “draft” project ranking and scoring to assign points based on the aforementioned criteria. The Wilmington MPO will then conduct a public outreach effort. Following the public outreach effort, the MPO will review with the TCC and TAC any comments received during the public participation process. No additional projects will be submitted based on the public participation effort. The TAC will then adopt a Final Project Ranking and Scoring for the Wilmington MPO. This information will then be submitted to the SPOT office.

Public Involvement Process

The Wilmington MPO adopted the most recent Public Involvement Policy on September 9, 2009. The Public Involvement Policy is an umbrella policy encompassing the plans and programs of the Wilmington MPO’s transportation planning process. This policy serves as an integral part of the MPO’s planning efforts. The Wilmington MPO will utilize this adopted policy to solicit comments on the “draft” Project Ranking and Scoring. These outreach efforts will include regular public input opportunities at TAC meetings, the draft project ranking and scoring will also be posted on the MPO’s website and the MPO will solicit public comments. Following the closing of the public participation process, staff will review any comments received with the TCC and TAC. All public comments received and all final point assignments and any justification/rationale for point assignment which deviates from this Local Methodology will be placed on the Wilmington MPO’s website at www.wmpo.org.

Prioritization Process Timeline: 2013-2014

- TAC Approves all projects for submittal December 2013
- TAC Reviews “DRAFT” Local Input Methodology January 2014
- Submit Projects to NCDOT February 2014
- TAC Adopts Local Input Methodology February 2014
- NCDOT Scores for New and Existing Projects May 2014
- TAC Approves “DRAFT” Project Ranking and Scoring May 2014
- Conduct MPO Public Input Process May 2014
- TAC Approves Final Project Ranking and Scoring June 2014
- Submit Scored Projects to NCDOT July 2014
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
<th>Volume to capacity between 0.51 and 0.75</th>
<th>Volume to capacity between 0.76 and 0.9</th>
<th>Volume to capacity between 0.91 and 1.0</th>
<th>Volume to Capacity over 1.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Congestion (Weight)</td>
<td>Volume to capacity less than 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Score (Weight)</td>
<td>SPOT safety points less than 30</td>
<td>SPOT safety points between 31-50</td>
<td>SPOT safety points between 51-65</td>
<td>SPOT safety points greater than 66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Effectiveness (Weight)</td>
<td>Cost per Vehicle/Equivalent greater than $1500 per mile</td>
<td>Cost per Vehicle/Equivalent between $1000-$1500 per mile</td>
<td>Cost per Vehicle/Equivalent between $500-$999 per mile</td>
<td>Cost per Vehicle/Equivalent less than $499 per Mile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Volume (Weight)</td>
<td>Less than 500 trucks/Equivalent per day</td>
<td>Between 500-1000 trucks/Equivalent per day</td>
<td>More than 1000 trucks/Equivalent per day</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Plan Consistency (Weight)</td>
<td>Project is not in CTP of TP</td>
<td>Project is in CTP or TP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corridor Continuity (Weight)</td>
<td>Project does not complete of continue corridor improvement</td>
<td>Project does continue corridor improvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal Accommodations (Weight)</td>
<td>Project does not include ped/bike/transit facilities</td>
<td>Project does include ped/bike/transit facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Feasibility (Weight)</td>
<td>Significant ROW, EJ or environmental concerns</td>
<td>Minimal ROW, EJ or environmental concerns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Support (Weight)</td>
<td>Minimal public support</td>
<td>Strong public support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serves Activity Center (Weight)</td>
<td>Serves employment centers of fewer than 500 employees, trauma centers, institutions of higher learning, or tourist centers</td>
<td>Project adds new capacity to serve employment centers of 500 to 1500 employees, trauma centers, institutions of higher learning</td>
<td>Project adds significant new capacity to serve employee centers with more than 1500 employees, trauma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder Width (% weight)</td>
<td>Project does not widen shoulder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project widens shoulder to 50%&gt; of DOT standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project widens shoulder to DOT standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane Width (% weight)</td>
<td>Project does not increase lane width</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project widens lane width to DOT standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Passenger Service (% weight)</td>
<td>Project does not increase capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project increases capacity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Safety (% weight)</td>
<td>Does not improve airport safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does improve airport safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit Expansion (% weight)</td>
<td>No service expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expands service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Division Needs Ranking:**

Certain highway, aviation, bicycle and pedestrian, ferry, transit, and rail projects are scored at the division needs level, as well as any projects that cascade into the division needs category from the regional impact category. Each Division Engineer will use the criteria and weighting below to generate a score for each project and a ranking of all projects in the division needs category.

