

305 Chestnut Street PO Box 1810 Wilmington, NC 28402 Ph: (910) 341-3258 Fax: (910) 341-7801 www.wmpo.org

#### WMPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Meeting Agenda

**TO:** WMPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee Members

FROM: Abby Lorenzo, Deputy Director

**DATE:** October 8<sup>th</sup>, 2021

SUBJECT: October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021 Meeting

A meeting of the WMPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee will take place on Tuesday, October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 2pm. The meeting will be held on the 6<sup>th</sup> floor in Room 611 at 320 Chestnut St, as well as with a virtual component via Zoom. The meeting can be joined at the following link:

Join Zoom Meeting

https://us06web.zoom.us/j/97005760706

Meeting ID: 970 0576 0706

One tap mobile

- +13126266799,,97005760706# US (Chicago)
- +16465588656,,97005760706# US (New York)

#### Dial by your location

- +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)
- +1 646 558 8656 US (New York)
- +1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)
- +1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)
- +1 720 707 2699 US (Denver)
- +1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

Meeting ID: 970 0576 0706

Find your local number: https://us06web.zoom.us/u/kbaa4fxmPj

The following is the agenda for the meeting:

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Approval of the Agenda
- 3. Public Comment Period
- Approval of minutes from August 10<sup>th</sup>, 2021
- 5. Presentations
  - NCDOT Programming Limitations- Caitlin Marks, NCDOT
- 6. Old Business
  - East Coast Greenway State Trails Application Status (Zach Manfredi)

Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

- Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Model Ordinance Status (Zach Manfredi)
- \*Draft\* Bike Suitability Map Segment continued discussion (Nick Cannon)

# 7. New Business

# 8. Updates and Announcements

- Go Coast Update
  - i. 2021 River to Sea Bike Ride October 16
  - ii. 2021 Brunswick Heritage Riverside Ride October 23
- Cape Fear Cyclists Update
- WMPO September Project Updates (in packet)
- NCDOT Division 3 September Project Updates (in packet)
- WMPO Technical Coordinating Committee, Wednesday, October 13th, 2021 at 10am
- WMPO Board Meeting, Wednesday, October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 3pm
- Go Coast Committee, Thursday, November 18<sup>th</sup>, at 3pm

#### 9. Next Meeting

Tuesday, December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 2PM

#### 10. Adjournment

# **Attachments:**

- Minutes from the August 2021 BPAC Meeting
- Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Model Ordinance
- Bike Suitability Scoring Criteria and Scored Project Table
- WMPO September Project Updates
- NCDOT Division 3 September Project Updates



305 Chestnut Street PO Box 1810 Wilmington, NC 28402 Ph: (910) 341-3258 Fax: (910) 341-7801 www.wmpo.org

# Meeting Minutes Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee August 10, 2021

#### **Members Present:**

Steve Whitney, Brunswick County
Karen Mills, City of Wilmington
Steven Zinder, Board of Transportation
Carol Stein, Pender County
Joe Boyd, Town of Belville
Mo Linquist, Town of Kure Beach
Ann Carbone, Town of Leland
Barnes Sutton, Town of Navassa
Katie Ryan, Town of Wrightsville Beach
Sam Burgess, Cape Fear Cyclists
Carly Wilson, UNCW
Nick Cannon, WMPO
Abby Lorenzo, WMPO

# **Staff and Guests Present**

Zachary Manfredi, WMPO
Trevor Steward, WMPO
Vanessa Lacer, Pender County
Terry Lansdell, Bike Walk NC
Dylan McDonnell, New Hanover County Planning

#### 1. Call to Order

• C. Stein called the meeting to order at 2:02 pm and roll was called.

# 2. Approval of the Agenda

- Motion to approve the agenda made by Steve Whitney
- Motion was seconded by Katie Ryan
- Motion passed unanimously

#### 3. Public Comment Period

- No public comments
- 4. Presentations

Wilmington Urban Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

 Terry Lansdell, Director of Bike Walk NC, presented to the committee on the organization's attempt to increase bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure amid funding limitations

#### 5. Approval of minutes from June 8, 2021

- Motion made to approve minutes from the June 2021 meeting made by Katie Ryan
- Motion was seconded by Nick Cannon
- Motion passed unanimously

# 6. **Old Business**

- Z. Manfredi presented the Draft Bicycle and Pedestrian Infrastructure Requirement Model Ordinance to the committee
- Z. Manfredi requested BPAC members to provide edits to the draft model ordinance by end of business day Friday August 13<sup>th</sup>
- N. Cannon led a discussion on the draft WMPO Bike Suitability Map. The committee provided recommendations to change the score of some roadways and add roadways to be scored on the map:
  - i. The score of Market Street from 3<sup>rd</sup> to 17<sup>th</sup> will be changed from Intermediate 2 to Advanced
  - ii. The score of Covil Avenue will be changed from Advanced to Not Recommended
  - iii. The score of Oleander Drive from 17<sup>th</sup> to S. College Road will be changed from Advanced to Not Recommended
  - iv. The score of Burnett Blvd east of Carolina Beach Road will be changed from Intermediate 2 to Advanced
  - v. The score of 17<sup>th</sup> Street from Independence to S. College will be changed from Advanced to Not Recommended
  - vi. The score of the entirety of River Road will be changed to Advanced
  - vii. Washington Street, Southern Blvd, Northern Blvd, Physicians Drive, Yaupon Drive, Canterwod Drive, Gillette Drive, S. Churchill Drive, Savannah Court, Belfairs Drive, Graymont Drive, Riegel Road, Pine Hills Drive, N. Wallace Avenue, Wallace Avenue South, Hoggard Drive, Hurst Drive, Hamilton Drive, MacMillian Avenue, St. James Drive, Peach Tree Avenue, and Pine Grove Drive will all be scored
- The committee renamed the categories of the roadways and provided context for bicyclist that would feel comfortable utilizing each category
- Easy
  - Roads scored as "easy" have posted speed limits of 25 MPH or less and have generally low vehicle traffic. Road scored as "easy" are suitable for families with young children and inexperienced cyclists that prefer a leisurely bike ride.

#### Moderate

 Roads scored as "moderate" have posted speed limits between 20 and 30 MPH and may have a bit more vehicular traffic than "easy" roads. Roads scored as moderate are suitable for families and cyclists with some experience.

#### Experienced

- Roads scored as "experienced' have posted speed limits between 30 and 45 MPH and have a steady flow of vehicles. Roads scored as "experienced" are suitable for skilled cyclists who are confident riding among cars.
- Advanced

 Roads scored as "advanced" have posted speed limits of 35 MPH or higher and have a generally heavy flow of vehicles. Roads scored as "advanced" are suitable for cyclists who are confident riding among cars traveling at speeds to accommodate faster traffic flow.

#### • Not Recommended

 Roads scored as "not recommended" have posted speeds of 35 MPH or higher and have a consistently significant flow of traffic. Roads scored as "not recommended" should be avoided whenever possible if on a bicycle.

#### 7. New Business

There was no new business

# 8. Updates and Announcements

- The 2021 River to Sea Bike Ride will take place Saturday October 16
- The Inaugural Brunswick Heritage Riverside Ride will take place Saturday October 23
- The Cape Fear Cyclists met with the NCDOT Division Three to discuss reducing the speed limit on River Road which will be presented to the New Hanover County Commissioners on August 23<sup>rd</sup>

# 9. Next Meeting

• Tuesday, October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021 at 2PM at 320 Chestnut Street with a virtual component

# 10. Adjournment

- Motion to adjourn was made by N. Cannon
- Motion was seconded by Steve Whitney
- Motion passed unanimously

# Article I. Bikeways, Sidewalks, and Greenways

# Section 1.01 Purpose

- (a) The regulations in this chapter are designed to promote efficient traffic circulation and connectivity of bicycle and pedestrian facilities. These regulations serve to protect public health, safety, and the general welfare of pedestrians and cyclists in arterials, collector streets, and local roads, residential neighborhoods, shopping districts, parks, and recreational facilities.
- (b) It is the intention of the [JURISDICTION] to implement the planning policies expressed in the [JURISDICTION] land use plan, [JURISDICTION] comprehensive plan, corridor plans, special area plans, bicycle plans, and pedestrian plans.