Below is a standard ranking of criteria eligible for use by each Division Engineer in evaluating projects in the division needs category. Each Division Engineer will determine the combination of criteria (minimum of four) and criteria weights that best reflect the needs and priorities of their respective area. The resulting scores and rank order will be used by the Division Engineer in developing preliminary and final local input point assignments for projects within their division and/or to projects in adjacent divisions. The Department’s quantitative scores for projects and this ranking process will act as a guide and first step in determining a preliminary rank-ordered list of projects. Each Division Engineer will use the preliminary rank-ordered list of projects along with local knowledge as well as information gathered through collaboration and consultation with MPOs, RPOs, local airport, rail and transit operators and input from other interested stakeholders to determine the actual assignment of qualitative points.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>0 Points</th>
<th>Volume to capacity between 0.51 and 0.75 (roads and rail), intermittent or incomplete facilities/transit available (other modes)</th>
<th>Volume to capacity over 0.75 (roads and rail), no facilities/transit available (other modes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Existing Congestion</td>
<td>Volume to capacity less than 0.5 (roads and rail), existing facilities available (other modes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety Score</td>
<td>Spot safety points less than 30</td>
<td>Spot safety points between 31 and 50</td>
<td>Spot safety points between 66 and 80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Effectiveness</td>
<td>Cost per daily user greater than $4,000 per user per unit per mile</td>
<td>Cost per daily user between $2,000-$4,000 per user per unit per mile</td>
<td>Cost per daily user between $1,500-$1,999 per user per unit per mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Plan Consistency</td>
<td>Project is not in adopted land use, transportation, transit or other plan</td>
<td>Project is in an adopted land use, transportation, transit or other plan</td>
<td>Cost per daily user less than $999 per user per unit per mile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal Accommodations</td>
<td>Project does not include bike/ped/transit facilities</td>
<td>Project includes bike/ped/transit facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Feasibility</td>
<td>Significant ROW, EJ or environmental concerns</td>
<td>Minimal ROW, EJ or environmental concerns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Support</td>
<td>Minimal Public Support</td>
<td>Strong Public Support</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serves Activity Center</td>
<td>Serves employment centers of fewer than 500 employees, trauma centers, institutions of higher learning, or</td>
<td>Project adds new capacity to serve employment centers of 500 to 1500 employees, trauma centers, institutions of higher learning, or</td>
<td>Project adds significant new capacity to serve employee centers with more than 1500 employees, trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: Choose minimum of four criteria and determine percent weights; total points for any given project cannot exceed 100)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>tourist centers</th>
<th>higher learning or tourist centers</th>
<th>centers, institutions of higher learning or tourist centers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shoulder Width</strong></td>
<td>Project does not widen shoulder</td>
<td>Project widens shoulder to 50%+ of DOT standard</td>
<td>Project widens shoulder to DOT standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lane Width</strong></td>
<td>Project does not increase lane width</td>
<td>Project widens lane width to DOT standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airport Passenger Service</strong></td>
<td>Project does not increase capacity</td>
<td>Project increases capacity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Airport Safety</strong></td>
<td>Does not improve airport safety</td>
<td>Does improve airport safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit Expansion</strong></td>
<td>No service expansion</td>
<td>Expands service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% weight)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington Bypass</td>
<td>US 421</td>
<td>US 74/76</td>
<td>Change to Dashed Interstate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmington Bypass</td>
<td>US 74/76</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>Change to Solid Interstate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC 133 (River Rd)</td>
<td>Leland</td>
<td>Southern Planning Bndry</td>
<td>Change to Principal Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandiflora Dr</td>
<td>Lanvale Rd</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Rd</td>
<td>Mt. Misery Rd</td>
<td>Old Mill Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow Rd</td>
<td>US 421</td>
<td>US 421</td>
<td>Change to Minor Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beasley Rd</td>
<td>Pine Grove Rd</td>
<td>Masonboro Sound Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Valley Dr</td>
<td>Robert E Lee Dr</td>
<td>Amber Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eagles Nest Dr</td>
<td>Amber Dr</td>
<td>Beasley Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John D Berry Dr</td>
<td>17 th St</td>
<td>Robert E Lee Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert E Lee Dr</td>
<td>John D Berry Dr</td>
<td>Pine Valley Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenville Ave</td>
<td>Oleander Dr</td>
<td>Wrightsville Ave</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogersville Rd</td>
<td>Wrightsville Ave</td>
<td>Eastwood Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooker Rd</td>
<td>Wrightsville Ave</td>
<td>Rose Ave/ Mallard St</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Ave</td>
<td>Wrightsville Ave</td>
<td>Cahill Dr / Hooker Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallard Dr</td>
<td>Cahill Dr / Hooker Rd</td>
<td>Clear Run Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Run Dr</td>
<td>Cardinal Dr</td>
<td>Mallard Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lennon Dr</td>
<td>Market St</td>
<td>Hunters Trl</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter Till</td>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>New Center Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence Blvd</td>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>New Center Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>River Rd</td>
<td>US 412 Carolina Beach Rd</td>
<td>Changes to Major Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lackland Rd</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>Eastwood Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence Blvd</td>
<td>US 412 Carolina Beach Rd</td>
<td>Shell Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>暖喜 Bldg</td>
<td>S 5 College</td>
<td>S College</td>
<td>Change to Minor Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M Lumina Ave</td>
<td>W Sallie Ave</td>
<td>Cassady Dr</td>
<td>Change to Minor Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16th St &amp; 17th St</td>
<td>Shipyard Blvd</td>
<td>Dawson St</td>
<td>Change to Principal Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon Rd</td>
<td>Independent Blvd</td>
<td>Independence Blvd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Ave</td>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>College Rd NC 132</td>
<td>Change to Major Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin Luther King Pkwy</td>
<td>W White Rd</td>
<td>Wightman Ave</td>
<td>Change to Major Arterial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Sound Loop</td>
<td>N 23rd St</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle Sound Loop</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covil Farm Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cedar Rd</td>
<td>Military Cupid Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cow Farm Rd</td>
<td>Middle Sound Loop Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Cedar Rd</td>
<td>Middle Sound Loop Rd</td>
<td>Darden Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Waverly Ln</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>US 17</td>
<td>Edgewood Club Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edgewood Club Rd</td>
<td>Porter Neck Rd</td>
<td>Edgewood Club Rd</td>
<td>Edgewood Club Rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Approved on 9/7/15. Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, Must remain a Local Road according to the TPB Internal Review.
Approved. Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, Must remain a Local Road according to the TPB Internal Review.
Approved. Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, Must remain a Local Road according to the TPB Internal Review.
Approved. Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, Must remain a Local Road according to the TPB Internal Review.
Approved. Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, Must remain a Local Road according to the TPB Internal Review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Approved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edgewater Club Rd</td>
<td>Porter's Neck Rd</td>
<td>Avenel Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Rd</td>
<td>Gordon Rd</td>
<td>Courtney Pines Rd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courtney Pines Rd</td>
<td>White Rd</td>
<td>Torchwood Blvd</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torchwood Blvd</td>
<td>Courtney Pines Rd</td>
<td>Market St/US 17</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayshore</td>
<td>Market St/US 17</td>
<td>Shorepoint Dr</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Rd</td>
<td>Market St/US 18</td>
<td>Military Cutoff</td>
<td>Change to Major Collector Connectivity Arterial</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Major Collector according to the TPB Internal Review</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Rd</td>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>Market St</td>
<td>Change to Principal Arterial Connectivity</td>
<td>Growth in area</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station Rd</td>
<td>Market St/US 18</td>
<td>Military Cutoff</td>
<td>Change to Major Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris Rd</td>
<td>Gordon Rd</td>
<td>Shenandoah St</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Minor Collector, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenandoah St</td>
<td>Harris Rd</td>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Minor Collector, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Shenandoah St</td>
<td>Brittany Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Minor Collector according to TPB Internal Review</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittany Rd</td>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Murrayville Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Minor Collector according to TPB Internal Review</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lendire Rd</td>
<td>US 17/Market St</td>
<td>Beacon Dr</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, road does not function as a Minor Collector according to TPB Internal Review</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 117</td>
<td>Cape Fear River</td>
<td>Northern Planning Bndry</td>
<td>Change to Minor Arterial Connectivity</td>
<td>Growth in this part of County</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidbury Rd</td>
<td>Old Dairy Farm Rd</td>
<td>1 mile east of Dairy Farm Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>error</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holly Shelter Rd</td>
<td>Blue Clay Rd</td>
<td>0.5 miles east of Blue Clay Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>error</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murraysville Rd</td>
<td>College Rd</td>
<td>Retriever Ln</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sloop Point Rd</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>Add new Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, non-supportive of collecting AADT's on this facility</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Club Dr</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>Sloop Point Rd</td>
<td>Add new Major Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoover Rd</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>Trumpeter Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factory Rd</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector Connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, does not connect with another road (dead ends)</td>
<td>Not Approved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>From</td>
<td>To</td>
<td>Change</td>
<td>Reason</td>
<td>NCDOT Comments on 5/13/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington Acres Rd</td>
<td>US-18</td>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, does not connect with another road (dead ends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott's Hill Loop Rd</td>
<td>US-19</td>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, does not connect with another road (dead ends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mallard Bay Dr</td>
<td>Country Club Dr</td>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, does not connect with another road (dead ends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings Landing Rd</td>
<td>Country Club Dr</td>
<td>Olde Point Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Pointe Rd</td>
<td>Country Club Dr</td>
<td>Kings Landing Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandview Dr</td>
<td>US-17</td>
<td>Termination</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>development</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, does not connect with another road (dead ends)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenandoah St</td>
<td>Harris Rd</td>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Shenandoah St</td>
<td>Brittany Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittany Rd</td>
<td>Creek Ridge Rd</td>
<td>Murrayville Rd</td>
<td>Change to Minor Collector</td>
<td>connectivity</td>
<td>Not Approved, Does not meet the qualifications for the FC Change, land-use is considered to be rural and not a neighborhood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 421</td>
<td>I-140</td>
<td>Northern Planning Bndry</td>
<td>Change to Principal Arterial</td>
<td>Connects 1-140 to NC 210 a Minor Arterial</td>
<td>Approved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMORANDUM

To: Suraiya Rashid
    Wilmington MPO

From: Terry Arellano
        Systems Planning Group

Subject: Federal Function Classification

Please see the attached information that should provide an explanation of the purpose of the Federal functional classification system and how it is used, along with some ways in which it should not be used, per your request.

Attachment

cc: Mike Kozlosky, Wilmington MPO
    James, Upchurch, NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch
    Adam Snipes, NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch
    Rockne Bryant, NCDOT Transportation Planning Branch
**More on Federal Functional Classification Systems...**

Refresher of Information Presented to Wilmington MPO, March 2015

### What Is Functional Classification?

It is a process that groups streets and highways into classes or systems according to the character of service they are intended to provide (access and / or mobility).

### How Is Functional Classification Used?

**Primary Uses**
- Determines Federal-aid system and eligibility for Federal funds: Federal legislation continues to use functional classification in determining eligibility for funding under the Federal-aid program.
- Data Needs and Reporting: Statistics derived from the Federal roadway databases are organized around functional classification. This data are used in a number of ways, including reporting on the condition of the nation’s roadways to Congress and in other highway statistics reports and studies.
- Informs the design standard: Interstates are required to meet specific national design standards.

**Secondary Uses**
- Program and Project Prioritization
- Safety Programs
- Traffic Control
- Maintenance

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**How should Functional Classification be assigned?**

FC should be assigned according to how the roadway is functioning in the current year.
- Use the current classification for roadways, even replacement roadways that will upgrade the roadway, until construction is complete.
- Reclassify the new roadway once it has been constructed.
- Future routes may be assigned functional class if they are funded in the first four years of the STIP.

**Are there limitations on the extent of Functional Classification?**

Reasonable ranges for each functional class within a state are determined by FHWA. The overall state system must fall within these ranges.
How should Functional Classification NOT be used?

- While FC is a data input into systems planning, it should not be a determining factor in transportation planning decisions. This is because the FC is system limited (there are FHWA-established caps on the extent of the FC system) and is not needs-based (FC only reflects current – not future – conditions).

- FC should not be used for land development decisions. Specifically, a local area’s zoning and/or subdivision regulations should not to be tied to FC but to transportation plans.

References to FC in local guidelines:

Example 1 – Pender County Development Code

7.2.6 Lots on Thoroughfares
Major or minor subdivisions shall not be approved that provide for individual residential lots to access Principal Arterial, Minor Arterial or Major Collector roads or streets as shown on the Coastal Pender Collector Street Plan, Pender County Transportation Plan or other approved State Transportation Improvement Plan.

Example 2 – New Hanover County Development Code

52.2-4: Dimensional Requirements

(2) Minimum Front Yard - Fifty (50) feet along US and NC numbered highways and major thoroughfares as designated by the Wilmington Area Thoroughfare Plan. Thirty-five (35) feet along all other public highways or streets.

(4) Maximum Building Height - 40 feet; except that buildings located within the Urban Transition Area and fronting along a collector, Minor Arterial or Principal Arterial as indicated on the County's Thoroughfare Classification Plan, may exceed 40 feet provided their FAR does not exceed 1.0 (2/7/83) (10/5/95)

Example 3 – New Hanover County Development Code

72-20: Family Child Care Homes

A family child care home may be permitted in any residential district subject to the dimensional requirements of the district and provided:

(2) No outside sign in excess of two (2) square feet in area shall be permitted, except when such facility is located on an existing collector or arterial facility as indicated on the New Hanover County Thoroughfare Classification Plan, in which case the maximum sign area shall be twelve (12) square feet.