# Section 1.02 Applicability

- (a) This Article applies to all development within the [JURISDICTION] jurisdiction.
- (b) No construction shall commence until all required plans and designs have been reviewed and approved by the [JURISDICTION] or other governmental approving agency.
- (c) No [JURISDICTION] services or utilities shall be extended to any development until the applicant has installed the infrastructure specified in this chapter.

#### Section 1.03 Easements

- (a) Easements shall be provided in locations and dimensions required by the [JURISDICTION] in order to:
  - (i) Allow for adequate pedestrian access.
  - (ii) Allow for adequate bicycle access.
  - (iii) Allow for adequate right-of-way for street types.
  - (iv) Allow for adequate storm drainage facilities.
  - (v) Allow for adequate access to service bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure
  - (vi) Allow for adequate right-of-way for construction of bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure.
- (b) Whenever a piece of land in a proposed site plan includes any part of a greenway designated in the comprehensive plan, bicycle plan, or pedestrian plan, the greenway shall be platted and dedicated as a greenway easement.

# Section 1.04 Exemptions and Appeals

- (a) Fee-in-Lieu
  - (i) Where the [JURISDICTION] determines that construction of public improvements would not be feasible, a fee in lieu may be permitted.
  - (ii) Right-of-way and easements may still be dedicated to the [JURISDICTION] to allow access.
- (b) Surety
  - (i) Required improvements identified in this chapter that are not completed prior to the issuance of a building permit will be bonded in accordance with [JURISDICTION] development standards in an amount of 125% of the estimated construction cost.

(ii) All required improvements secured by a surety shall be installed prior to the issuance of the first certificate of occupancy.

# (c) Board of Adjustments

- (i) Right of appeal: any person affected by any decision, requirement, or determination related to the contents of this chapter may file an appeal to the [JURISDICTION] board of adjustment within 30 days of the decision, order, or requirement.
- (ii) The board shall determine the contested resolution and make a decision in a reasonable time.

# Section 1.05 Overlay District

# (a) Greenway Overlay District

- (i) The purpose of the Greenway Overlay District is to promote health, safety, convenience, and welfare by providing increased connectivity and infrastructure to surrounding parks, neighborhoods, businesses, and bicycle and pedestrian networks.
- (ii) A multi-use path shall be installed by the developer in accordance with the comprehensive plan.
- (iii) Where sidewalks and multi-use paths meet the street ADA compliant ramps shall be installed.
- (iv) Bicycle and pedestrian infrastructures shall be installed in accordance with [JURISDICTION] design standards.

# (b) Pedestrian Benefit Zone

- (i) The purpose of the pedestrian benefit zone is to promote safe and convenient pedestrian infrastructure in designated zones with high density.
- (ii) Concrete sidewalks shall be constructed along the entire frontage of all commercial, residential, industrial, and park sites within the pedestrian benefit zone.
- (iii) If concrete sidewalks currently exist but are in poor deteriorated condition, the sidewalk shall be replaced to [JURISDICTION] design standards.

# Section 1.06 Development Standards

# (a) New Streets

(i) Where a proposed street attaches to an existing street the proposed street shall provide connectivity to the existing sidewalk, greenway, or bike lane, regardless of minimum standards.

# (b) General Access

(i) All proposed developments shall provide pedestrian and bicycles access to and from a street or adjacent site

# (c) Pedestrian Access

- (i) All proposed developments shall provide ADA compliant access connecting all main entrances of buildings with other public entrances.
- (ii) Pedestrian accesses between building and public right of way, shall be separated from vehicular surface areas.

# (d) Open Space Requirements

(i) Consistency with existing plans: if any portion of a proposed development is part of a parks plan, bicycle, or pedestrian plan adopted by [Jurisdiction], such area may be set aside to satisfy the open space requirements of [jurisdiction].

(ii) Greenways: Open space dedicated as a greenway shall be a continuous parcel of at least 30 feet in width

# Section 1.07 Site Plan Review

- (a) Procedure
  - (i) Preapplication Process
  - (ii) Application
  - (iii) Application Completeness
  - (iv) Review: Site plans shall be reviewed by the technical review committee, which may approve or reject the site plan. Rejection may be made with one or more of the following findings related to bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure with respect to the proposed development
    - 1) The proposed development is not consistent with the comprehensive plan, bicycle plan, or pedestrian plan;
  - 2) The proposed development does not accommodate pedestrians access to ROW;
  - 3) The proposed development does not have ADA accessible access to ROW;
  - 4) The proposed development does not have proper easement dedications per section 1.03;
  - 5) The proposed development does not meet overlay requirements per section 1.04;
  - 6) The proposed development does not meet Bikeway, Sidewalk, and Greenway requirements per section 1.07;
  - (v) Upon approval of the site plan, the building inspector may issue a building permit;

# Section 1.08 Bikeway, Sidewalk, and Greenways

# (a) Bicycle and Pedestrian Connections

- (i) accommodations shall be provided in all new or substantially improved developments to facilitate the use of bicycle and pedestrian travel through the integration of sidewalks, multi-use paths, and bicycle lanes that connect to parks, schools, transit, and shopping areas.
- (ii) In new residential subdivisions bicycle and pedestrian paths, trails, and bicycle lanes shall also connect to collector and minor arterial streets.
- (iii) Easements or rights-of-way shall be provided for bicycle /pedestrian paths between and within developments
- (iv) A continuous bicycle/pedestrian path shall be provided to each of the following: entrances to each building on site, public sidewalks, walkways, trails, adjacent public parks, adjacent transit areas.

# (b) Required locations

- (i) Sidewalks, crosswalks, and multi-use paths shall be constructed by the developer conforming to adopted plans or as follows below.
- 1) Arterial streets, Collector streets, and local streets: a minimum of one side of the right of way on adjacent properties.
- 2) Throughfares to be developed to access the proposed development: both sides of the right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development.
- 3) Local and collector streets: both sides of the right-of-way to be developed.
- 4) Cul-de-sac: both sides of the right-of-way to be developed.
- (ii) The Technical Review committee may exempt sidewalk installation in cases to avoid impacting wetlands.

# (c) Mid-block crossing locations

- (i) Local streets and new streets greater than 600 feet between intersections shall have mid-block crossings with accessible pedestrian ramps on both sides of the street when any of the following conditions are met:
- 1) There are developments on both side of the street with sidewalk or greenway within the right-of-way.
- 2) An existing or proposed greenway crosses the street between two intersections;
- 3) A mid-block crossing is recommended by the TRC.
- (ii) Mid-block crossings shall
- 1) Be equidistant from either intersection;
- 2) Be constructed at 90 degrees to the roadway;
- 3) Connect both ends to a sidewalk or greenway;
- 4) Provide easements if not within public right-of way.
- (iii) The TRC may waive the mid-block crossing requirement as they see fit.

# Section 1.09 Enforcement

- (a) The [JURISDICTION] shall not issue a building permit unless the plans, specifications and intended uses of building or structures conform in all respects to the provisions of this chapter.
- (b) The [JURISDICTION] may withhold or deny certificate of occupancy for all permits or other forms of authorization on any development for which there is a violation of a provision in this chapter.
- (c) A violation of any of the provisions in this chapter shall result in a civil penalty of \$50 for the initial violation and a civil penalty of \$100 for each additional violation.