Comments:
The underlined references are based on the classifications that used to be included in county thoroughfare plans. Since the revision to NC General Statute 136-66.2 in 2001, NCDOT no longer develops county long-range plans based on the FC system. Instead, CTPs are developed and identify a hierarchy of roadways based on access and mobility, but not tied to the Federal functional classification system. In addition, the statute establishes that local collector street plans should be drawn from the CTP.

Recommendations:

- Update local guidelines to remove references to archaic planning documents and instead tie back to MTP, CTP or collector street plans.
- Ensure that collector street plans use the MTP or CTP as their basis, rather than functional class.
Cape Fear Crossing
STIP U-4738
Brunswick and New Hanover Counties

STATUS REPORT
CAPE FEAR CROSSING WORKGROUP
June 29, 2015

Project Description
Roadway extending from the vicinity of US 17 Bypass and I-140 in Brunswick County to US 421 in New Hanover County, including a crossing of the Cape Fear River.

Current Status
The following list includes completed and ongoing tasks during the month of June:

- The project team continues to coordinate and correspond with project stakeholders.
- Functional Design Plans for the 12 detailed study alternatives (DSAs) have been submitted to NCDOT for review.
- The draft Traffic Capacity Analysis Report was submitted to NCDOT for review on May 29, 2015.
- Hydraulic analysis of the DSAs is ongoing.
- The Historic Architecture Report has been accepted by NCDOT. The report has been sent to the North Carolina State Historic Preservation Office for review.
- Studies for the Traffic Noise Analysis and Air Quality Analysis are ongoing.
- Studies for the Community Impact Analysis are ongoing.
- The Draft Land Use Scenario Assessment was submitted to NCDOT on June 17, 2015.
- Additional natural resource surveys (wetland, streams, and protected species) are ongoing.
- It is anticipated that the next workgroup meeting will be held no later than September 2015.
- The Board of Transportation approved the 2016-2025 STIP at its June meeting. Federal approval is anticipated prior to October 1. The Cape Fear Crossing project is programmed in the new STIP for planning and environmental studies only.
- NCDOT is currently evaluating an additional alternative proposed by the WMPO. There are currently 12 alternatives being designed and evaluated for inclusion in the draft environmental document. If it is determined this alternative is feasible, studies may need to be redone to include this alternative.
- The WMPO TAC agreed on April 22, 2015 to support allocation of $100,000 of STP-DA funds for completion of the environmental document.

Contact Information
NCDOT – Charles Cox, ccox@ncdot.gov, 919.707.6016
AECOM – Joanna Rocco, joanna.rocco@aecom.com, 919.461.1434
Website: http://www.ncdot.gov/projects/capefear/
Email: capefear@ncdot.gov
Hotline: 1.800.233.6315
CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS
Project Description/Scope: Comply with a Federal mandate to create and adopt a process to evaluate the region’s most congested corridors through locally-defined multi-modal performance measures in an effort to suggest improvements that would alleviate traffic congestion in the region. The CMP was adopted by the TAC on December 11, 2013. Data collection procedures have been developed. A schedule for collection logistics has been drafted and data collection will continuously be monitored and updated as needed.

Next Steps:
- Continue data collection and monitoring of congestion

COLLEGE ROAD UPGRADES (U-5702), (U-5704) and (U-5792)
Project Description/Scope: The Strategic Transportation Investments is a new formula to determine how the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT), in partnership with local governments, will fund and prioritize transportation projects in the state of North Carolina. The Strategic Transportation Investment Formula allocates funding at the statewide, regional and division tiers. Prioritization 3.0 was the process used to determine the projects that are to be funded in the State’s Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The adopted 2016-2025 State Transportation Improvement Program includes several projects on College Road.

U-5702: Construction of Access Management Improvements on College Road between Gordon Road and Carolina Beach Road. The Department has several spot safety and intersection improvements that are anticipated would be completed under this project. This project may also include median modifications, access management strategies, etc. to improve traffic flow and safety on College Road. Another project that may be funded in the Statewide Mobility Category is an Upgrade of College Road between New Centre Drive and Gordon Road to include an additional through lane and an interchange at College Road and the Martin Luther King Jr. Parkway.

U-5792: Convert at-grade intersection to interchange

Next Steps:
- Complete Planning, Environmental Review and Design for the projects

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN
Project Description/Scope: Update the Federally-mandated Metropolitan Transportation Plan/Long-Range Transportation Plan for the Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization. Project lists were created and ranked in December 2014 and finalized by the board in February 2015. Public outreach on the draft plan occurred in March and April 2015. The public comment period for the draft plan was open from April 1 through April 30 2015 and comments were received through 7 regional open houses, through the plan’s website, and through an online comment form. In May and June of 2015 a list of recommended changes to the draft plan was developed after review of public comments by CAC and modal subcommittees.
Next Steps:
- Finalization of draft plan for adoption to occur in July 2015
- Adoption process to occur August 2015 to December 2015

**MILITARY CUTOFF ROAD/EASTWOOD ROAD (U-5710)**

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The adopted 2016-2025 State Transportation Improvement Program identified funding to upgrade the intersection of Military Cutoff Road/Eastwood Road to an interchange. HDR has been selected by NCDOT to complete this work.

Next Steps:
- The Department desires to host a small group meeting(s) with adjacent property owners/HOAs in August. A public meeting will be held later this year.

**17th Street Streetscape**

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The 17th Street streetscape project will include upgrades to 17th Street between Wrightsville Avenue and Princess Place Drive. The project will provide for a more efficient transportation system by reduced travel speeds, removal of the lateral shift, improved pedestrian crossings, improved safety and enhance the aesthetics of the area. The project may also include aesthetic improvements that will enhance the entryway into Carolina Heights and provide a pocket park. The City is awaiting final approval from NCDOT.