# Section 1.10 Design Standards

- (a) Construction within the public ROW shall comply with NCDOT and [JURISDICTION] standards
- (b) Sidewalks, bikeways, and greenways shall comply with [JURISDICTION] design standards set forth in the [JURISDICTION design standards]

	Use the link provided to view the draft WMPO Bike Suitability Map
7	https://wilmingtonnc.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=03a9f02e2cab49309ea78aff957b4dd3

#### **Section One: Downtown Wilmington**

			# of			VLV		Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Front Street	25	0	2	6400	3200	3	0	5	8	Intermediate 1
Third Street	35	0	4	22000	5,500	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Fifth Avenue	25	0	4	1000*	250	4	0	5	10	Easy
McCrae Street	25	0	2	2600	1300	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
S. 8th Avenue	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
N. 11th Street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
10th Street	25	0	2	3600	1,800	4	0	4	9	Intermediate 1
N. 12th Street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
13th Street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
15th Street	25	0	2	600	300	5	0	5	10	Easy
16th Street	35	0	2	13500	6,750	2	0	3	5	Advanced
17th Streeet	35	0	2	13500	6,750	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Brunswick	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Red Cross	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Rankin	25	0	2	4676	2,388	3	0	5	8	Intermadiate 1
Grace	25	0	2	800	400	5	0	5	10	Easy
Chestnut	25	0	2	800	400	5	0	5	10	Easy
Princess (water to 4th)	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Market (3rd to 17th)	35	0	4	10000	2,500	3	0	3	6	Advanced
Dock	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Orange	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Ann St	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Castle Street	35	0	2	5000	2500	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Queen Street (third to 17)	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Wooster	35	0	3	17000	5,666	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Dawson	35	0	4	18000	4,500	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Mears	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Greenfield (front tto third)	25	0	2	850	425	5	0	5	10	Easy
Greenfield (third to 17th	25	0	2	6000	3000	3	0	5	8	Intermediate 1

BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Two: Midtown Wilmington**

									Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	# of Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Speed Score	TOTAL	Classification
Wrightsville Ave (17th to Church)	25	0	1	500	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Wrightsville Ave (Church to Dawson)	25	0	2	7100	3550	3	0	5	8	Intermediate 1
21st street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Brookwood Ave	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
23rd Street (MLK to Princess)	45	0	4	19500	4,827	3	0	1	4	Advanced
23rd Street (Princess to Market)	35	0	2	6500	3,250	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Kenwood Ave	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Forest Hills Dr	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Colonial Drive	25	0	2	3500	1750	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Country Club Road	35	0	2	2100	1050	4	0	3	7	Intermediate 2
Mercer Ave	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Covil	35	0	2	19000	9500	2	0	3	5	Not Recommended
Independence	45	0	4	31500	7875	2	0	1	3	Not Recommended
Darlington	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Barclay Hills Market to Princess	35	0	2	5900	2800	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Barclay Hills Princess to end	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Floral Parkway	35	0	2	5200	2600	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Page Ave	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Varsity Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Kerr Ave MLK to Market	35	2	4	21000	5,250	2	2	3	7	Intermediate 2
Kerr Ave Market to Randall	35	2	4	23000	5750	2	2	3	7	Intermediate 2
Kerr Ave Randall to Wilshrie	35	2	2	13500	6,750	2	2	3	7	Intermediate 2
Kerr Ave Wilshire to Wrightsville	35	0	2	13500	6,750	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Kerr Ave Wrightsville to S. College	35	0	2	8300	4,150	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Cinema Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8	Intermediate 1
Audubon Blvd	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Birchwood Drive	35	0	2	500	250	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Farlawn Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Randall Pkwy	35	2	4	16500	4,125	3	2	3	8	Intermediate 1
Winston Blvd	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Wilshire Blvd	35	0	2	7300	3,650	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Wrightsville Ave	35	0	2	7500	3,750	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Market (17th to 23rd)	35	0	4	21000	5,250	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Market (23rd to Covil)	35	0	4	24000	6,000	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Market Covil to Kerr	40	0	4	30000	7,500	2	0	2	4	Advanced
Princess Place Drive (Market to 31st)	35	2	2	8000	4,000	3	2	3	8	Intermediate 1
Princess Place Drive (31st to 17th)	35	0	2	15000	7,500	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Park Ave	25	0	2	950	475	5	0	5	10	Easy
Oleander (17th to S. College)	40	0	6	28500	4,750	3	0	2	5	Not Recommended
Metts Ave	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Chestnut Street (17th to end)	25	0	2	750	375	5	0	5	10	Easy
Ann Street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Wayne Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Wakefield Road	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Three: Greenfield Lake Area

			# of					Speed	Score
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL Classification
Independence Blvd (oleander to Carolina Beach Road)	45	0	4	25000	6250	2	0	1	3 Not Recommended
Independence Blvd (Carolina Beach to River Road)	45	0	2	7000	3500	3	0	1	4 Advanced
Burnett Blvd (east of Carolina Beach Road)	35	0	2	5700	2850	3	0	3	6 Intermediate 2
Burnett Blvd (east of Carolina Beach Road)	25	0	2	5700	2580	3	0	5	8 Intermediate 1
Burnett Blvd (west of Carolina Beach Road)	35	0	4	17500	4375	3	0	3	6 Advanced
River Road (from shipyard to Independence)	35	2	2	7000	3500	3	2	3	8 Intermeidate 1
River Road (from shipyard to Independence)	45	2	2	5700	2850	3	2	1	6 Intermediate 2
East Lake Shore Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
West Lake Shore Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
S. 16th Street (to merger at 17th)	45	0	3	17500	5833	2	0	2	3 Not Recommended
17th Street (from Greenfield Lake to Independence)	45	0	3	28000	9333	2	0	1	3 Not Recommended
Live Oak Pakway	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Carolina Beach Road (S. Front Street to Shipyard)	40	0	4	33500	8375	2	0	1	3 Not Recommended
Carolina Beach Road (Shipyard to Indepedence)	45	0	4	27500	6875	2	0	1	3 Not Recommended
Wellington Ave (east of 17th	35	0	2	5500	2750	3	0	3	6 Intermediate 2
Wellington Ave (west of 17th)	35	0	2	5500	2750	3	0	3	6 Intermediate 2
Cantral Blvd	25	0	4	450	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Morningside Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Bell Street	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Medical Center Drive	35	0	2	500	250	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Delaney Ave	35	0	2	500	250	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Glean Meade Rd (from Delaney to Marlwood Drive)	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Marlwood Drive	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Echo Lane	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Canterbury Road (to Independence)	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10 Easy
Raleigh Street	35	0	2	500	250	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Parkway Blvd	35	0	2	500	250	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Shipyard Blvd (end to Independence	45	0	4	26000	6500	2	0	1	3 Not recommended

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- 8-9 = intermediate one
- 6-7 = intermediate two
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Four: Silver Lake**

			# of			VLV		Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Diver Deed (independence to sendence)	45		2	6200	3100	2	2	1		Advanced
River Road (independence to sanders)  Carolina Beach Road (Independence to S. College)	45 55		2	32000	8000	3 2	2	1		Not recommended
S. College (oleander to shipyard)	45		5	45500	9100	2	0	1		Not recommended
S. College (shipyard to 17th)	45		4	34000	8500	2	0	1		Not recommended
S. College (17th to Sanders road)	45	-	4	44000	11000	1	0	1	-	Not recommended
Shipyard (indepdence to S. College)	45	-	6	26000	6500	2	0	1		Not Recommended
17th Street (Independence to S. College)	45		2	6100	3050	2	0	3		Not recommended
George Anderson Drive (carolina beach to 17th)	35		2	3800	1900	4	0	3		Intermediate 2
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
George Anderson Drive (north of 17th) Robert E Lee Drive	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Long Street Drive	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Converse Road	35	_	2	1000	500	5	0	5		•
	25									Easy
Bragg Drive		-	2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
John D. Barny Drive	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Saint Andrews Drive	35		2	9900	4950	3	0	3		Intermediate 2
Silver Lake Road	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	•
Red Heart Drive (that sircles Silver Lake)	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Shawnee Trail (that sircles Silver Lake)	25		2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Ilex Drive (that sircles Silver Lake)	25	_	2	1000	500	5	0	5		Easy
Roads from Dunhill Drive to Silverlake Road	25	-	2	500	250	5	0	5		Easy
Echo Farms Blvd	25		2	500	250	5	0	5		Easy
Appleton Way	25		2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Sanders Road	45		2	12500	6250	2	0	1	-	Not recommended
Fordham Road	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Lincoln Road	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Canterbury Road	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Lake Avenue	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
Halifax Road	25	0	2	500	250	5	0	5	10	Easy
41st Street (Oleander to Shipyard)	35	0	2	7600	3800	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Holly Tree (Shipyard to S. College)	35	0	2	9000	4500	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- <u>4-5 = advanced</u>
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Five: University Area**

		Bike	# of					Speed	Score
Roadway	Speed	Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL Classification
Park Avenue (S. College to 52nd)	25	2	2	1000	500	5	2	5	12 Easy
Park Avenue (S52nd to end)	25	0	2		500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Wrightsville Ave (S. Collee to Oleander)	35	0	2	11500	5750	2	0	3	5 Advanced
Oleander (S. College to Greenville Ave)	45	0	4	30000	7500	2	0	1	3 Not Recommended
Greenville Ave	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Eastwood (Market to Military Cutoff)	35	0	4	36000	9000	2	0	3	5 Advanced
Market (Kerr to Eastwood)	40	0	4	26400	6600	2	0	2	4 Advanced
New Centre Drive (west of S. college)	35	0	4	17000	4250	3	0	3	6 Intermediate 2
New Centre Drive (east of S. College)	35	0	2	13500	6750	2	0	3	5 Advanced
Randall Pkwy (Kerr to S. College)	35	2	4	20500	5125	2	2	3	7 Intermediate 2
Fountain Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Collegiate Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Lullwater Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Greenway Ave	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Franklin Ave	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Bob King Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Racine Drive	35	0	2	19000	9500	2	0	3	5 Advanced
Oriole Drive (west of Racine)	35	0	2	9500	4750	3	0	3	6 Intermediate 2
Oriole Drive (east of Racine)	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Government Center Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
S. Cardinal Drive	25	2	2	4400	2200	3	2	5	10 Easy
College Acres Drive	25	0	2	2000	1000	5	0	5	10 Easy
Cler Run Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Rose Avenue	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Hooker Rd	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy
Rogersville Rd	25	0	2	4800	2400	3	0	5	8 Intermediate 1
Ringo Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Lennon Drive	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8 Intermediate 1
Hinton Avenue	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10 Easy

BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- <u>10-12 = easy</u>
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Six: Myrtle Grove and Sea Breeze

Roadway from to	Speed	Bike Lane I	# of Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Speed Score	Score TOTAL	Classification
Carolina Be Snows cut Sanders Rd	55	0	4	49500	12375	0	1	1	2	not recommended
River Rd Carolina Be Halyburtor	55	2	2	11000	5500	2	2	1	5	advanced
River Rd Halyburtor Sanders Rd	55	2	2	5400	2700	2	3	1	6	advanced
Sanders Rc River RD Carolina Be	45	0	2	12500	6250	0	2	1	3	not recommended
Myrtle Gro Carolina Be Piner Rd	45	0	2	7700	3850	0	3	1	4	advanced
Masonborg Piner Rd Masonborg	45	0	2	14000	7000	0	2	1	3	not recommended
Pine Grove Masonbort Greenville	45	0	2	23000	11500	0	1	1	2	not recommended
Pine Grove Greenville Oleander E	45	2	2	14500	7250	2	2	1	5	advanced
Greenville Pine Grove Oleander E	40	2	2	15000	7500	2	2	2	6	intermediate two
Halyburtor River Rd College Rd	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Cathay Rd River RD College Rd	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Lipscomb [ Cathay Dr end	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Golden Rd Carolina Be Myrtle Gro	45	0	2	4300	2150	0	3	1	4	advanced
Welborn R Carolina Be Faulkenber	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Faulkenbei Welborn R Grove Poin	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Grove Poir Faulkenber Myrtle Gro	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Piner RD Carolina Be Grissom Rc	45	0	2	17500	8750	0	2	1	3	not recommended
Grissom Rc Piner rd Masonborc	45	0	2	15500	7750	0	2	1	3	not recommended
Piner RD Grissom Rc Myrtle Gro	45	0	2	5800	2900	0	3	1	4	advanced
Piner RD Myrtle Gro end	35	0	2	5800	2900	0	3	3	6	intermediate two
Mohican T South Colle Masonbore	25	0	2	2500	1250	0	4	5	9	intermediate one
Navaho Tra Masonboro Masonboro	45	0	2	500	250	0	5	1	6	intermediate two
Landsdowr South Colle Navaho Tra	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Trails End Masonborcend	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Masonbort Masonbort Masonbort	35	0	2	2900	1450	0	4	3	7	intermediate two
Beasley Rd Pine Grove Masonboro	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Eagles Nes Amber Dr Beasley Rd	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Pine Valley South Colle Amber Dr	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Bragg Dr South Colle Pine Valley	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Waltmoor South Colle Bethel Rd	25	2	2	500	250	2	5	5	12	easy
Greenwich Waltmoor Dover Rd	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Bethel Rd Waltmoor Wade Park	25	2	2	500	250	2	5	5	12	easy
Holly Tree South Colle Pine Grove	35	0	2	12500	6250	0	2	3	5	advanced
Mockingbi South Coll Pine Cone	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Ridgeway I Long Leaf I Mockingbii	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Long Leaf I South Colle Pine Grove	35	0	2	3200	1600	0	4	3	7	intermediate two
Parkway D South Colle Oleander E	35	0	2	4600	2300	0	3	3	6	intermediate two
Clearbrook Greenville Brenda Dr	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Brenda Dr Clearbrook Englewood	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Englewood Brenda Dr Oleander D	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- 6-7 = intermediate two
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Seven: Pleasure Island**

					# of			VLV		Speed	Score	
Roadway fr	rom	to	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Fort Fisher Fe	ederal Po	E Ave	35	2	2	4000	2000	2	4	3	9	intermediate one
Fort Fisher E	Ave	H Ave	35	0	2	4000	2000	0	4	3	7	intermediate two
Fort Fisher H	l Ave	K Ave	25	0	2	5100	2550	0	3	5	8	intermediate one
Fort Fisher K	Ave	N Ave	25	0	2	4000	2000	0	4	5	9	intermediate one
Fort Fisher N	l Ave	Alabama A	35	1	2	4000	2000	2	4	3	9	intermediate one
S Lake Park A	labama A	Carolina Sa	25	1	2	7500	3750	2	3	5	10	easy
S Lake Park C	arolina Sa	Fayetteville	25	0	2	7500	3750	0	3	5	8	intermediate one
S Lake Park Fa	ayettevill	Harper Ave	25	2	2	13000	6500	2	2	5	9	intermediate one
N Lake Par H	larper Ave	Carl Winne	25	2	2	13000	6500	2	2	5	9	intermediate one
N Lake Par C	arl Winne	Spencer Fa	35	0	4	26000	6500	0	2	3	5	advanced
K Ave Fo	ort Fisher	Fifth St	25	0	2	3100	1550	0	4	5	9	intermediate one
K Ave Fi	ifth St	Seventh Av	35	2	2	3100	1550	2	4	3	9	intermediate one
Dow Rd Se	eventh Av	Ocean Blvc	55	2	2	4100	2050	2	3	1	6	intermediate two
Dow Rd O	cean Blvd	Harper Ave	45	2	2	8600	4300	2	3	1	6	intermediate two
Dow Rd H	larper Ave	N Lake Par	45	2	2	12000	6000	2	2	1	5	advanced
Carolina Be C	anal Dr	Salt Marsh	25	1	2	1000	500	2	5	5	12	easy
Canal Dr C	arolina Be	Harper Ave	35	0	2	4300	2150	0	3	3	6	intermediate two
Harper Av∈ N	I Lake Par	Canal Dr	35	0	2	4300	2150	0	3	3	6	intermediate two
S Seventh / K	Ave	J Ave	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
J Ave S	Seventh	S Fort Fishe	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
S Fifth Ave J	Ave	E Ave	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5		easy
E Ave S	Fifth Ave	S Fort Fishe	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
G Ave S	Fifth Ave	S Fort Fishe	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Settlers Lai K	Ave	Mackeral L	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Kure Villag Se	ettlers La	N Fort Fish	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5		easy
Mackeral L Se	ettlers La	Tennessee	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Ocean Blvc D	ow Rd	S Lake Park	45	0	2	3100	1550	0	4	1	5	advanced
Carolina Sa S	Lake Parl	Seafarer dr	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Seafarer Di C	arolina Sa	S Sixth St	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5		easy
S Sixth St Se	eafarer D	Harper Ave	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Sumter Ave D	ow Rd	S Third ST	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Clarendon D	ow Rd	S Fourht St	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
Cape Fear   D	ow Rd	N Lake Par	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
S eighth St Si	umter Av	Harper Ave	35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
S Fourth St G			35	0	2	500	250	0	5	3	8	intermediate one
St Joseph s La		•	25	0	2	1000	500	0	5	5	10	easy
Lewis Dr N			25	0	2	1300	650	0	4	5		intermediate one
Old Dow Ri D		Spencer Fa	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5	10	easy
Spencer Fa O	old Dow R	•	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5		easy
Bridge Barı D		Spencer Fa	25	0	2	500	250	0	5	5		easy
												,