Next Steps:
- Receive Final Approval from NCDOT
- Bid, Award and Construct the streetscape enhancements

**Pender County Collector Street Plan**

The Wilmington MPO and Pender County have partnered to update the Coastal Pender County Collector Street Plan and to create a collector street plan for the area that was not previously part of the MPO. The project team has generated project milestone schedule.

Next Steps:
- Gather research and data and begin the process of assembling a steering committee in Pender County

**SITE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW**

Project Descriptions/Scope: The Wilmington MPO assists with site development and transportation impact analysis review for the MPO’s member jurisdictions. During the last month, staff has reviewed the following development proposals:

- New Hanover County Development Plan Reviews: 4 reviews
- New Hanover County Informal Plan Reviews: 2 reviews
- New Hanover Concept Reviews: 0 reviews
- Town of Leland Formal Reviews: 0 reviews
- Town of Leland Informal Reviews: 0 reviews
- Town of Carolina Beach Formal Reviews: 2 reviews
- Town of Carolina Beach Informal Reviews: 1 reviews
- Brunswick County Formal Plan Reviews: 0 reviews
• Brunswick County Informal Plan Reviews: 0 reviews
• TIA Reviews: 25 total (New Hanover County 7, City of Wilmington 12, Carolina Beach 1, Brunswick County 2, Belville 1, and Pender County 3) new 5 and ongoing 20
• Pender County Development Plan Reviews: 4 reviews
• Pender County Informal Plan Reviews: 1 reviews
• Pender County Concept Reviews: 0 reviews
• City of Wilmington Formal Reviews: 18 (6 new, 12 on-going)
• City of Wilmington Informal Reviews: 19 (4 new, 15 on-going)
• City of Wilmington Concept Reviews: 4 new concept reviews- 2 on-going concept
• COW Project Releases: 4 Full releases

STP-DA/TAP-DA FY 2013 and 2014 Project Status

STP-DA

U-5534A - TOWN OF NAVASSA – MAIN STREET BICYCLE LANES
Project Descriptions/Scope: This project will include planning, design, and construction of an additional 4 feet on either side of Main Street for bike lanes starting at the existing Navassa bike path east of Brooklyn Street to Old Mill Road. The Letter of Interest (LOI) has been advertised.

Next Steps:
• 100% Design anticipated July 2015
• Letting is anticipated in November 2015

U-5534B - CITY OF WILMINGTON- HEIDI TRASK DRAWBRIDGE
Project Descriptions/Scope: This project consists of construction of a public walkway/pier underneath the Heidi Trask Drawbridge to provide for a safe crossing for cyclists and pedestrians across US 74 (Wrightsville Avenue) on the mainland side of the drawbridge in Wilmington.

Next Steps:
• KHA working on final comments
• Letting date anticipated August 2015.

U-5534C - WRIGHTSVILLE AVENUE/GREENVILLE AVENUE TO HINTON AVENUE
Project Descriptions/Scope: The project is for construction of intersection re-alignment improvements at the intersection of Wrightsville Avenue/Greenville Avenue and bike lanes and sidewalks along Greenville Avenue from Wrightsville Avenue to Hinton Avenue.

Next Steps:
• Comments from PEDA are being addressed
• ROW date anticipated July 2015
• Letting anticipated September 2015

U-5534D - TOWN OF LELAND - OLD FAYETTEVILLE ROAD MUP
Project Descriptions/Scope: This project is for design and construction of a ten foot (10’) wide multi use path, separate but adjacent to Old Fayetteville Road, beginning at or around the corner of the Leland Town Hall Campus and ending at the driveway of the North Brunswick High School.
Next Steps:
- ROW documents anticipated September 2015
- Letting date is anticipated Jan 2016

U-5534E - TOWN OF CAROLINA BEACH - ISLAND GREENWAY AND HARPER AVENUE
Project Descriptions/Scope: This project is for the design and construction of an off-road multi-use path that begins at Mike Chappell Park and winds along the existing cleared fire path and terminates at Greenville Avenue and the Harper Avenue bike lanes will consist of a bicycle boulevard on existing pavement on each side of Harper Avenue from Dow Road to Lake Park Boulevard. The Town desires to combine the project with the awarded 2014 STP-DA project.

Next Steps:
- 100% design plan review anticipated in July 2015

U-5534M - CAUSEWAY DRIVE, WAYNICK BLVD./N. LUMINA AVE./STONE ST.
Project Descriptions/Scope: The construction of dual left turns from Waynick Blvd. to Causeway Dr., channelizing the one-way entrance to Lumina Ave. and improving lane markings, adjusting stop bar, straightening crosswalks at Waynick Blvd and installing bulb-outs at Lumina Ave./Stone St., and adding ADA compliant curb ramps at all crosswalks within the project area.

Next Steps:
- Project funds will be reallocated to the Coral Drive sidewalk project.
- Staff is awaiting NCDOT input on the next steps.

U-5534H – HINTON AVE MULTI-USE PATH
Project Descriptions/Scope: This project consists of the construction of a 10' wide multi-use path along Hinton Avenue from Park Avenue to Greenville Avenue.

Next Steps:
- NCDOT reviewing man hour estimates
- Survey to begin June 2015
- R/W Plans complete July 31, 2015
- Anticipated Let Date of January 26, 2016

U-5534G -HOOKER ROAD MULTI-USE PATH
Project Descriptions/Scope: The project consist of the construction of a 10' wide multi-use path along Hooker Road from Wrightsville Avenue to Mallard Drive/Rose Ave intersection

Next Steps:
- NCDOT reviewing man hour estimates
- Survey to begin June 2015
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: August 30, 2015
- Anticipated Let Date: September 17, 2016
U-5534K – LELAND MIDDLE SCHOOL SIDEWALK
**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of 5 foot wide concrete sidewalk adjacent to Old Fayetteville Road from Ricefield Branch Rd to the Hwy 74/76 overpass after Glendale Drive with connections to Leland Middle School and the surrounding neighborhoods.

**Next Steps:**
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: September 25, 2015
- Anticipated Let Date: January 26, 2016

U-5534J – OLD FAYETTEVILLE LOOP ROAD PEDESTRIAN LOOP
**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of 5 foot wide sidewalks in three locations: along Village Road from Town Hall Drive going southeast to the existing sidewalk in front of the apartment complex, along Town Hall Drive from Village Road NE to the sidewalk currently under construction by the new Town Hall, and along Old Fayetteville Road from the existing sidewalk in front of the apartment complex to Village Road NE

**Next Steps:**
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: September 25, 2015
- Let Date: January 26, 2016

U-5534I – VILLAGE ROAD MULTI-USE PATH EXTENSION
**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of a 10 foot wide asphalt multi-use path routed across Perry Ave, behind the library, out to Village Road, down Village Road ending on the western edge of the First Baptist Church property before the Sturgeon Creek Bridge

**Next Steps:**
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: September 25, 2016
- Anticipated Let Date: January 26, 2016

SHIPYARD BOULEVARD SIDEWALK-
**Project Description/Scope:** The construction of a sidewalk and bus pull-out along Shipyard Boulevard between Vance Street and Rutledge Drive. This will be a partnership between the City of Wilmington, Cape Fear Public Transportation Authority and Wilmington MPO.

**Next Steps:**
- NCDOT reviewing man hour estimates
- Survey to design conceptual plans anticipated June 2015
- R/W plans anticipated August 2015

TAP-DA
**CITY OF WILMINGTON – MILITARY CUTOFF ROAD MULTI-USE PATH**
**Project Descriptions/Scope:** This project is for the design and construction of a 10-foot wide, asphalt multi-use path on Military Cutoff Road from Gordon Road to Eastwood Road.

**Next Steps:**
- NCDOT reviewing man hour estimates
- Survey to design conceptual plans anticipated June 2015
• R/W plans anticipated August 2015

**U-5527B CITY OF WILMINGTON – 5th AVE INTERSECTION UPGRADES**

**Project Description/Scope:** This project is for the construction of high visibility crosswalks, curb ramps, and pedestrian activated signals on 5th Ave at the Dawson Street and Wooster Street intersections.

**Next Steps:**
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: May 23, 2016
- Anticipated Let Date: September 26, 2016

**U-5527C NEW HANOVER COUNTY – MIDDLE SOUND GREENWAY – EXTENSION TO MIDDLE SOUND VILLAGE**

**Project Description/Scope:** This project is for the construction of a multi-use path along Middle Sound Loop Road from Oyster Lane to the Middle Sound Village driveway.

**Next Steps:**
- Finalize preliminary easements
- Right of Way Plans anticipated to be complete: September 30, 2015
- Anticipated Let Date: January 26, 2016

**TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

**Project Description/Scope:** UNCW is taking the role as lead employer for the Cape Fear region. The WMPO will coordinate with UNCW to work with other major employers in the region to identify opportunities for public outreach, marketing, carpooling, vanpooling, alternative/compressed work schedules, Emergency Guaranteed Ride Home, park and ride lots, etc. The MPO established 2 park and ride lots in Brunswick County and a ridesharing program that began on January 5th. The MPO adopted “Work Cape Fear: Expanding Commuter Options in the Cape Fear Region” TDM Short Range Plan on January 28th and also authorized staff to apply for a TDM grant through NCDOT that if approved would fund a full-time TDM Coordinator position. The MPO anticipates this agreement from NCDOT in early July.

**Next Steps:**
- Finalize the 25-year TDM plan in the MTP
- Promote 2 Park & Ride Lots in Brunswick County
- Await an agreement from NCDOT on the full-time TDM Coordinator position

**US 17 BUSINESS CORRIDOR STUDY**

**Project Description/Scope:** In 2007 the Wilmington MPO, in cooperation with NCDOT and the City of Wilmington completed the US 17 Business Corridor Study. The Corridor Study recommended the implementation of a “road diet.” This recommendation included a requirement to construct Independence Boulevard Extension prior to implementation. Citizens have continued to request the implementation of the “road diet,” however the construction of Independence Boulevard extension is now many years off. The City of Wilmington and Wilmington MPO requested an update of this study to re-examine the feasibility of implementing the road diet without first constructing Independence Boulevard extension. The MPO has hired Parsons Brinkerhoff (PB) to develop the update of this study. PB has completed the traffic modeling.
Next Steps:
- Present the interim findings and results to the Wilmington City Council on July 21st and TAC on July 22nd.
1. **Operations center** - construction of 37,621 square foot maintenance and operations facility located on Castle Hayne Road which will serve as operation center for all Wave Transit fixed route and Paratransit operations. Includes compressed natural gas fueling station. Facility opened June 29, 2015.

2. **Fleet replacement & conversion to CNG** - identifying state and federal funding opportunities to replace 19 thirty-five foot buses. FTA funding for 80% of two CNG buses has been identified under FTA §5316 and §5317 program and local funding is included in City of Wilmington FY 2015 budget. New buses to be fueled by compressed natural gas. Fleet replacement programmed at a cost of $8,100,000. Bid awarded to Gillig, LLC on June 26, 2014. First CNG bus delivered May 27, 2015. Second bus scheduled for delivery June 11, 2015. Four buses scheduled for delivery in January 2016.

3. **FTA §5310 Program** - Final Program Management Plan delivered to FTA on April 27, 2015 and approved in June 2015. Initial 5310 grant pending FTA approval.