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Eight: Wrightsville Beach, Baysore, & Porters Neck

			# of					Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Eastwood (Military Cutoff to Trask bridge)	45	0	4	21500	5375	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Causeway Drive	35	0	4	23000	5750	2	0	3	5	advanced
Wrightsville Ave (Military Cutoff to Eastwood part one	45	2	2	9500	4750	3	2	1	6	Intermediate 2
Wrightsville Ave (Military Cutoff to Eastwood part two	35	2	2	6800	3400	3	2	3	8	Intermediate 1
Arlie Rd	25	0	2	2900	1550	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Waynick Blvd	35	0	4	10000	2500	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
N. Lumina Ave (Cuseway to W. Saulisbury Drive)	25	0	2	7500	3750	3	0	5	8	Intermeidate 1
N. Luminina Ave (W. Saulisbury to end)	25	0	2	4300	2150	3	0	5	8	Intermeidate 1
West Saulisbury Drive	35	0	2	13000	6500	2	0	3	5	advanced
Pelican Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Military Cutoff (Eastwood to Sir Tyler Drive)	45	0	6	45500	7883	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Military Cutoff (Sir Tyler Drive to Market)	45	0	4	29000	7250	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Gordon Road (Military to Market)	35	0	4	10500	2625	3	0	3	6	Intermeidate two
Middlesound Loop Road (Market to roundabout)	35	0	2	11500	5750	2	0	3	5	Advanced
Middlesound Loop Road (loop)	45	0	2	7000	3500	3	0	1	5	Advanced
Covil Farm Road	35	0	2	1000*	500	4	0	3	7	Intermediate 2
Hawk Road	25	0	2	1000*	500	4	0	5	9	Intermeidate 1
Red Cedar Road	25	0	2	1000*	500	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Bayshore Drive (Market to Scorpion Drive)	35	0	2	4500	2250	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Bayshore Drive (to end)	25	0	2	1300	650	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Marsh Oaks Drive/Bonaventure Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Scorpion Drive	25	0	2	1100	550	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Porters Neck Road (market to Edgewater Club Road	45	0	2	15500	7750	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Porters Neck Road (Edgewater Club Road to end)	35	0	2	4300	2150	3	0	3	6	Intermediate 2
Edgewater Club Road	45	0	2	6100	3050	3	0	1	4	Advanced
Futch Creek Rd (Part one)	45	0	2	2500	1250	4	0	1	5	advanced
Futch Creek Rd (Part two)	35	0	2	2000	1000	4	0	3	7	Intermediate 2
Futch Creek Rd (Part three)	35	0	2	250	125	5	0	3	7	Intermediate 2
Bald Eagle Lane	35	0	2	800	400	5	0	3	7	Intermediate 2

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- 8-9 = intermediate one
- 6-7 = intermediate two
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Nine: Hampstead**

			# of			VLV		Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Scotts Hill Loop Road	45	0	2	3000	1500	4	0	1	5	Advanced
Washington Acres Rd	35	0	2	1900	950	5	0	3	8	Intermediate One
Hughes Road	35	0	2	100	500	5	0	3	8	Intermediate One
Factory Road	45	0	2	2400	1200	4	0	1	5	Advanced
Hoover Road	45	0	2	3500	1750	4	0	1	5	Advanced
Olde Point Road	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8	Intermediate One
Ravenswood Road	35	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8	Intermediate One
Kings Landing Road	35	0	2	1700	850	4	0	3	7	Intermediate Two
Country Club Drive	45	0	2	8700	4350	3	0	1	4	Advanced
Sloop Point Loop Road	45	0	2	6100	3050	3	0	1	4	Advanced
Sloop Point Road	45	0	2	1900	850	5	0	1	6	Intermeidate 2
17 ( from Scotts Hill Loop Rd)	50	0	4	40000	10000	1	0	1	2	Not recommended
Mallsard bay road	36	0	2	1000	500	5	0	3	8	Intermediate one

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- <u>10-12 = easy</u>
- 8-9 = intermediate one
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Ten: Murrayville and Ogden

			# of			VLV	BL	Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
N. Cardinal Drive Green Meadows Drive	35		2	6400	3200	3		3	-	Intermediate 2
N. Green Meadows Drive	25		2	1000 1000	500	5		5		Easy Intermediate 1
Monument Drive	35		2	1000	500 500	3	-	5	-	
	25		2			5	_	5		Easy
Town Center Drive	25			1000*	500	5		4		Easy
Tanbridge Drive	25		2	1000	500	5		5		Easy
Wood Sorrell Road	25		2	1000	500	5		5		Easy
Lord Tennyson Road	35		2	1000	500	3		5	-	Intermediate 1
Kings Grant Road	35		2	1000	500	3		5	-	Intermediate 1
Lord Byron Road	35		2	1000	500	3	-	5	-	Intermediate 1
North College Road (MLK to Gordon Rd)	55		4	50000	12500	1	-	1		Not recommended
Gordon Road (Military to Market)	35		4	10500	5250	3		2		Advanced
Gordon Road (Market to end)	45	0	2	22000	11000	1	. 0	1	2	Not recommended
I-40										Not allowed
Station Road	35	0	2	1000*		4	0	3		Intermeidate 2
Harris Road	25	0	2	6900	3450	3	0	5	8	Intermeidate 1
White Road	25	0	2	1000*		5	0	4	9	Intermediate 1
Farrington Farms Road	25	0	2	1000*		5	0	4	9	Intermediate 1
Murrayville Road	45	0	2	9700	4850	1	. 0	3	4	Advanced
Torchwood Blvd	35	0	2	1000*		3	0	4	7	intermediate 2
Brittany Lakes Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Dove Field Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Quail Woods Road	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
John J Burney Freeway (140)										not allowed
Sidbury Road	55	0	2	3300	1550	1	. 0	4	5	Advanced
Blue Clay Road	45	0	2	2000	1000	1	. 0	4	5	Advanced
Holly Shelter Road	55	0	2	5800	2900	1	. 0	3	4	Advanced
Island Creek Road	55	0	2	3700	1850	1	. 0	3	4	Advanced
210	55	0	2	NA		1	. 0			advanced

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- <u>10-12 = easy</u>
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Eleven: Wrightsboro and Castlehayne

			# of					Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	VLV Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
132/North College Road (closer to 40 split)	50	0	4	23000	5750	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
132/North College Road (tp castle hayne road)	45	0	2	10000	5000	3	0	2	5	advanced
N. Kerr Ave (MLK to convergance)	50	0	2	11500	5750	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
N. Kerr Ave (Bavarian Lane to Castle Hayne Rd)	55	0	2	12500	6250	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Blue Clay Road	55	0	2	8100	4050	3	0	1	4	advanced
Castle Hayne Road /133	45	0	2	17000	8500	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Rock Hill Road	35	0	2 1	1000*	500	4	0	3	7	Intermediate 2
Bavarian Lane	45	0	2	11500	5750	2	0	1	3	Not recommended
Grathwol Drive	25	0	2 1	1000*	500	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Spring Valley Road	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Kings Drive	25	0	2 1	1000*	500	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Reminisce Road	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Harvest Road	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Stoney Road	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Bountiful Ln	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Noland Drive	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- 8-9 = intermediate one
- 6-7 = intermediate two
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### **Section Twelve: Navassa**

			# of			VLV		Speed	Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
Mt. Misery Road NE	45	0	2	6900	3450	3	0	1	4	Advanced
Cedar Hill Road NE	45	0	2	2700	1350	4	0	1	5	Advanced
140										not allowed
Old Mill Road	45	0	2	1300	650	4	0	1	5	advanced
S. Navassa Road	45	0	2	5100	2550	3	0	1	4	advanced
Lincoln Road NE	45	0	2	2600	1300	4	0	1	5	advanced
Village Road	35	0	4	28000	7000	2	0	3	5	advanced
Village Road NE	45	0	2	10500	5250	2	0	1	3	not recommended
Old Fayetville Road NE	45	0	2	5700	2850	3	0	1	4	advanced
421 (Isabell Holmes Bridge to i40)	55	0	4	38000	9500	2	0	1	3	not recommended
421 (north of i40)	55	0	4	10000	2500	3	0	1	4	advanced
421 (north of i40)	55	0	2	6200	3100	3	0	1	4	advanced

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- 10-12 = easy
- 8-9 = intermediate one
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- 4-5 = advanced
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

#### Section Thirteen: Leland

	# of					VLV	VLV		Score	
Roadway	Speed	Bike Lane	Lanes	AADT	VLV	Score	BL Score	Score	TOTAL	Classification
133/ River Road	50	0	2	15000	7500	2	0	1	3	not recommended
Blackwell Road	45	0	5	2300	1150	4	0	1	5	advanced
Ocean Highway	35	0	4	72500	18000	1	0	3	4	Not recommended*
Lanvale Road NE	45	0	2	6400	3200	3	0	1	4	advanced
Grandiflora Drive	30	0	2	1000*		4	0	4	8	Intermediate 1
Pine Harvest Drive	25	0	2	1000*		4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Mallory Creek Lane	35	0	2	1000*	500	4	0	5	9	Intermediate 1
Brunswick Forrest Parkway	?	0	2	?						Intermediate 2
Chappell Loop Rd SE	45	0	2	2400	1200	4	0	1	5	advanced
E Wood Ln SE	25	0	2	1000	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Lillibridge Dr	25	0	2	100	500	5	0	5	10	Easy
Low County Blvd	35	0	2	1000	500	3	0	5	8	Intermeidate 1
Town Creek Rd	45	0	1	122	600	5	0	1	6	Intermediate 2
Malmo Loop Rd	55	0	2	1200	600	5	0	1	6	Intermediate 2
Colon Mintz Rd	50	0	2	300	150	5	0	1	6	Intermediate 2
Hazels Branch Rd SE	45	0	2	1000	500	5	0	1	6	Intermediate 2
Maco Rd NE	55	0	2	3800	1700	4	0	1	5	Advanced

#### BCI = BL (Y/N) -+ VLV score + SL score

- Presence of bike lane = a score of 2
- Absence of bike lane = a score of 0
- Vehicle Lane Volume of less than 500 = a score of 5
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 501 and 2,000 = a score of 4
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 2,001 and 5,000 = a score of 3
- Vehicle Lane Volume between 5,001 and 10,000 = a score of 2
- Vehicle Lane Volume greater than 10,000 = a score of 1
- Speed limit of 25 mph or less = a score of 5
- Speed limit between 26 and 30 mph or less = a score of 4
- Speed limit between 31 and 35 mph = a score of 3
- Speed limit between 36 and 40 mph = a score of 2
- Speed limit over 41 mph = a score of 1

- <u>10-12 = easy</u>
- <u>8-9 = intermediate one</u>
- <u>6-7 = intermediate two</u>
- <u>4-5 = advanced</u>
- <u>1-3 = not recommended</u>

# WILMINGTON URBAN AREA MPO October 2021

#### PENDER COUNTY STREETS PLAN

**Project Description/Scope:** In January, WSP, Pender County, and WMPO kicked off the development of the Pender County Streets Plan. The final plan will serve as an update to the 2016 Pender County Collector Streets Plan and is envisioned to be a more comprehensive technical document that integrates the recommendations of the Pender County Comprehensive Plan 2.0 with buy in and support from both the development community and citizens. The effort was funded as a special study in the WMPO's adopted FY 21 UPWP, and the schedule for the development of the plan was approximately six months. This plan's development included two public outreach and participation periods. The development of the plan was overseen by a steering committee comprised of stakeholders representing the county staff, the development community, NCDOT, and citizens among others. WSP delivered the final plan document and recommendations in June, and the finished document product has been prepared by WMPO Staff.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Presentation of the final plan recommendations to the Board of Commissioners in October 2021.
- Presentation of final plan recommendations to WMPO Board in November 2021.
- Launch of public interactive story map of plan recommendations in winter 2021/2022.

#### SITE DEVELOPMENT REVIEW

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The Wilmington Urban Area MPO assists with site development and Transportation Impact Analysis review for the MPO's member jurisdictions. During the last month, staff has reviewed the following development proposals:

- New Hanover County Formal Plan Reviews: 4 reviews
- New Hanover County Informal Plan Reviews: 2 reviews
- New Hanover Concept Reviews: 0 review
- Town of Leland Development Plan Reviews: reviews
- Town of Leland Formal Reviews: 10 reviews
- Town of Leland Informal Reviews: 3 reviews
- Town of Navassa Formal Plan Reviews: 1 review
- Town of Navassa Informal Reviews: 1 reviews
- Town of Navassa Concept Reviews: 0 review
- Town of Belville Formal Reviews: 1 reviews
- Town of Carolina Beach Formal Reviews: 1 reviews
- Town of Carolina Beach Informal Reviews: 0 reviews
- Brunswick County Formal Plan Reviews: 0 reviews
   Brunswick County Informal Plan Reviews: 0 reviews
- TIA Reviews: 32 total (24 under review; 6 approved; 2 new):
- New Hanover County 10 (2 approved), City of Wilmington 8 (2 approved), Carolina Beach 1, Town of Belville 1 (1 approved), Town of Leland 5, (1 new) Town of Navassa 0, Pender County 6 (1 new, 1 approved), and Brunswick County 1
- Pender County Development Plan Reviews: 5 reviews
- Pender County Informal Plan Reviews: 6 reviews

- Pender County Concept Reviews: 0 reviews
- City of Wilmington Formal Reviews: 23 reviews (11 new, 12 on-going)
- City of Wilmington Informal Reviews: 27 reviews (14 new, 13 on-going)
- City of Wilmington Concept Reviews: 0 reviews
- COW Project Releases: 9

# STBGP-DA/TASA-DA FY 2013 to Present STBGP-DA

#### U-5534C - WRIGHTSVILLE AVENUE/GREENVILLE AVENUE TO HINTON AVENUE

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The project is for construction of intersection re-alignment improvements at the intersection of Wrightsville Avenue/Greenville Avenue and bike lanes and sidewalks along Greenville Avenue from Wrightsville Avenue to Hinton Avenue.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Complete review of final design package and submit to NCDOT for review— Winter 2021
- NCDOT final design approval and City to request Construction Funds Authorization Winter 2021/2022
- 60% Design documents submitted in July
- Begin Construction Early 2022
- Construction Complete- Early 2023