4. **Wilmington Multimodal Transportation Center** - Memorandum of Agreement between Authority, WMPO, City of Wilmington and NCDOT approved June 25, 2015.
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TIP Projects:

R-3601 (US 17/74/76): Widening across the “CAUSEWAY”, between Leland/Belville and the Cape Fear River. Replacing the bridges over the Brunswick River and one of the bridges over Alligator Creek.
**Estimated Contract Completion Date November 15, 2016**

R-2633 BA – (Wilmington Bypass) construct a 4-lane divided highway from US 74/76 (near Malmo) to SR 1430 (Cedar Hill Road).
**Estimated Contract Completion Date April 30, 2018**
**Open to traffic on November 1, 2017**

R-2633 BB – (Wilmington Bypass: Bridge over Cape Fear River) construct a 4-lane divided highway from SR 1430 (Cedar Hill Road) to US 421 (where I-140 currently ends in New Hanover County…this includes the large bridge over the Cape Fear River).
**Estimated Contract Completion Date April 30, 2018**
**Open to traffic on November 1, 2017**

R-3324 – Long Beach Road Extension construct a 2-lane, 2-way roadway from NC 133 (near Jump & Run Creek) to NC 87. Most of this roadway will be on new location.
**Estimated Contract Completion Date May 13, 2016**

R-3432 – SR 1163 (Georgetown Road) extend from SR 1184 (Ocean Isle Beach Road) to NC 179.
**Estimated Contract Completion Date May 13, 2016**

Market Street Wal-Mart Development: construct a median on Market Street from Marsh Oaks Drive to the existing median at Porter’s Neck. Install bulb-outs, on Market Street, at Marsh Oaks Drive & Porter’s Neck Road intersections. A signal will be installed on Market Street at the main entrance to the new Wal-Mart.
**Estimated Completion Date Summer 2015**
B-5103: replace bridge #35 over the abandoned railroad on SR 1627 (3rd Street), in Wilmington.

Estimated Contract Completion Date September 28, 2016

U-3338 B: Widening of Kerr Ave. from Randall Parkway to Martin Luther King, Jr. Parkway.

Let Date August 18, 2015

B-4440: replace bridge #163 over Mulberry Branch, on SR 1349 (Bridger Road)

Let Date August 16, 2016

B-5236: replace bridge #19 over Lords Creek on SR 1100 (River Road)

Let Date September 20, 2016

B-4929: Bridge @ Surf City NC 50/210 - replace bridge #16 over the inter-coastal waterway with a fixed span high rise structure.

Let Date February 21, 2017

U-4751: Military Cutoff Road Extension: extending Military Cutoff Road from Market Street to the Wilmington Bypass, with an interchange at the Bypass.

Let Date October 17, 2017

R-5021 (NC 211): widening to a 4-lane divided highway from NC 87 (near Southport) to SR 1500 (Midway Road).

Let Date March 20, 2018

B-4590: replace bridge #29 over Smith Creek on NC 133 (Old Castle Hayne Road)

Let Date October 16, 2018

R-5701: construct a roundabout at the intersection of US 117 Business, Walker Street & Wilmington Street

Let Date January 2020 (Division Letting)

U-5729: US 421 (Carolina Beach Road) from Burnett Avenue to US 117 (Shipyard Blvd) upgrade the roadway

Let Date January 2020

B-5540: replace bridge #202 over branch of Shallotte River, on SR 1357 (Smith Ave.)
Let Date October 15, 2020

**B-5156:** replace bridge #28 over Long Creek on NC 210

Let Date October 15, 2020

**U-5790:** US 421 (Carolina Beach Road) widen existing US 421 from Sanders Road to NC 132 (College Road) and construct fly-overs at Monkey Junction intersection

**Construction 2020**

**U-5732:** US 17 (Ocean Highway in Hampstead)
Convert to superstreet from SR 1582 (Washington Acres Road) to SR 1563 (Sloop Point Loop Road).

**Construction 2021**

**U-5792:** NC 132 (College Road) from SR 1272 (New Center Drive) to SR 2048 (Gordon Road) widen to multi-lanes and upgrade at-grade intersection at College & Martin Luther King Parkway to an interchange

**Construction 2022**

**U-5710:** US 74 (Eastwood Road) upgrade at-grade intersection at Eastwood Road & Military Cutoff Road to an interchange

**Construction 2022**

**B-5644:** replace bridge #15 over Sill’s Creek on NC 11

**Construction 2022**

**U-3831:** SR 2048 (Gordon Road) widen Gordon Road from NC 132 (College Road) to US 17 Business (Market Street), widen to multi-lanes

**Construction 2024**

**W-5306 Castle Hayne Roundabout:** construct a roundabout at the intersection of
US 117, NC 132 & NC 133.
Estimated Contract Completion Date January 18, 2016

Greenfield Lake Culvert: replace the large culvert under 3rd Street and US 421 Truck/Front Street...Utility relocation work will begin late 2013 and finish prior to let date November 2015
Low Impact Bridge Program:

Design/Build contract to replace 9 bridges in Brunswick & Pender Counties:
Estimated Contract Completion Date November 1, 2015 (for all 9 bridge replacements)

17BP.3.R.26: replace bridge #144 over branch of the Black River on SR 1102 (Morgan Road)

SR 1102 (Morgan Road) to NC 210, crossing into Bladen County to NC 11 to NC 11 / 53 to SR 1547 (Kelly Road), crossing into Pender County SR 1102 (Morgan Road)

Open to Traffic
Resurfacing Contracts:

Resurfacing Contract: C-203480 3CR.10101.150, 3CR.20101.150, 3CR.10651.150, 3CR.20651.150 & 3CR.10711.150 Barnhill Contracting

Brunswick County primary routes:

US 17 Business – mill & resurface from US 17 (south end of US 17 Bus.) to US 17 (@ nose of concrete island)…Bolivia area

US 17 Bypass (Southbound lanes) – patch, mill & resurface from 0.17 miles north of SR 1401 (Galloway Road) to 0.09 miles south of SR 1401

Brunswick County secondary routes:

SR 1104 (Beach Drive) – patching, milling, resurface & leveling from beginning of curb & gutter section to end of SR 1104

SR 1828 (Kings Lynn Drive) – patching, mill & resurface from SR 1104 (West Beach Drive) to SR 1828

SR 1401 (Galloway Road) – resurface from US 17 to SR 1402 (Randolphville Road)

SR 1435 (North Navassa Road) – patching, mill & resurface from SR 1472 (Village Road Northeast) to SR 1432 (Old Mill Road Northeast)