#### U-5534D - TOWN OF LELAND - OLD FAYETTEVILLE ROAD MUP

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** This project is for design and construction of a ten foot (10') wide multi use path, separate but adjacent to Old Fayetteville Road, beginning at or around the corner of the Leland Town Hall Campus and ending at the driveway of the North Brunswick High School.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Construction commenced October 26, 2021
- Construction in progress
- Project completion extended to December 31, 2022

#### U-5534F - CITY OF WILMINGTON - PARK AVENUE MUP - PHASE II

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** This project is for the design and construction of an off-road multi-use path between Audubon Boulevard and Kerr Avenue.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Began Construction July 2021
- Project under construction (grading and stone base placement)- August 2021
- Complete Construction Fall 2021

# U-5534G -CITY OF WILMINGTON- HOOKER ROAD MULTI-USE PATH

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The project consist of the construction of a 8' wide multi-use path along Hooker Road from Wrightsville Avenue to Mallard Drive/Rose Ave intersection

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- 60% design documents submitted in July 2021
- Complete final design package and submit to NCDOT for review—Winter 2021
- Update/renew permits –Fall/Winter 2021/2022

- NCDOT final design approval Winter 2021/22
- Right of way acquisition complete Winter 2021/2022
- Begin Construction Early 2022
- Complete Construction Early 2023

#### U-5534H -CITY OF WILMINGTON- HINTON AVE MULTI-USE PATH

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** This project consists of the construction of an 8' wide multi-use path along Hinton Avenue from Park Avenue to Greenville Avenue.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- 60% design documents submitted in July 2021
- Complete final design package and submit to NCDOT for review–Winter 2021
- Update/renew permits –Fall/Winter 2021/2022
- NCDOT final design approval Winter 2021/22
- Right of way acquisition complete Winter 2021/2022
- Begin Construction Early 2022
- Complete Construction Early 2023

#### U-5534I -TOWN OF LELAND- VILLAGE ROAD MULTI-USE PATH EXTENSION

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of a 8 foot wide concrete path from the connection at the Brunswick Center in Leland across the front of the library property, down Village Road, ending on the western edge of the First Baptist Church property before the Sturgeon Creek Bridge.

### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Construction commenced February 8, 2021
- Supplemental Agreement 4 increased the total estimated project cost to \$254,842
- Construction in progress
- Project completion extended to June 30, 2022

#### U-5534J – TOWN OF LELAND- OLD FAYETTEVILLE LOOP ROAD PEDESTRIAN LOOP

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of sidewalks in three locations: 1) The construction of an 8 foot concrete sidewalk along Village Road from Town Hall Drive to the apartment complex and widening the existing 5 foot sidewalk in front of the apartment complex to 8 feet. 2) The construction of a 6 foot sidewalk along Town Hall Drive from Village Road NE to the sidewalk that exists by the new Town Hall. 3) The construction of a 5 foot sidewalk along Old Fayetteville Road from the existing sidewalk in front of the apartment complex to Village Road NE.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Construction commenced February 8, 2021
- Construction in progress
- Project completion extended to June 30, 2022

#### U-5534K – TOWN OF LELAND-LELAND MIDDLE SCHOOL SIDEWALK

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of 5 foot wide concrete sidewalk adjacent to Old Fayetteville Road from Ricefield Branch Road to the US Hwy 74/76 overpass after Glendale Drive with connections to Leland Middle School and the surrounding neighborhoods.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Construction commenced February 8, 2021
- Supplemental Agreement 4 increased the total estimated project cost to \$327,788

- Construction in progress
- Project completion extended to June 30, 2022

# U-5534Q -CITY OF WILMINGTON-S. COLLEGE/HOLLY TREE CROSSWALKS

**Project Description/Scope:** The project will install sidewalk, ADA ramps, curb and gutter, markings and traffic signal revisions required to install actuated pedestrian crossings of S. College Road and crossings on Holly Tree Road.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Council approved additional funding for project on January 19, 2021
- MPO Board approved funding request on February 24th
- Coordination with YWCA on needed ROW/Easement
- Supplemental Agreement Execution in progress (City/NCDOT) scheduled for City Council action on July 20, 2021
- Sent 65% City comments on Signal and 65% Plans on June 24, 2021
- Received 75% ROW Plans from AECOM 7th Street to Shipyard Blvd July 26, 2021
- Received comments on Easement Maps from City Attorney Office & ROW Specialist on August 27, 2021
- Completed Exhibits and met with YWCA and County on September 12, 2021
- Presented before YWCA Board of Directors Meeting on September 20, 2021
- Received 90% Signal Plans on September 24, 2021
- Filed deed and maps for temporary construction easements from YMCA on September 29, 2021
- Utility Kickoff Meeting in Field to Review Utility Conflicts (TBD)

# U-5534S (FORMERLY U-5534M)- TOWN OF WRIGHTSVILLE BEACH- CORAL DRIVE SIDEWALKS

**Project Descriptions/Scope:** The construction of sidewalks along Coral Drive will install approximately 954 linear feet of 5 foot wide sidewalk on Coral Drive adjacent to Wrightsville Beach Elementary. The Town hired SEPI to complete the design.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Start of construction on August 30, 2021
- Anticipated completion date of mid-November 2021

#### U-5534U – TOWN OF NAVASSA- NAVASSA PARK MULTI-USE PATH

**Project Description/Scope:** This project will construct bike lanes on both sides of Brooklyn Street, a multi-use path connecting Brooklyn Street to the Navassa Park, and a multi-use path through the Navassa Park forming a loop within the park.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Right Angle provided the 90% plans
- 90% plans and contract documents have been submitted to NCDOT
- CE Document has been approved
- Right-of-way authorization approved
- Construction fund authorization request will occur next federal fiscal year (FY 2022)

#### **EB-6025- TOWN OF BELVILLE- RICE HOPE MULTI-USE PATH**

**Project Description:** The project consists of the construction of a multi-use path of eight feet (8') wide located at the western side of NC 133 between Morecamble Blvd and Rice Hope Run.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- The Town has entered into a contract with Withers & Ravenel
- Kick-off meeting was held on November 6th
- A groundbreaking ceremony was held on November 20th
- Town and NC DOT have resolved ROW issues
- 30% plans have been completed and approved by NCDOT
- 60% design plans approved by NCDOT.
- 90% plans are in development
- Project remains on track for a September 2022 LET

#### U-6234 MULTI-MODAL PHASE 1 B

**Project Description/Scope:** Rehabilitation of the historic structure located at 525 N 4th Street for a transportation purpose

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- MPO Board approved additional funding request on February 24th to cover increased estimated costs of construction
- Final design package delivered electronically May 7, 2021
- 100% design plans sent to City Purchasing for review on May 11, 2021
- 100% design plans and specs sent to NC DOT on May 20, 2021
- Revised 100% plans provided on July 22, 2021 and sent to City Purchasing for review
- CE documentation provided on August 11, 2021
- Consultant and CoW working to finalize bid package for advertisement

#### U-6235 - City of Wilmington/New Hanover County - Signal Pre-emption Phase 2

**Project Description/Scope:** The project will install traffic pre-emption equipment at 50 locations throughout the City of Wilmington and New Hanover County and GPS equipment on emergency response vehicles.

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Design contract approved May 5, 2021 by City Council: execution complete.
- Design kick-off meeting held July 23, 2021 with Wilmington Fire Department, Traffic Engineering and Davenport Staff.
- Design expected to start in July lasting through January 2022.