SR 1430 (Cedar Hill Road) – patching, mill & resurface from SR 1435 (North Navassa Road) to 0.58 miles south of SR 1431 (Royster Road Northeast)

SR 1430 (Cedar Hill Road) – patching, mill & resurface from 0.54 miles north of SR 1431 (Royster Road Northeast) to SR 1426 (Mount Misery Road)

Mill & resurface the following primary routes in New Hanover County:

US 421 (Carolina Beach Road) – from 0.26 miles south of Independence Blvd. (non-system portion) to west of Lake Shore Drive (non-system)

US 117 Northbound Lanes (Shipyard Blvd) – from US 421 to 0.05 miles east of US 421 (Carolina Beach Road)

US 117 Southbound Lanes (Shipyard Blvd) – from 0.20 miles east of US 421 to US 421 (Carolina Beach Road)

US 421 Southbound Lanes (South 3rd Street) – from US 76 (Dawson Street) to Greenfield Street (non-system)

US 421 Northbound Lanes (South 3rd Street) – from Greenfield Street (non-system) to US 76 (Dawson Street)

US 17 Business (South 3rd Street) – from US 76 eastbound lanes to US 76 westbound lanes
Mill & resurface the following secondary routes in New Hanover County:

SR 1218 (16th Street) – from US 76 westbound lanes (Wooster Street) to US 76 eastbound lanes (Dawson Street)
SR 1371 (16th St.) - from Grace Street (non-system) to US 17 Business (Market Street)
SR 2816 (16th St.) - from US 17 Business (Market Street) to US 76 westbound lanes (Wooster Street)
SR 1301 (17th Street) - from US 17 Business (Market Street) to Grace Street (non-system)
SR 2817 (17th Street) - from US 76 eastbound lanes (Dawson Street) to US 17 Business (Market Street)
SR 1411 (Wrightsville Avenue) - from Dawson Street Extension (non-system) to SR 1209 (Independence Blvd.)

Resurface the following secondary routes in New Hanover County:

SR 2699 (Amsterdam Way) - from SR 2700 (Old Dairy Rd.) to SR 2048 (Gordon Rd.)
SR 2701 (Antilles Ct.) - from SR 2698 (Netherlands Dr.) to end maintenance
SR 2698 (Netherlands Dr.) - from SR 2048 (Gordon Rd.) to SR 2700 (Old Dairy Rd.)
SR 2700 (Old Dairy Rd.) - from US 17 Bus. (Market St.) to SR 2699 (Amsterdam Way)
SR 2220 (Windmill Way) - from SR 2219 (N. Green Meadows Dr.) to SR 2700 (Old Dairy Rd.)
SR 2183 (Spring Rd) - from NC 133 (Castle Hayne Rd.) to SR 2184 (Fairfield Rd.)
SR 2184 (Fairfield Rd.) - from SR 2183 (Spring Rd) to SR 1318 (Blue Clay Rd)

Widen & resurface following routes in New Hanover County:

SR 1940 (Covil Farm Rd) - from SR 1409 (Military Cut-Off Rd) to SR 1916 (Red Cedar Rd)
SR 2717 (Torchwood Blvd.) - from US 17 Bus. (Market St.) to SR 2718 (Beacon Dr.)

Mill & resurface a section & just resurface another section of SR 1363 (Bayshore Dr.) from US 17 Bus. (Market St.) to SR 1393 (Biscayne Dr.)

Pender County primary routes:

US 117 - mill & resurface from 0.30 miles north of NC 210 to 0.026 miles north of US 117 Business
NC 11/53 - mill & resurface from begin curb & gutter @ western city limits of Town of Atkinson to end curb & gutter @ the eastern city limits of Town of Atkinson.
NC 53 - Patch ONLY from I-40 to US 117 (Town of Burgaw).
Estimated Contract Completion Date Fall 2015

Resurfacing Contract: DC-00089 3CR.10651.166
Carolina Beach:  
US 421 – mill & resurface from E Avenue (Kure Beach) to Cardinal Sands Drive in Carolina Beach.
Work Complete

Resurfacing Contract: DC-00090 3CR.10101.165
Brunswick County:  
NC 211 – mill & resurface from NC 87 to end of system at Fort Fisher Ferry Terminal.
Estimated Contract Completion Date November 2015

Resurfacing Contract: DC-00091 3CR.10711.165
Pender County:  
NC 53 – resurface from US 117 to I-40.
NC 210 – mill & resurface from NC 210/NC 50 (in Town of Surf City) to Onslow County line.
Estimated Contract Completion Date October 2015

Resurfacing Contract: C203839  3CR.10651.170
New Hanover County:  
US 117 – milling & resurfacing from I-40 to bridge at Market Street  
US 74 – milling & resurfacing from Kornegay Avenue to Cardinal Drive  
Availability Date March 30, 2015  
Estimated Contract Completion Date September 2015

Resurfacing Contract: C203630  WBS #46176.3.FS1  
New Hanover & Pender Counties:  
I-40 – milling & resurfacing from Gordon Road interchange to NC 210 interchange  
I-40 – milling & resurfacing from US 117 interchange to mile post 393 (approximately 3.5 miles east of US 117 interchange)  
Availability Date March 30, 2015  
Estimated Contract Completion Date September 2016
Resurfacing Contract: DC-00103 3CR.20711.173
Pender County:

SR 1104 (Canetuck Road) from SR 1103 (Heading Bluff Road) to SR 1103 (Heading Bluff Road) other end of loop.

SR 1103 (Heading Bluff Road) from SR 1104 (Canetuck Road) to end of system

SR 1336 (Horse Branch Road) from SR 1319 (Pelham Road) to SR 1209 (Shiloh Road)

SR 1407 (Scott Road) from NC 210 to SR 1400 (Highsmith Road)

SR 1510 (East Wilmington Street) from US 117 to NC 53

SR 1349 (Johnston Avenue) from US 117 to NC 11

Availability Date June 1, 2015
Estimated Contract Completion Date August 2015

If you have any questions, please contact Patrick Riddle at the Division 3 Office:
priddle@ncdot.gov