#### U-6039 - CAROLINA BEACH - ST. JOSEPH BIKE LANES

**Project Description/Scope:** Construct Bike Lanes along St. Joseph Avenue and Lewis Drive from Lake Park Boulevard to Access Drive in Carolina Beach

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- NCDOT funding availability reopened January 25, 2021
- Town Council elected to move forward with the project and will upload information into EBS.
- As of May 3, 2021 funding amounts have been revised to reflect \$457,777 STBGP- DA funds and \$114,445 local match to reflect approved funding
- Design revisions are under discussion with KHA
- Agreement executed with NCDOT on August 18, 2021
- Design discussions held with KHA on August 31, 2021, delivery schedule forthcoming

#### **TASA-DA**

**Project Description:** The project consists of the construction of a multi-use path of eight feet (8') wide located along NC 133 connecting north and south entrances of Hawks Water Development to Belville Elementary School.

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- The Town has entered into a contract with Withers & Ravenel
- A Kick-off meeting was held on November 6th
- A groundbreaking ceremony was held on November 20th
- Town and NC DOT have resolved ROW issues
- 30% plans have been completed and approved by NCDOT
- 60% design plans approved by NCDOT.
- 90% plans are in development
- Project remains on track for a September 2022 LET

#### **EB-6027 – NEW HANOVER COUNTY - MIDDLE SOUND GREENWAY**

Project Description: Design only of the Middle Sound Greenway connection to Ogden Park

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- 75% plans under review by NCDOT
- Anticipate construction let date in October 2021

# EB-6028 —CITY OF WILMINGTON- 21ST STREET/MARKET HAWK SIGNAL

**Project Description:** Design and construction of a HAWK signal at the pedestrian crossing at Market Street and 21st Street

#### **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- Davenport is under contract to complete the design
- Final project plans anticipated by the end of August

#### EB-6029 – TOWN OF CAROLINA BEACH – CLARENDON AVENUE MULTI-USE PATH

**Project Description:** Construction of the Clarendon Avenue multi-use path from 4th Street to Dow Road

# **Project Status and Next Steps:**

- The Town is evaluating the scope for the project.
- Design modifications requested to include five-foot sidewalk and on street pavement markings in lieu of multi-use path

#### TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

**Project Description/Scope**: The TDM "Go Coast" program works to increase the use of alternative transportation by WMPO residents and decrease Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). The WMPO Board approved Cape Fear Change in Motion 2020, the short-range TDM Plan which will guide Go Coast initiatives from 2021 to 2025. This plan identifies seven short-range strategies to increase mobility options and reduce traffic in the WMPO region. These strategies are: Alternative Work Schedules, Bike Share Program, Carpool and Vanpool, Consulting for Telecommuting Opportunities, Fostering a Bicycle and Pedestrian Friendly Culture, Improved TDM-Focused Collaboration, and Personalized Commuter Plans.

# Go Coast current initiatives and project status:

1. Be A Looker

- a. Go Coast's 2021 "Be A Looker" bicycle and pedestrians safety campaign will conclude at the end of October.
- b. "Be A Looker" has been promoted through a variety of marketing techniques as well as through inperson educational events. Go Coast is working with the Wilmington Fire Department to hold two "Be A Looker" events each month of the campaign in Wilmington.
- c. TDM Coordinator has also reached out to TCC members to assess possibility for event partnerships with all Member Jurisdictions
- d. https://www.gocoastnc.org/bealooker/
- 2. 31st Annual River to Sea Bike Ride
- a. The 2021 River to Sea ride is scheduled for Saturday October 16, 2021. Registration for the event is now open.
- 3. Brunswick Heritage Riverside Ride
- a. Planning is underway for an inaugural group bike ride event in Northern Brunswick County from Phoenix Park to Belville Riverwalk Park
- b. The scheduled date of the event is October 23, 2021. Registration will open in early September
- 4. Cape fear Change in Motion 2020 and TDM Services
- a. Go Coast is continuing to present the adopted short-range TDM plan to member jurisdiction governing bodies and other groups, upon request, in October
- b. These presentations will share the strategies outlined in the plan and present member jurisdictions with the opportunity to utilize the WMPO's TDM program to enhance existing or create any new TDM-oriented initiatives
- c. The Go Coast Committee has asked the TDM Coordinator to contact 10 regional employers about assistance in developing alternative work schedule and telecommuting polices
- 5. Bicycle Suitability Map Update
- a. The WMPO Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee has provided a recommended methodology for scoring roadway segments for bicycle compatibility.
- b. Staff has evaluated over 300 roadways in the WMPO region that have been given a score for bicycle compatibility.
- c. The WMPO BPAC is continuing to review this draft map and provide input for changes in scoring
- 6. Go Coast Commuter Challenge
- a. The 2021 Go Coast Commuter Challenge will take place October 16-31.
- b. a new feature "Commuter Challenge" will be available in the Share the Ride NC Mobile app. Participants will be able to join teams to compete in the event
- c. TDM Coordinator is working to gather donations from local businesses to be used as prizes for participants
- d. The Commuter Challenge Subcommittee has developed a marketing strategy to help increase promotion of the event and increase participation
- 7. The next Go Coast meeting is November 18, 2021



# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

ROY COOPER
GOVERNOR

J. ERIC BOYETTE
SECRETARY

October 2021

Nazia Sarder Transportation Engineer NCDOT Transportation Planning Division 1 South Wilmington Street Raleigh, NC 27601

#### OCTOBER TPD UPDATES WILMINGTON MPO

#### OCTOBER 2021

**Brunswick County Model**: The Brunswick County Model was completed in February of last year.

**Brunswick County Comprehensive Transportation Plan (CTP)**: The CTP is moving along and we are on schedule. Our last meeting was on 7/22 where we went over the following items:

- 1. Survey Results
- 2. Additional Projects from the Survey
- 3. Future Public Involvement Sessions

The survey closed on June 9<sup>th</sup> and we collected 6,966 surveys. Our last regular meeting was on 7/22, we will now be meeting on a needs basis going forward. The CTP Engineers also met with agencies listed under Interagency Coordination Protocol list to review relevant information and gathered feedback for incorporation. Currently the TPD engineers are creating internal documents for peer review, management review and steering committee review prior to going to public involvement. Post public involvement comments are incorporated, there will be one more round of reviews prior to adoptions and endorsements.

**Wilmington Model:** The 2045 Travel Demand Model and 2045 Wilmington MPO MTP was adopted on 11/18/2020 by the Wilmington MPO Board.

Updates on Coronavirus (COVID-19) in North Carolina: https://covid19.ncdhhs.gov/

Website: ncdot.gov

# **Helpful Links:**

Click on links below to learn more:

- · NCDOT home page—ncdot.gov
- · Real-Time Traffic—<u>DriveNC.gov</u> | North Carolina Traffic & Travel Information
- · Report a pothole—NCDOT Contact Us Form
- · NCDOT: State Transportation Improvement Program—ncdot.gov/sti
- · Interactive Bicycle Routes Map—https://www.ncdot.gov/bikeped/ncbikeways/default.aspx
- · Links to all traffic count data information—Traffic Survey Group (ncdot.gov)
- · NCDOT Interactive Traffic Volume Map—<u>Interactive Traffic Volume map (ncdot.gov)</u>
- · Traffic Safety Data & Engineering—NCDOT: Traffic Safety Data & Engineering

# **NCDOT Statewide Plans:**

To learn more, click on the following links:

- · NC Moves 2050 Plan (or go to ncdot.gov/ncmoves)
- · NCDOT Strategic Transportation Corridors (or go to ncdot.gov and search: Strategic Transportation Corridors)
- · NCDOT Comprehensive State Rail Plan (25-Year Vision) (or go to ncdot.gov and search: rail plan)
- · NC Statewide Multimodal Freight Plan (2015-2040) (or go to ncdot.gov and search: public transportation plan)
- · Great Trails State Plan (or go to ncdot.gov and search: Great Trails)
- · Connecting North Carolinians to Opportunities (Public Transportation strategic Plan—2018) (or go to nedot.gov and search: public transportation plan)
- $\cdot$  NCDOT Resilience Strategy Report (2021) (or go to ncdot.gov and search: resilience strategy report)
- · Statewide Pedestrian & Bicycle Plan (2013) (or go to ncdot.gov/bikeped/walkbikenc)